



---

Soviet-era science, translated into English

# On Order-Extendable Groups

A group is called **order-extendable** if every partial order on it can be extended to a linear one.

1963

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196301.84020>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

**MATHEMATICS**

**A. I. Kokorin**

## **On Order-Extendable Groups**

*(Presented by Academician A. I. Mal'tsev on 24 I 1963)*

In this note one finds subgroups of an order-extendable group, definable independently of the order, by which the factor-groups are order-extendable. In particular, these subgroups are of interest in connection with questions of describing the ways of ordering a group. Next, the connection is indicated between the problem of the coincidence of the classes of order-extendable and orderable groups and other questions.

A group is called **order-extendable** if every partial order on it can be extended to a linear one.

Notation:  $S(a, b, \dots)$  is the minimal invariant semigroup in the group  $G$  containing  $a, b, \dots$ ;  $\bar{M}$  is the image of the set  $M$  from the group  $G$  under a homomorphism of  $G$  onto the group  $\bar{G}$ .

A subgroup  $H$  of a group  $G$  is called **strictly isolated** if from

$$xg_1^{-1}xg_1 \dots g_n^{-1}xg_n \in H$$

it follows that

$$x, g_1^{-1}xg_1, \dots, g_n^{-1}xg_n \in H.$$

Subgroups and subsemi-groups of this kind were considered in <sup>(1,2)</sup>.

**Theorem 1.** *The factor-group  $G/H$  of an order-extendable group  $G$  is order-extendable if and only if  $H$  is an invariant strictly isolated subgroup.*

The necessity follows from the strict isolation of the identity in an ordered group. To prove sufficiency, suppose at first that  $H$  is strictly isolated and  $G/H = \bar{G}$  is not orderable. Then, on the basis of Theorem 1 from <sup>(1)</sup>, in  $\bar{G}$  there are elements  $\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n$ , distinct from  $\bar{1}$ , such that for arbitrary  $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$

$$S(\bar{a}_1^{\varepsilon_1}, \dots, \bar{a}_n^{\varepsilon_n}) \cap \bar{1} \neq \phi^*$$

and, consequently, in  $G$  also

$$S(a_1^{\varepsilon_1}, \dots, a_n^{\varepsilon_n}) \cap H \neq \phi. \quad (*)$$

Here one may assume  $n$  minimal, i.e., no proper part of  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  has this property. Let, further,  $P$  be the semigroup of positive elements (without the identity) from  $H$  under some ordering of  $G$ , and

$$P_1 = S(a_1)H \cup P.$$

$P_1$  is an invariant semigroup by virtue of the invariance of  $H$ ,  $S(a_1)$ , and  $P$ . We shall show that  $P_1$  does not contain the identity. If  $s \in S(a_1)$ ,  $h \in H$ , and  $sh = 1$ , then  $s \in H$ , and, by the strict isolation of  $H$ , it follows that  $a_1 \in H$ , which contradicts  $\bar{a}_1 \neq \bar{1}$ . Thus  $P_1$  is an invariant semigroup not containing the identity and, hence, it defines in  $G$  a partial order. Starting from  $P_1$ , extend the order of  $G$ . We shall assume  $a_1, \dots, a_n > 1$ , since if  $a_i < 1$ , one may take  $a_i^{-1}$ . Moreover, for every  $h \in H$  we shall have  $a_1 \gg h$  (i.e.  $a_1 > h^k$  for every integer  $k$ ), since  $a_1 h^{-k} \in S(a_1)H \subset P_1$ . Next take the intersection  $H_1$  of all convex subgroups containing  $H$ . Let

$$a_1, \dots, a_m \in H_1, \quad a_{m+1}, \dots, a_n \notin H_1.$$

$m \geq 1$ , since from  $a_1 \in H$  it follows that  $a_1 \in H_1$ . On the basis of convexity and invariance of  $H_1$ , for any  $g \in G$ ,  $h \in H_1$  we shall have

$$g^{-1}a_1g, \dots, g^{-1}a_mg \gg h,$$

and hence

$$g^{-1}a_1g, \dots, g^{-1}a_mg \in H_1.$$

Therefore every product composed of elements of  $H_1$  and at least one of

$$g^{-1}a_1g, \dots, g^{-1}a_mg$$

also does not belong to  $H_1$ , and hence not to  $H$ .

\*  $\phi$  is the empty set.

Consequently,

$$S(a_1, \dots, a_n) \cap H = S(a_{m+1}, \dots, a_n) \cap H \neq \emptyset.$$

But, by the minimality of  $n$ , there exist such  $\varepsilon_{m+1}, \dots, \varepsilon_n$  that

$$S(a_{m+1}^{\varepsilon_{m+1}}, \dots, a_n^{\varepsilon_n}) \cap H \neq \emptyset,$$

and, therefore,

$$S(a_1, \dots, a_m, a_{m+1}^{\varepsilon_{m+1}}, \dots, a_n^{\varepsilon_n}) \cap H = \emptyset,$$

which contradicts (\*). Hence  $G/H$  is orderable.

We now show that  $G/H = \overline{G}$  is an orderable group. Let  $\overline{P}_2$  be the semigroup of positive elements of  $\overline{G}$  under some partial ordering of  $\overline{G}$ , and let  $P_2$  be its complete preimage in  $G$ . Starting from the partial order defined by  $P_2$ , we order  $G$ . In this case, from  $P_2 = P_2H$  it follows that  $P_2 \cap H_2 = \emptyset$ , where  $H_2$  is the intersection of all convex subgroups containing  $H$ . On the basis of <sup>(3)</sup>, from the orderability of  $G/H_2$  and the possibility of ordering  $H_2/H$ , which is preserved under the action of inner automorphisms from  $G/H$ , the group  $\overline{G}$  can be ordered while preserving the orders on  $G/H_2$  and on  $H_2/H$ . This order will be an extension of  $\overline{P}_2$ , since  $P_2 \cap H = \emptyset$ .

**Corollary.** If in an orderable group  $G$  a subgroup  $H$  is invariant and strictly isolated, then there exists an ordering of  $G$  under which  $H$  is convex.

**Lemma.** If in an ordered group  $G$ : 1) an element  $z$  belongs to the center of the group  $G$ ; 2)  $A$  is the union of all convex subgroups not containing  $z$ ; 3)  $xg_1^{-1}xg_1 \dots g_n^{-1}xg_n = z$ , then  $\overline{x}^{n+1} = \overline{z}$  in  $\overline{G} = G/A$ .

Let  $B$  be the intersection of all convex subgroups containing  $z$ . From 1), 2), and the construction of  $B$  it follows that  $A$  and  $B$  are invariant. By convexity and invariance of  $A$  and  $B$  we have  $x, g_1^{-1}xg_1, \dots, g_n^{-1}xg_n \in B \setminus A$ . The inner automorphisms of the group  $G$  induce in  $B/A$  automorphisms that are order-preserving (see <sup>(4)</sup>). Therefore, for any  $h \in G$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{z} &= \overline{h^{-1}zh} = \overline{h^{-1}xh \cdot h^{-1}g_1^{-1}xg_1h \dots h^{-1}g_n^{-1}xg_nh} \\ &= \overline{(h^{-1}xh) \cdot g_1^{-1}(h^{-1}xh)g_1 \dots g_n^{-1}(h^{-1}xh)g_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose, for definiteness, that  $\overline{x} > \overline{h^{-1}xh}$  in  $\overline{G}$ . But then

$$\begin{aligned} &\overline{xg_1^{-1}xg_1 \dots g_n^{-1}xg_n} > \\ &> \overline{(h^{-1}xh)g_1^{-1}(h^{-1}xh)g_1 \dots g_n^{-1}(h^{-1}xh)}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $\overline{x} = \overline{h^{-1}xh}$ , and therefore  $\overline{x}^{n+1} = \overline{z}$  in  $\overline{G}$ .

**Theorem 2.** The quotient group  $G/Z$  of an orderable group  $G$  by its center  $Z$  is orderable.

For the proof, by Theorem 1, it is enough to show that the center  $Z$  is strictly isolated. Order  $G$ , and let  $z \in Z$ ;  $z \in B \setminus A$ , where  $A$  and  $B$  are neighboring convex subgroups;  $P$  is the set of positive elements of  $G$  not belonging to  $B \setminus A$ ;  $I^+(z)$  is the set of positive elements of  $I(z)$ , where  $I(z)$  is the isolator (see <sup>(5)</sup>) of  $z$  in  $G$ . By the isomorphism of  $I(z)$  to an additive group of rational numbers

and by the Archimedean property of  $B/A$ , it follows that  $I(z) \setminus 1 \subseteq B \setminus A$ . From this, convexity and invariance of  $A$  and  $B$  imply that

$$P_1 = PI(z) \cup I^+(z)$$

is an invariant semigroup not containing the identity, and hence  $P_1$  defines a partial order in  $G$ . Starting from  $P_1$ , order  $G$ . Let under this ordering  $H$  be the intersection of all convex subgroups containing  $z$ . Then  $H \setminus 1 \subseteq B \setminus A$ , since, if  $g \in B \setminus A$ ,

then either  $g \in P$ , or  $g \in P^{-1}$ . We may further assume that the order in the subgroup  $H$  coincides with its order under the original ordering of  $G$ . From this,  $H \setminus 1 \subseteq B \setminus A$ , and the Archimedeaness of  $B/A$ , it follows that  $H$  is Archimedean and is the least convex subgroup distinct from the identity under the new ordering of  $G$ . Therefore, on the basis of the lemma, the equality

$$xg_1^{-1}xg_1 \cdots g_n^{-1}xg_n = z$$

implies  $x^{n+1} = z$  in  $G/\{1\} = G$ . From  $x^{n+1} = z$  and the isolatedness of  $I(z)$  it follows that  $x \in I(z) \subseteq Z$ , and hence  $g_1^{-1}xg_1, \dots, g_n^{-1}xg_n \in Z$ . The theorem is proved.

**Remark 1.** From the isomorphism of an ordered group to a factor group of some free ordered group (see <sup>(4)</sup>), Theorems 1 and 2 imply the equivalence of the following propositions.

- 1) An orderable group is bi-orderable.
- 2) A free group is bi-orderable.
- 3) A group is bi-orderable if and only if its identity is strictly isolated.
- 4) The factor group of a group with strictly isolated identity by the center is bi-orderable.

**Remark 2.** A two-step solvable group is orderable if and only if its identity is strictly isolated.

This is proved by a direct application of Theorem 3 from <sup>(1)</sup> to the system consisting of the group itself, the isolator of its commutant, and the identity.

**Remark 3.** The factor group  $G/Z_1$  of an orderable group  $G$  by a complete subgroup  $Z_1$  of the center  $Z$  is orderable.

For the proof, take the system of all convex subgroups under some ordering of  $G$ :

$$G \supset \cdots \supset B \supset A \supset \cdots \supset C \supset \cdots \supset 1 \quad (**)$$

and multiply each subgroup of this system by  $Z_1$ . Then, together with the identity, we obtain the system

$$G \supset \cdots \supset Z_1B \supset Z_1A \supset \cdots \supset Z_1C \supset \cdots \supset Z_1 \supset 1, \quad (***)$$

which, as we shall show, satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3 from (1). This will prove the assertion, since under the order constructed in (1), the subgroups of the system (\*\*\*) will be convex. We shall show the validity of only one of these conditions (the strict isolatedness of the subgroups of the system (\*\*\*)), since the fulfillment of the remaining ones follows directly from their fulfillment for the system (\*\*).

Let

$$xg_1^{-1}xg_1 \cdots g_n^{-1}xg_n = zc \in Z_1C,$$

where  $z \in Z_1$ ,  $c \in C$ ,  $z \in C$  and  $z \in B \setminus A$ , where  $A$  and  $B$  are adjacent convex subgroups of  $G$ . Then, by the lemma, in  $G/A$  we have  $\bar{z} = \bar{x}^{n+1}$ , and hence  $z = x^{n+1}a$ , where  $a \in A$ . By the completeness of  $Z_1$ ,

$$(z_1x^{-1})^{n+1} = a,$$

where  $z_1^{n+1} = z$  and  $z_1 \in Z_1$ . From the strict isolatedness of  $A$ ,  $(z_1x^{-1})^{n+1} = a$  implies  $z_1x = a_1^{-1} \in A$ , i.e.  $x = z_1a_1$ .

Further, from

$$zc = (z_1a_1)g_1^{-1}(z_1a_1)g_1 \cdots g_n^{-1}(z_1a_1)g_n$$

it follows that

$$a_1g_1^{-1}a_1g_1 \cdots g_n^{-1}a_1g_n = c \in C,$$

whence, on the basis of the strict isolatedness of  $C$ ,

$$a_1, g_1^{-1}a_1g_1, \dots, g_n^{-1}a_1g_n \in C,$$

and therefore  $x = z_1a_1 \in Z_1C$ . Consequently,

$$x, g_1^{-1}xg_1, \dots, g_n^{-1}xg_n \in Z_1C.$$

In conclusion I express my gratitude to the supervisor of the work, P. G. Kontorovich.

Received  
15 I 1963

## CITED LITERATURE

1. V. D. Podderiyugin, *Izv. AN SSSR, Ser. Mat.*, **21**, No. 2, 199 (1957).
2. A. I. Mal'cev, *Collected volume Algebra and Logic, Seminar*, 1, issue 2, Novosibirsk, 1962, p. 5.
3. E. P. Shimbireva, *Mat. sbornik*, **20** (62), 145 (1947).
4. K. Iwasawa, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **1**, 1 (1948).

5. P. G. Kontorovich, *Mat. sbornik*, **22**, 79 (1948).

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*