



Soviet-era science, translated into English

MATHEMATICS

E. K. ISAKOVA

1963

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196301.10964>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

MATHEMATICS

E. K. ISAKOVA

ON THE CAUCHY PROBLEM FOR PARABOLIC EQUATIONS WITH A SMALL PARAMETER

(Presented by Academician S. L. Sobolev, VII 5, 1962)

Consider the solution $u^\varepsilon(x, t)$ of the $2p$ -parabolic ⁽¹⁾ equation

$$\tilde{L}_\varepsilon u^\varepsilon(x, t) \equiv L_\varepsilon^1 u^\varepsilon(x, t) + L_\varepsilon^2 u^\varepsilon(x, t) + L_0 u^\varepsilon(x, t) = 0, \quad (1)$$

satisfying the condition

$$u^\varepsilon(x, t)|_{t=0} = \Psi(x) \equiv \psi(x)\chi(x_1), \quad (2)$$

where

$$L_\varepsilon^1 u^\varepsilon = (-1)^p \sum_{s \leq |i| \leq 2p} a_i(x, t) \varepsilon^{|i|/2p} D^i u^\varepsilon;$$

$$L_\varepsilon^2 u^\varepsilon \equiv \varepsilon^{s/2p} \sum_{1 \leq |i| \leq s-1} a_i(x, t) D^{|i|} u^\varepsilon;$$

$$L_0 u^\varepsilon(x, t) = \sum b_i(x, t) \frac{\partial u^\varepsilon}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial u^\varepsilon}{\partial t} + c(x, t) u^\varepsilon(x, t);$$

$\varepsilon > 0$; s is an integer, $1 \leq s \leq 2p - 1$; $i = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$, $|i| = i_1 + \dots + i_n$;

$x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in E^n$ ($-\infty < x_i < \infty$); $D^i = \partial^{|i|} / \partial x_1^{i_1} \dots \partial x_n^{i_n}$, $t \in [0, T]$;

$\chi(x_1)$ is equal to zero for $x_1 < 0$ and to one for $x_1 \geq 0$. For simplicity of exposition we shall assume that all coefficients in (1) and the function $\psi(x)$ belong to $C^\infty(E^n \times [0, T])$ and are bounded in $E^n \times [0, T]$ together with all their derivatives.

We shall be interested in the behavior of the solution $u^\varepsilon(x, t)$ of problem (1)–(2) as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. In the case $p = 1$ this problem was considered in (2,3). Denote by $u^0(x, t)$ the solution of problem (1)–(2) for $\varepsilon = 0$ (we shall call it problem (1⁰)–(2⁰)), and by $l(x, t)$ the characteristic of equation (1⁰) passing through the point (x, t) . The solution $u^0(x, t)$ has a discontinuity of the first kind along the characteristics $l(x, t)$ passing through the plane $x_1 = t = 0$. These characteristics will be called discontinuity characteristics, and the surface which they form will be called the discontinuity surface.

Theorem 1. *As $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, the solution $u^\varepsilon(x, t)$ of problem (1)–(2) converges to the solution $u^0(x, t)$ of problem (1⁰)–(2⁰) everywhere outside the discontinuity surface of the function $u^0(x, t)$. This convergence is uniform in $(x, t) \in E^n \times [0, T]$ outside any δ -neighborhood of the discontinuity surface of the function $u^0(x, t)$, $\delta > 0$.*

In what follows we shall assume that the operator L_0 has the form $L_0 u = \partial u / \partial t + c(x, t)u$ (i.e., in (1) $b_i(x, t) = 0$). This can be achieved by replacing (x, t) by (\bar{x}, \bar{t}) , where \bar{t} is the length of the arc of the characteristic $l(x, t)$ between the points $(\bar{x}, 0)$ and (x, t) , and $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n)$ are the coordinates of the point of intersection of $l(x, t)$ with the plane $t = 0$.

I. Let $n = 1$. Then problem (1)–(2) takes the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{L}_\varepsilon(x, t)u^\varepsilon(x, t) &\equiv \varepsilon a_{2p}(x, t) \frac{\partial^{2p} u^\varepsilon}{\partial x^{2p}} + \dots + \varepsilon^{s/2p} a_s(x, t) \frac{\partial^s u^\varepsilon}{\partial x^s} + \\ &+ \varepsilon^{s/2p} \left\{ a_{s-1}(x, t) \frac{\partial^{s-1} u^\varepsilon}{\partial x^{s-1}} + \dots + a_1(x, t) \frac{\partial u^\varepsilon}{\partial x} \right\} + \frac{\partial u^\varepsilon}{\partial t} + c(x, t)u^\varepsilon = 0, \quad (3) \end{aligned}$$

$$u^\varepsilon(x, t)|_{t=0} = \psi(x)\chi(x), \quad (4)$$

where $x \in E' (-\infty < x < \infty)$, $t \in [0, T]$, $a_{2p}(x, t) = (-1)^p \tilde{a}_{2p}(x, t)$, $\tilde{a}_{2p}(x, t) \geq \beta \geq 0$.

Put $\tilde{L}_\varepsilon(x, t) \equiv L_{1\varepsilon}(x, t) + L_0(x, t)$, where $L_0(x, t) \equiv \partial / \partial t + c(x, t)$, $L_{1\varepsilon} = L_\varepsilon^1 + L_\varepsilon^2$, $\alpha(x, t) = \exp \left\{ - \int_0^t c(x, \tau) d\tau \right\}$, and denote by $U_0^\varepsilon(x, \xi, t, \tau)$ the fundamental solution of equation (3) in the case when the coefficients do not depend on x , $c(x, t) = 0$, $L_\varepsilon^2 = 0$. Consider the functions

$$v_{kj}^\varepsilon(x, t, \Phi_\varepsilon(0, t)) \equiv v_{kj}^\varepsilon(\Phi_\varepsilon) = \varepsilon^{j/2p} \int_0^t a_j \frac{\partial^k \Phi_\varepsilon(0, t)}{\partial x^k} \frac{\partial^{j-1-k} U_0^\varepsilon(x, 0, t, \tau)}{\partial x^{j-1-k}} d\tau$$

$$(k = 0, 1, \dots, j-1; j = 1, \dots, 2p),$$

where $\Phi_\varepsilon(x, t)$ is a function infinitely differentiable with respect to x, t and uniformly bounded in ε , together with all its derivatives with respect to x, t , for $(x, t) \in E' \times [0, T]$.

Lemma. If for all $(x, t) \in E' \times [0, T]$

$$|\Phi_\varepsilon(x, t)| \leq C\varepsilon^{l/2p},$$

where the constant $C > 0$, then

$$|v_{kj}^\varepsilon(\Phi_\varepsilon)| \leq C_1 t (\varepsilon t)^{k/2p} \varepsilon^{l/2p} \quad (\text{i.e. } v_{kj}^\varepsilon = O(\varepsilon^{(l+k)/2p})) \quad (5)$$

$$(k = 0, 1, \dots, j-1; j = 1, \dots, 2p)$$

for $(x, t) \in E' \times [0, T]$, with a constant $C_1 > 0$.

The proof of this lemma is based on estimates of the fundamental solution $U_0^\varepsilon(x, \xi, t, \tau)$ obtained in (4).

The functions $v_{kj}^\varepsilon(\Phi_\varepsilon)$ defined above ($k = 0, 1, \dots, j-1; j = 1, \dots, 2p$), for which the relations (5) are valid, will be called a $2p$ -parabolic boundary layer of order $(k+l)$.

Theorem 2. If the coefficients of equation (3) do not depend on x , $L_\varepsilon^2 = 0$, then the solution $u^\varepsilon(x, t)$ of problem (3)–(4) can be represented in the form

$$u^\varepsilon(x, t) = u^0(x, t) + \alpha(t) \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^l \sum_{j=s}^{2p} \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} v_{kj}^\varepsilon(z^{i\varepsilon}) + \sum_{i=1}^l \chi(x) z^{i\varepsilon}(x, t) + \bar{z}^{(l+1)\varepsilon}(x, t) \right\}, \quad (6)$$

where $u^0(x, t)$ is the solution of problem (3)–(4) for $\varepsilon = 0$; $z^{0\varepsilon}(x, t) = \psi(x)$; $z^{i\varepsilon}(x, t)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$, are determined recurrently by

$$\frac{dz^{(i+1)\varepsilon}}{dt} = -L_{1\varepsilon}(t) z^{i\varepsilon}(x, t), \quad z^{(i+1)\varepsilon}|_{t=0} = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, l-1;$$

$$[L_{1\varepsilon}(t) + \partial/\partial t] \bar{z}^{(l+1)\varepsilon}(x, t) = -[L_{1\varepsilon}(t) z^{l\varepsilon}(x, t)] \chi(x), \quad \bar{z}^{(l+1)\varepsilon}|_{t=0} = 0.$$

Moreover,

$$z^{i\varepsilon}(x, t) = O(\varepsilon^{is/2p}), \quad i = 1, \dots, l, \quad \bar{z}^{(l+1)\varepsilon}(x, t) = O(\varepsilon^{(l+1)s/2p})$$

for $(x, t) \in E' [0, T]$; $v_{kj}^\varepsilon(z^{i\varepsilon})$ ($k = 0, 1, \dots, j - 1$; $j = 1, \dots, 2p$) are functions of a $2p$ -parabolic boundary layer of order $(k + is)$.

If $L_\varepsilon^2 \neq 0$, then instead of (6) the following representation will hold for $u^\varepsilon(x, t)$:

$$u^\varepsilon(x, t) = w_0^\varepsilon + w_1^\varepsilon + \dots + w_m^\varepsilon + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{m+1}{2p}}\right), \quad (6')$$

where (6) is valid for w_0^ε , while $w_{k+1}^\varepsilon = O(\varepsilon^{k/2p})$, $k = 0, \dots, m - 1$, are determined recursively:

$$(L_\varepsilon^1 + L_0)w_{k+1}^\varepsilon = -L_\varepsilon^2 w_k^\varepsilon, \quad w_{k+1}^\varepsilon|_{t=0} = 0.$$

We note that from (6) the validity of Theorem 1 in the case under consideration follows immediately.

Theorem 3. If the coefficients of equation (3) depend on x and t , then for the solution $u^\varepsilon(x, t)$ of problem (3)–(4) the representation

$$u^\varepsilon(x, t) = \alpha(x, t)\{\bar{u}^0(x, t) + \bar{w}_0^\varepsilon(x, t) + w_1^\varepsilon + \dots + w_m^\varepsilon\} + O(\varepsilon^{(m+1)/2p}),$$

holds, where $\alpha(x, t)\bar{u}^0(x, t) = u^0(x, t)$. Moreover, for $u^0 + \bar{w}_0^\varepsilon$ in an $\varepsilon^{1/2p}$ -neighborhood of $x = 0$ the representation (6') is valid, while $w_k^\varepsilon(x, t) = O(\varepsilon^{k/2p})$, $k = 1, \dots, m$, uniformly with respect to $(x, t) \in E' \times [0, T]$.

II. Let $n > 1$. Denote by $\tilde{L}_{1\varepsilon}(x, t)$ that part of the operator $\tilde{L}_\varepsilon(x, t)$ which contains differentiation only with respect to x_1 , and by $u_1^\varepsilon(x, t)$ the solution of the equation $\tilde{L}_{1\varepsilon}u_1^\varepsilon(x, t) = 0$ under condition (2) (here x_2, \dots, x_n are regarded as parameters).

Theorem 4. For the solution $u^\varepsilon(x, t)$ of problem (1)–(2) there is the representation

$$u^\varepsilon(x, t) = u_1^\varepsilon(x, t) + u_2^\varepsilon(x, t) + \dots + u_{m+1}^\varepsilon(x, t) + O(\varepsilon^{(m+1)/2p}), \quad (7)$$

where u_k^ε , $k = 2, \dots, m + 1$, are determined recursively:

$$\tilde{L}_{1\varepsilon}u_{k+1}^\varepsilon(x, t) = -\tilde{L}_\varepsilon u_k^\varepsilon(x, t), \quad u_{k+1}^\varepsilon(x, t)|_{t=0} = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, m;$$

moreover, Theorem 3 is valid for $u_1^\varepsilon(x, t)$, and $u_k^\varepsilon(x, t) = O(\varepsilon^{(k-1)/2p})$, $k = 2, \dots, m + 1$.

Computing Center
Academy of Sciences of the USSR

Received
30 VI 1962

CITED LITERATURE

1. I. G. Petrovskii, *Bull. Moscow State Univ.*, Section A, **7** (1938).
2. E. K. Isakova, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, **117**, No. 6 (1957).
3. E. K. Isakova, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, **119**, No. 6 (1958).
4. O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, *Mat. sbornik*, **27** (69), 175 (1950).

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.