



---

Soviet-era science, translated into English

# Reports of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR

1963

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196301.05580>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

## Reports of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR

1963. Volume 151, No. 4

### MATHEMATICS

M. I. VISHIK

#### ON THE SOLVABILITY OF THE FIRST BOUNDARY-VALUE PROBLEM FOR QUASILINEAR EQUATIONS WITH RAPIDLY GROWING COEFFICIENTS IN ORLICZ CLASSES

*(Presented by Academician I. G. Petrovskii, February 26, 1963)*

In <sup>(1,2)</sup> we considered the first boundary-value problem for quasilinear equations and systems of order  $2m$  with "coefficients" having only a power order of growth with respect to the derivatives  $D^\alpha u$ ,  $|\alpha| = m$ . Here we shall consider the case when the "coefficients" may have an arbitrary order of growth with respect to  $D^\alpha u$ . If this order of growth is greater than any power, then the solution of the first boundary-value problem is found in a certain Orlicz class <sup>(3)</sup>, related to the principal part of the equation in the same way as the Dirichlet integral is related to the principal part of linear elliptic equations.

Let in a bounded domain  $G \subset R^n$  with boundary  $\Gamma$  there be given an elliptic equation (respectively, a system of equations) of order  $2m$ :

$$L(u) \equiv L_0(u) + V(u) = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} (-1)^m D^\alpha A_\alpha(x, D^\omega u, D^\gamma u) + V(u) = 0, \quad (1)$$

where  $|\omega| < m$ ;  $|\gamma| = m$ ;  $D^\beta = \partial^{|\beta|} / \partial \beta_1 x_1 \dots \partial \beta_n x_n$ ;  $|\beta| = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_n$ ;  $L_0(u)$  is the principal part of the operator  $L(u)$ ;

$$V(u) \equiv \sum_{|\beta| < m} (-1)^{|\beta|} D^\beta V_\beta(x, D^\omega u, D^\gamma u) + \sum_{|\beta|=m} (-1)^m D^\beta V_\beta(x, D^\omega u) \quad (2)$$

is an operator of order not exceeding  $2m - 1$ , which, under the conditions formulated below, we shall call subordinate. On  $\Gamma$ , for simplicity, homogeneous boundary conditions are prescribed:

$$u|_\Gamma = 0, \quad D^\omega u|_\Gamma = 0, \quad |\omega| \leq m - 1. \quad (3)$$

(For nonhomogeneous boundary conditions see (2).)

We formulate four conditions under which the solvability of problem (1), (3) is proved.

**Condition 1.** For any  $K$  and  $|\xi_\omega| \leq K$ ,  $|\omega| \leq m - 1$ , there exist constants  $C$ ,  $c$ ,  $C_1$  and a convex  $N$ -function  $\rho(\zeta)$ ,  $\zeta = \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=m} \zeta_\alpha^2\right)^{1/2}$ , depending on  $K$ , such that for large  $\zeta$

$$c\rho(\zeta) \leq \sum_{|\alpha|=m} A_\alpha(x, \xi_\omega, \zeta_\gamma) \zeta_\alpha \leq C\rho(\zeta). \quad (4)$$

In addition, it is assumed that:

a) for any  $p > 0$

$$\rho(\zeta) > c_p |\zeta|^p - C_p$$

(the case of power growth of  $\rho(\zeta)$  was considered in (1,2));  $\rho'(0) = 0$ ,  $\frac{d}{d\zeta} \left(\frac{\rho(\zeta)}{\zeta}\right) > 0$  for  $\zeta > 0$ ;

b)

$$|A_\alpha(x, \xi_\omega, \zeta_\gamma)| < C \frac{\rho(\zeta)}{\zeta} + C_1 \quad (|\xi_\omega| \leq K, x \in G); \quad (5)$$

c) the functions  $A_\alpha(x, \xi_\omega, \zeta_\gamma)$  are continuous in all arguments and continuously differentiable with respect to  $\zeta_\gamma$ ; with respect to the arguments  $x, \xi_\omega$  they are continuous.

in the following sense:

$$|A_\alpha(x, \xi_\omega, \zeta_\gamma) - A_\alpha(x', \xi'_\omega, \zeta_\gamma)| \leq \varepsilon (|x - x'| + |\xi - \xi'|) \frac{\rho(\zeta)}{\zeta}, \quad (6)$$

where  $\varepsilon(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ .

**Condition 2.** For  $|\xi_\omega| \leq K$ ,  $|\omega| \leq m - 1$ , there exists a constant  $C = C(K)$  such that

$$\sum_{|\alpha|, |\beta|=m} A_{\alpha\beta}(x, \xi_\omega, \zeta_\gamma) \eta_\beta \eta_\alpha \geq C \left(\frac{\rho(\zeta)}{\zeta^2 + 1} + 1\right) \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \eta_\alpha^2, \quad (7)$$

where  $A_{\alpha\beta} = \partial A_\alpha / \partial \zeta_\beta$ . (This condition means that the variation of the operator  $L_0$  with respect to  $D^\gamma u$  is a positive definite operator under the boundary conditions (3).)

**Condition 3** (it ensures the subordination of the operator  $V(u)$ ). For  $|\xi_\omega| \leq |K|$ ,  $|\omega| \leq m - 1$ , there exist functions  $f_\beta(x)$  and  $q_\beta(x)$ , depending on  $K$ , such that

$$\text{for } |\beta| = m \quad |V_\beta(x, \xi_\omega)| \leq f_\beta(x), \quad (8)$$

where  $[N(f_\beta(x))] < +\infty$ ,  $N(\eta)$  is the complementary convex  $N$ -function to  $\rho(\zeta)$  (recall that  $\xi\eta \leq \rho(\xi) + N(\eta)$ ;  $[\varphi]$  is the integral of  $\varphi$  over  $G$ );

$$\text{for } |\beta| < m \quad |V_\beta(x, \xi_\omega, \zeta_\gamma)| \leq q_\beta(x)\rho_1(\zeta) + q_\beta(x)f_\beta(x), \quad (9)$$

where  $\rho_1(\zeta) > 0$  for  $\zeta > 0$  and  $\lim \rho_1(\zeta)/\rho(\zeta) = 0$  as  $\zeta \rightarrow \infty$ ;  $q_\beta(x) \geq 0$  and may have singularities on  $\Gamma$  at a finite number of points or manifolds. For example, in the case of a singularity at one point  $x_0 \in \Gamma$ ,

$$q_\beta(x) = \frac{C}{|x - x_0|^k}, \quad \text{where } k < m - |\beta|. \quad (10)$$

**Condition 4.** For any  $\xi_\omega, \zeta_\gamma$  the estimate holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{|\beta| < m} V_\beta(x, \xi_\omega, \zeta_\gamma)\xi_\beta + \sum_{|\beta| = m} V_\beta(x, \xi_\omega)\zeta_\beta \leq \\ & \leq (1 - \delta) \sum_{|\alpha| = m} A_\alpha(x, \xi_\omega, \zeta_\gamma)\zeta_\alpha + f(x), \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where  $f(x) \in \mathcal{L}_1$ ,  $\delta > 0$ ; moreover, it is assumed that

$$\sum_{|\alpha| = m} A_\alpha(x, \xi_\omega, \zeta_\gamma)\zeta_\alpha \geq C_2 \sum_{|\gamma| = m} |\zeta_\gamma|^{n+\varepsilon} - C_1, \quad \varepsilon > 0. \quad (12)$$

We note that from (11) and (12) there follows the uniform boundedness of possible solutions of (1), (3):

$$\sum_{|\gamma| = m} [|D^\gamma u|^{n+\varepsilon}] \leq C_3$$

and, consequently,

$$|D^\omega u| \leq K \quad \text{for } |\omega| \leq m - 1. \quad (13)$$

To verify this, it suffices to multiply (1) scalarly by  $u$ , and to use first (11) and then (12).

Here is the simplest example of an equation for which all the conditions listed above are satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \sum \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \exp \left[ \sum \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} \right)^2 \right] (1 + \varphi_i(x, u)) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) + \\
 & + \sum \frac{\psi_i(x, u)}{|x - x_0|^{1-\varepsilon}} \exp \left[ \sum \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} \right)^2 \right] \sum \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} \right|^{2-\varepsilon_1} + \sum \frac{\partial h_i(x)}{\partial x_i} = 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varepsilon, \varepsilon_1 > 0$ ,  $h_i(x) \in \mathcal{L}_{1+\varepsilon_2}$ ,  $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ ,  $\varphi_i(x, u)$ ,  $\psi_i(x, u) \geq 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

By the Orlicz class  $O_\rho^{(m)}$  we shall understand the set of functions  $u(x)$  satisfying the conditions (3), for which the integral

$$[\rho(D^m u)] < +\infty, \quad D^m u = \left( \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |D^\alpha u| \right)^{1/2}. \quad (14)$$

Let  $\rho(\zeta)$  correspond to the constant  $K$  in (13) and to Conditions 1, 2. A function  $u(x)$  is called a **solution** of problem (1), (3) in the class  $O_\rho^{(m)}$  if  $u \in O_\rho^{(m)}$ ,  $|D^\omega u| \leq K$  for  $|\omega| \leq m - 1$ , and for every function  $v \in O_\rho^{(m)}$  (and their linear hull, forming the Orlicz space  $H_\rho^{(m)}$ ) ful-

the relation

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=m} [A_\alpha(x, D^\omega u, D^\gamma u), D^\alpha v] + \sum_{|\beta| \leq m} [V_\beta(\dots), D^\beta v] = 0. \quad (15)$$

From conditions 1-4 there follows the convergence of all integrals in (15).

**Theorem.** *If the functions  $A_\alpha$  and  $V_\beta$  in equation (1) satisfy conditions 1-4, then problem (1), (3) is solvable in the class  $O_\rho^{(m)}$ .*

We give the idea of the proof.

1. First one proves the solvability of an equation of the form

$$L(u) \equiv \sum_{|\alpha|=m} (-1)^m D^\alpha A_\alpha(x, D^\gamma u) + h(x) = 0 \quad (16)$$

under the boundary conditions (3); here the  $A_\alpha$  satisfy conditions 1, 2 and the  $A_\alpha$  are continuously differentiable with respect to  $x$ , and moreover

$$|\partial A_\alpha(x, \xi_\gamma) / \partial x_i| \leq C \frac{\rho(\xi)}{\xi} + C_1, \quad h(x) \in \mathcal{L}_2(G).$$

The proof of the existence of a solution of this problem is carried out with the aid of an analogue of the Galerkin method described in (1,2). Let  $\{z_i(x)\}$  be a system of smooth functions such that the functions

$$v_i(x) = Bz_i \equiv -\psi(x)\Delta z_i + Mz_i$$

$$(M > 0, \psi(x) > 0, x \in G, \psi|_{\Gamma} = D^\nu \psi|_{\Gamma} = 0, |\nu| \leq 2m - 1)$$

form a complete system in  $C^{(m)}(\overline{G})$ . The approximate solution  $u_k = \sum C_{ki} z_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, k$ ) of problem (1), (3) is determined from the system of nonlinear equations:

$$[L(u_k), Bz_j] = 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, k). \quad (17)$$

From the analogue of Lemma 2 (2) there follows the solvability of system (17) with respect to  $C_{ki}$ . From the relation  $[L(u_k), Bu_k] = 0$ , which follows from (17), with the aid of conditions 1, 2 we derive the estimate

$$[\rho(D^m u_k)] + [\psi(x) (\rho(D^m u_k) / (|D^m u_k|^2 + 1) + 1) |D^{m+1} u_k|^2] < C, \quad (18)$$

where  $C$  does not depend on  $k$ . Hence it follows that there exists a subsequence  $\{u_r\}$  such that  $\{u_r\}$  and  $\{D^\gamma u_r\}$ ,  $|\gamma| = m$ , converge almost everywhere in  $G$  to  $u$  and, respectively, to  $D^\gamma u$ . From (18), (5), and the Vallée-Poussin theorem it follows that  $A_\alpha(x, D^\gamma u_r)$  are equi-absolutely continuous (with respect to  $r$ ) functions of  $x$ . Substituting  $k = r$  in (17) and passing to the limit as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain, using the completeness of  $\{Bz_j\}$ , that

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=m} [A_\alpha(x, D^\gamma u), D^\alpha v] + [h, v] = 0 \quad (19)$$

for any  $v \in C^{(m)}(\overline{G})$ . Next, by means of a process of weak closure with respect to  $v$ , the validity of relation (19) is established for any  $v \in O_p^{(m)}$  (and  $v \in H_p^{(m)}$ ).

The function  $u(x)$  found is the unique solution of problem (16), (3), since, by virtue of conditions 2 and 1,

$$C_5 \left[ \rho \left( \frac{D^m(u_1 - u_2)}{C_4} \right) \right] \leq \sum_{|\alpha|=m} [A_\alpha(x, D^\gamma u_1) - A_\alpha(x, D^\gamma u_2), D^\alpha(u_1 - u_2)]. \quad (20)$$

2. It is established that problem (16), (3) is uniquely solvable if  $A_\alpha(x, \xi_\gamma)$  are only continuous with respect to  $x$  and  $h(x) = \sum D^\alpha h_\alpha(x)$ ,  $|\alpha| \leq m$ , where  $[N(h_\alpha)] < +\infty$  ( $h$  is a generalized function);  $N(\eta)$  is an additional function to  $\rho(\xi)$ . For the proof, equations of the form (16) are considered in which the functions  $A_\alpha$  and  $h_\alpha(x)$  are replaced by their averages with respect to  $x$  (see (2)), and it is proved that the solutions of these averaged equations converge to the desired solution.
3. We now consider the general equation

$$L(u) = L_0(u) + V^\lambda(u) = 0, \quad (21)$$

where  $L_0(u)$  is given by formula (1), and  $V^\lambda(u)$  by formula (2), in which the func-

the functions  $V_\beta$  have been replaced by bounded functions  $V_\beta^\lambda$ :

$$V_\beta^\lambda = (1 + \lambda \Sigma V_{\beta_1}^2)^{-1} V_\beta \quad (|\beta_1| \leq m), \quad (22)$$

which tend, as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ , to  $V_\beta$ . Let us prove that problem (21), (3) has at least one solution  $u_\lambda(x)$ . To this end, in the principal part  $L_0(u)$  (see (1)) we replace the arguments  $D^\omega u$ ,  $|\omega| \leq m - 1$ , by  $D^\omega w$ , where  $w \in C^{(m-1)}(\bar{G})$ :

$$L_0(w; u) \equiv \sum_{|\alpha|=m} (-1)^{mD^\alpha} A_\alpha(x, D^\omega w, D^\gamma u). \quad (23)$$

For the operator  $L_0(w; u)$ , for any fixed function  $w \in C^{(m-1)}(\bar{G})$ , the functions  $A_\alpha(x, D^\omega w, \xi_\gamma)$  are continuous in  $x$ , and, by item 2, it is uniquely invertible:  $L_0(w; u) = h$ ,  $u = R_0(w; h)$ . Equation (21) is equivalent to the following:

$$u = R_0(u, -V^\lambda(u)). \quad (24)$$

The operator  $R_0(u, -tV^\lambda(u))$ , considered, for example, in the space  $u \in W_p^{(m)}$ , where  $p > n$ , is completely continuous for any  $t$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , and moreover  $R_0(u, -0 \cdot V^\lambda) \equiv 0$ .

From condition 4 we infer that, for sufficiently large  $r$ , the degree of the covering of zero under the mapping of the ball  $\|u\|_{m,p} \leq r$  by the operator  $u \rightarrow u - R_0(u, -tV^\lambda(u))$  does not depend on  $t$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . Since for  $t = 0$  it is equal to one, it is also equal to one for  $t = 1$ . Hence it follows that equation (24), and with it problem (21), (3), has at least one solution  $u = u_\lambda(x)$ , which, by conditions 4 and 1, belongs to  $O_\rho^{(m)}$ , and  $|D^\omega u_\lambda| \leq K$ .

4. Let us prove that some subsequence of the solutions found  $u_\lambda(x)$  converges to a solution of the general equation (1), whose coefficients satisfy conditions 1–4. From conditions 1 and 4 it follows that, for any  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$|D^\omega u_\lambda| \leq K, \quad \text{for } |\omega| \leq m - 1, \quad [\rho(D^m u_\lambda)] \leq K_1. \quad (25)$$

Hence, from (21) for  $u = u_\lambda$ , from condition 3, and from (20), it follows that there exists a subsequence  $\mu \rightarrow 0$  for which  $D^\gamma u_\mu \rightarrow D^\gamma u$ ,  $|\gamma| \leq m$ ,  $u_\mu \rightarrow u$  almost everywhere in  $G$ , and the functions  $A_\alpha(x, D^\omega u_\mu, D^\gamma u_\mu)$ ,  $V_\beta^\mu(x, D^\omega u_\mu, D^\gamma u_\mu)$  (for  $|\beta| < m$ ),  $V_\beta^\mu(x, D^\omega u_\mu)$  (for  $|\beta| = m$ ) are equi-absolutely continuous (in  $\mu$ ) with respect to  $x$ .

It follows that in the relation satisfied by the functions  $u_\mu$ :

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=m} [A_\alpha(x, D^\omega u_\mu, D^\gamma u_\mu), D^\alpha v] + \sum_{\beta} [V_\beta^\mu(\dots), D^\beta v] = 0, \quad (26)$$

one may pass to the limit as  $\mu \rightarrow 0$ , if  $v \in C^{(m)}(\overline{G})$ . We obtain that the limiting function  $u(x)$  satisfies relation (15) for  $v \in C^{(m)}(\overline{G})$ . By means of a limiting passage in  $v$  we establish the validity of (15) for arbitrary  $v \in O_\rho^{(m)}$ . The theorem is proved.

Received  
20 II 1963

## CITED LITERATURE

1. M. I. Vishik, DAN, **138**, No. 3, 518 (1961).
2. M. I. Vishik, Tr. Moscow Math. Soc., **12**, 125 (1963).
3. M. A. Krasnosel'skii, Ya. D. Rutitskii, *Convex Functions and Orlicz Spaces*, Moscow, 1958.
4. T. B. Solomyak, DAN, **146**, No. 6, 1282 (1962).

---

\* We note that T. B. Solomyak (see, for example, (4)) used an analogous idea of replacing the coefficients  $A_\alpha$  of the principal part by bounded  $A_\alpha^\lambda$  in the case of equations of second order. Here this idea is used for replacing the lower-order coefficients  $V_\beta$  by bounded  $V_\beta^\lambda$ .

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*