

# SOME THEOREMS OF THE THEORY OF $\Psi$ -STABILITY IN COOPERATIVE GAMES

1963

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196301.05424>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

## CYBERNETICS AND THE THEORY OF REGULATION

O. N. BONDAREVA

### SOME THEOREMS OF THE THEORY OF $\Psi$ -STABILITY IN COOPERATIVE GAMES

*(Presented by Academician P. S. Novikov, 3 VI 1963)*

Consider a cooperative game given by a characteristic function in 0-1-reduced form (see <sup>(1)</sup>). Consider the set of imputations

$$A = \left\{ a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) : a_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 1 \right\}.$$

To each coalition  $S \subset I_n$  assign the vector  $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ , where  $s_i = 1$  if  $i \in S$ , and  $s_i = 0$  if  $i \notin S$ .

We shall call a system of numbers  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$ ,  $\lambda_j \geq 0$ , a  $q$ - $\theta$ -covering of  $I_n$  if

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j S_j = I_n, \quad S_j \subset I_n,$$

where  $q$  is the number of positive  $\lambda_j$ , and  $\theta$  is the set of corresponding coalitions  $S_j$  (see <sup>(3)</sup>). The extreme points of the set of coverings are called reduced coverings; their number is finite. A subset  $U$  of the set  $A$  is called a core if, for any  $\alpha \in U$ , the condition

$$\sum_{i \in S} \alpha_i = S \cdot \alpha \geq v(S) \quad \text{for all } S \subset I_n$$

is satisfied.

Any partition  $\tau$  of the set  $I_n$  into disjoint coalitions is called a coalition structure.

Suppose that for each  $\tau$  a mapping  $\Psi(\tau)$  into the set of all coalitions  $S \subset I_n$  is given, with  $\tau \subset \Psi(\tau)$ . A pair  $[\alpha, \tau]$  ( $\alpha \in A$ ) is called  $\Psi$ -stable if the following conditions are satisfied: 1)  $S \cdot \alpha \geq v(S)$  for all  $S \in \Psi(\tau)$ ; 2) if  $\alpha_i = 0$  ( $= v(\{i\})$ ), then  $\{i\} \in \tau$ .

The concept of  $\Psi$ -stability was introduced by Luce (see, for example, <sup>(1,4)</sup>), as was the concept of  $k$ -stability. The known theorems on  $k$ -stability concerning classes of symmetric games and games with a quota also belong to him.

In the present paper  $\Psi$ -stability is studied by means of methods of linear programming.

**Lemma.** In order that a system of inequalities of the form

$$S \cdot \alpha \geq v(S), \quad S \in \Xi,$$

$$I_n \cdot \alpha = 1$$

( $\Xi$  is some set of coalitions) have a solution, it is necessary and sufficient that, for every reduced  $q$ - $\theta$ -covering  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$  such that  $\theta \subset \Xi$ , the inequality

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j v(S_j) \leq 1,$$

be satisfied.

moreover, in order that at least one of the inequalities be strict, it is necessary that

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j v(S_j) < 1$$

for coverings in which the  $\lambda_j$  corresponding to this inequality is  $> 0$ .

The proof is based on the theorems on the solvability of systems of linear inequalities from (2).

**Theorem 1.** In order that, for some  $\tau$ , there exist a  $\Psi$ -stable pair  $[a, \tau]$ , it is necessary and sufficient that, for every reduced  $q$ - $\theta$ -covering  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$ , for which  $\theta \subset \{\Psi(\tau), \{1\}, \dots, \{n\}\}$ , the inequality

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j v(S_j) \leq 1$$

hold, and the inequality must be strict for coverings containing one-element sets not belonging to  $\tau$ .

**Proof.** In order that the pair  $[a, \tau]$  be  $\Psi$ -stable, it is necessary and sufficient that  $a$  be a solution of the system of inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} S \cdot a &\geq v(S), & S \in \Psi(\tau) & \quad (\text{condition 1}), \\ a_i &> 0, & i \in S \in \tau \text{ and } |S| \geq 2 & \quad (\text{condition 2}), \\ a_i &\geq 0 & \text{for the remaining } i, & \\ \mathbf{I}_n \cdot a &= 1 & & \end{aligned} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} a \in A, \end{array} \right.$$

and it remains for us only to refer to the lemma just formulated, which completes the proof.

Denote by  $\bar{\Gamma}$  a game in which there is no such  $q$ - $\theta$ -covering  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$  that

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j v(S_j) \leq 1.$$

**Corollary 1.** Whatever the mapping  $\Psi(\tau)$  may be, in the game  $\bar{\Gamma}$  there exist no  $\Psi$ -stable pairs.

**Corollary 2.** For a game with a superadditive payoff function there exist  $\Psi$ -stable pairs for a certain choice of  $\Psi$ .

The assertion is true if, for example, the mapping  $\Psi(\tau)$  is a “partition” of the coalitions from  $\tau$ .

**Corollary 3.** Suppose that in a game

$$(\lambda_1^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda_m^{(1)}), \dots, (\lambda_1^{(t)}, \dots, \lambda_m^{(t)})$$

are all the reduced  $q_i$ - $\theta_i$ -coverings for which

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j^{(i)} v(S_j) \leq 1;$$

then, in order that a  $\Psi$ -stable pair  $[a, \tau]$  exist, it is necessary that

$$\Psi(\tau) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^t \theta_i.$$

**Corollary 4** (Theorem 1 from (3)). In order that the game  $\Gamma$  have a core, it is necessary and sufficient that, for every reduced  $q$ - $\theta$ -covering  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$ , one have

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j v(S_j) \leq 1.$$

The proof follows from the fact that if  $\Psi(\tau)$ , for every  $\tau$ , is a mapping onto the set of all coalitions, then every pair  $[a, \tau]$ , where  $a \in U$ , is  $\Psi$ -stable, and conversely, for every  $\Psi$ -stable pair  $a \in U$ .

Let us now consider a function  $\Psi(\tau)$  of a certain special form (see (1), pp. 289–290): we assume that  $\Psi(\tau)$  consists of all coalitions  $T$  for which there exists an  $S \in \tau$  such that

$$|T \setminus S| + |S \setminus T| \leq k$$

(the modulus sign for a set denotes the number of its elements), where  $k$  is a given integer.  $\Psi$ -stability in this case is called  $K$ -stability.

Recall that a game is called symmetric if  $v(S) = v(|S|)$ .

**Theorem 2** (Theorem 1 from <sup>(4)</sup>). *In order for a symmetric game to be  $k$ -stable, it is necessary and sufficient that the condition*

$$v(S) \leq \frac{|S|}{n} \quad \text{for } |S| = 2, \dots, k+1$$

be satisfied.

**Proof. Sufficiency.** If  $v(S)$  satisfies the condition of the theorem, then the pair

$$\left[ \left( \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n} \right), (\{1\}, \dots, \{n\}) \right]$$

is  $k$ -stable (see the definition).

**Necessity.** Let  $[a, \tau]$  be  $k$ -stable, and let  $\tau = (S_1, \dots, S_l)$ . Fix some  $r$ :  $2 \leq r \leq k+1$ . Consider

$$rI_n = \{1, \dots, n, 1, \dots, n, \dots, 1, \dots, n\}.$$

Redistribute the players in  $rI_n$  so that a partition of  $rI_n$  into sets  $S'_1, \dots, S'_m$  is obtained such that  $|S'_j| = a_{jr}$  ( $a_j$  an integer). This operation can be carried out so that to each set from  $\tau$  there will be added (or subtracted) the least negative (positive) remainder upon division by  $r$ . Since  $r \leq k+1$ , the remainders will not exceed  $k$ , and this means that the sets  $S'_1, \dots, S'_m \in \Psi(\tau)$ . They form an  $m$ - $\theta$ -covering, since

$$\sum_{j=1}^m S'_j = rI_n \quad \text{or} \quad \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{r} S'_j = I_n.$$

By Theorem 1, it is necessary that

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{r} v(S'_j) \leq 1.$$

Since  $|S'_j| = a_{jr}$ , considering  $v(S)$  superadditive, we obtain

$$v(S'_j) \geq a_j v(r), \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

Thus,

$$1 \geq \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{r} v(S'_j) \geq \frac{v(r)}{r} \sum_{j=1}^m a_j = v(r) \frac{n}{r},$$

i.e.  $v(r) \leq r/n$  for any  $r = 2, \dots, k+1$ , as was required to prove.

**Corollary.** *In order for a symmetric game to have a core, it is necessary and sufficient that  $v(S) \leq \frac{|S|}{n}$  for every  $S \subset I_n$ .*

Received  
30 V 1963

## REFERENCES

<sup>1</sup> R. D. Luce, H. Raiffa, *Games and Decisions*, IL, 1961. <sup>2</sup> Fan Tzu, Systems of linear inequalities, *Linear Inequalities and Related Systems*, IL, 1959. <sup>3</sup> O. N. Bondareva, *Vestn. Leningr. Univ.*, No. 13, 141 (1962). <sup>4</sup> R. D. Luce, *Ann. Math.*, **62**, No. 3, 517 (1955).

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*