



Soviet-era science, translated into English

ON A PROBLEM OF S. N. BERNSTEIN

1963

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196301.04806>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

MATHEMATICS

I. Yu. RYZHAKOV

ON A PROBLEM OF S. N. BERNSTEIN

(Presented by Academician V. I. Smirnov, 12 VI 1963)

Denote by $C_{2\pi}$ the space of continuous functions $x(t)$ defined on $[0, 2\pi]$ and satisfying the condition $x(0) = x(2\pi)$; by $\mathcal{P}_{n,h}(\alpha, \beta)$ the class of trigonometric polynomials $P_n(t)$,

$$P_n(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n (a_k \cos kt + b_k \sin kt)$$

of order not exceeding n , with real coefficients a_k and b_k and fixed $a_h = \alpha$ and $b_h = \beta$, $0 < h \leq n$; and by \mathcal{P}_n the class of trigonometric polynomials $P_n(t)$ subject to the condition

$$\sup_{[0, 2\pi]} |P_n(t)| = 1.$$

In ⁽¹⁾, pp. 28-31, the problem was posed of finding

$$M_{n,h}(\alpha, \beta) = \inf_{P_n(t) \in \mathcal{P}_{n,h}(\alpha, \beta)} \sup_{[0, 2\pi]} |P_n(t)|.$$

There S. N. Bernstein obtained an estimate of this quantity and investigated its asymptotics.

In the present article the exact value of $M_{n,h}(\alpha, \beta)$ is found and a polynomial $\pi_{n,h}(\alpha, \beta, t) \in \mathcal{P}_{n,h}(\alpha, \beta)$ is constructed for which

$$\sup_{[0, 2\pi]} |\pi_{n,h}(\alpha, \beta, t)| = M_{n,h}(\alpha, \beta).$$

Since $M_{n,h}(\alpha, \beta) = M_{m,1}(\alpha, \beta)$, where $m = E(n/h)$, it is possible to restrict oneself to considering the class $\mathcal{P}_{n,1}(\alpha, \beta)$. Moreover, if the polynomial $\pi_{n,1}(\alpha, \beta, t)$ has the least deviation from zero on $[0, 2\pi]$ in $\mathcal{P}_{n,1}(\alpha, \beta)$, then the polynomial $A\pi_{n,1}(\alpha, \beta, t + \varphi)$, where

$$A^2 = \frac{1}{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}, \quad \varphi = \operatorname{arctg} \frac{\beta}{\alpha},$$

belongs to $\mathcal{P}_{n,1}(1, 0)$, and its deviation from zero is the least in this class. Consequently, it suffices to indicate in $\mathcal{P}_{n,1}(1, 0)$ a polynomial with least deviation from zero and to find $M_{n,1}(1, 0)$. This last problem may be replaced by the following: in \mathcal{P}_n , find a polynomial whose coefficient a_1 is largest, and find

$$\sup_{P_n} a_1.$$

Below precisely this formulation of the problem is considered.

The article uses the method of functionals proposed by E. V. Voronovskaya (2, 3).

A linear functional F on $C_{2\pi}$ may be specified by a moment sequence $(\lambda_k)_{-\infty}^{\infty}$,

$$\lambda_k = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{ikt} dg(t), \quad k = 0, \pm 1, \dots,$$

where $g(t)$ is a real-valued function of bounded variation on $[0, 2\pi]$, with

$$\text{Var}_{[0, 2\pi]} g(t) = \|F\|.$$

A function $x(t) \in C_{2\pi}$, $\sup_{[0, 2\pi]} |x(t)| = 1$, will be called extremal for F if $F(x) = \|F\|$.

The segment $(\lambda_k)_{-n}^n$, $\lambda_k = \bar{\lambda}_{-k}$, defines a functional F_n on the set \mathcal{P}_n ,

$$F_n(P_n) = \sum_{k=-n}^n c_k \lambda_k, \quad P_n(t) = \sum_{k=-n}^n c_k e^{ikt}, \quad P_n(t) \in \mathcal{P}_n.$$

The condition necessary and sufficient for $P_n(t)$, $P_n(t) \in \mathcal{P}_n$, $P_n(t) \neq 1$, to be extremal for F_n , consists in the fulfillment of the equalities

$$P_n(t_j) = \text{sign } \delta_j. \quad (1)$$

for all nonzero δ_j . Here $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_s < 2\pi$ are the deviation points of $P_n(t)$ on $[0, 2\pi)$, and $(\delta_j)_s$ are found from the system

$$\lambda_k = \sum_{j=1}^s \theta_j^k \delta_j, \quad \theta_j = e^{it_j}, \quad k = 0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm n. \quad (2)$$

In the case when (1) is fulfilled, $\|F_n\| = \sum_{j=1}^s |\delta_j|$, and the extension $F_n = (\lambda_k)_{-n}^n$ to the sets \mathcal{P}_{n+p} , $p = 1, 2, \dots$, with preservation of norms is unique and is realized

by the numbers

$$\lambda_{n+p} = \sum_{j=1}^s \theta_j^{n+p} \delta_j, \quad p = 1, 2, \dots$$

Consider the functional $F_{n,h} = (\lambda_k)_{-n}^n$, where $\lambda_k = 0$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, h-1, h+1, \dots, n$; $\lambda_n = 1$; $\lambda_k = \lambda_{-k}$. Obviously, $F_{n,h}(P_n) = c_{-h} + c_h = a_h$. Thus, the problem is reduced to finding an extremal polynomial and the norm of the functional $F_{n,1}$.

Lemma. Let

$$\sigma_k = \begin{cases} \cos \frac{2k-1}{2(l+2)}\pi, & k = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{1}{2}(l+1), \\ \cos \frac{2k+1}{2(l+2)}\pi, & k = \frac{1}{2}(l+3), \dots, l+1, \end{cases} \quad \text{for } l \text{ odd;}$$

$$\sigma_k = \begin{cases} \cos \frac{k-1}{l+2}\pi, & k = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{1}{2}l+1, \\ \cos \frac{k}{l+2}\pi, & k = \frac{1}{2}l+2, \dots, l+2, \end{cases} \quad \text{for } l \text{ even.}$$

There exists an algebraic polynomial $H_l(x)$ of degree $2l+1$ such that

$$\sup_{[-1,1]} |H_l(x)| = 1;$$

$$H_l(\sigma_k) = \begin{cases} +1, & k = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{1}{2}(l+1), \\ -1, & k = \frac{1}{2}(l+3), \dots, l+1, \end{cases} \quad \text{for } l \text{ odd;}$$

$$H_l(\sigma_k) = \begin{cases} +1, & k = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{1}{2}l+1, \\ -1, & k = \frac{1}{2}l+2, \dots, l+2, \end{cases} \quad \text{for } l \text{ even.}$$

Proof. The polynomial $H_l(x)$ is the Hermite interpolation polynomial constructed at the nodes σ_k :

$$H_l(x) = 2 \frac{T_{l+2}^2(x)}{(l+2)^2 x} \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(l+1)} \sigma_k \frac{\sigma_k^4 - (3\sigma_k^2 - 2)x^2}{(x^2 - \sigma_k^2)^2} \quad \text{for } l \text{ odd,}$$

$$H_l(x) = \frac{T_{l+2}^2(x)}{(l+2)^4 x} \left[1 - 2(x^2 - 1) \sum_{k=2}^{\frac{1}{2}l+1} \sigma_k \frac{\sigma_k^4 - (3\sigma_k^2 - 2)x^2}{(x^2 - \sigma_k^2)^2} \right] \quad \text{for } l \text{ even.}$$

Here $T_{l+2}(x) = \cos(l+2) \arccos x$. The condition $\sup_{[-1,1]} |H_l(x)| = 1$ is easily verified if one observes that the expression $\sigma_k^4 - (3\sigma_k^2 - 2)x^2$ is nonnegative on $[-1, 1]$ for all k .

Theorem. *Let $2l + 1 \leq n < 2l + 3$, $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. The extremal polynomial for $F_{n,1}$ is $H_l(\text{const})$.*

Proof. We write the system (2) for the functional $F_{2l+1,1}$ and the polynomial $H_l(\cos t)$. In our case $s = 2(l+1)$, and the points $(\theta_j)_1^{2(l+1)}$ are the roots of the polynomial

$$\frac{z^{2(l+2)} - (-1)^l}{z^2 + 1}.$$

From (2) we find

$$\delta_k = (-1)^k \frac{\theta_k^{2(l+1)}}{\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} (\theta_k - \theta_j) \prod_{j=k+1}^{2(l+1)} (\theta_j - \theta_k)} \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, 2(l+1);$$

$$\arg \delta_k = (k + 1/2)\pi + (l+2)t_k \quad \text{for } l \text{ odd,}$$

$$\arg \delta_k = (k + 1)\pi + (l+2)t_k \quad \text{for } l \text{ even.}$$

It is now easy to establish the equalities (1) for the found δ_k . Thus the theorem is proved for $n = 2l + 1$. Extending $F_{2l+1,1}$ to the set \mathcal{P}_{2l+2} with preservation of the norm, we obtain

$$\lambda_{2l+2} = \sum_{j=1}^{2(l+1)} \theta_j^{2l+2} \delta_j = 0,$$

i.e. the indicated extension of the functional $F_{2l+1,1}$ is the functional $F_{2l+2,1}$. Since the polynomial $H_l(\cos t)$ is extremal for $F_{2l+1,1}$, it is also extremal for $F_{2l+2,1}$.

Corollary 1. *If $2l + 1 \leq n < 2l + 3$, $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, then*

$$\sup_{\mathcal{P}_n} a_1 = \frac{2}{l+2} \text{ctg} \frac{\pi}{2(l+2)}.$$

Indeed, for the indicated n ,

$$\sup_{\mathcal{P}_n} a_1 = \sup_{\mathcal{P}_{2l+1}} a_1 = \|F_{2l+1,1}\| = \sum_{k=1}^{2(l+1)} |\delta_k| = \sum_{k=1}^{2(l+1)} \frac{1}{\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^{2(l+1)} |\theta_j - \theta_k|} = \frac{2}{l+2} \text{ctg} \frac{\pi}{2(l+2)}.$$

Corollary 2. *If $2l + 1 \leq n < 2l + 3$, $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, then*

$$M_{n,1}(1, 0) = \frac{1}{2}(l + 2) \operatorname{tg} \frac{\pi}{2(l + 2)}, \quad \pi_{n,1}(1, 0, t) = M_{n,1}(1, 0)H_l(\cos t).$$

The polynomial $H_l(\cos ht)$ is, obviously, extremal for $F_{n,h}$ when $(2l + 1)h \leq n < (2l + 3)h$, $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $0 < h \leq n$. Any other extremal polynomial of this functional among its points of deviation on $[0, 2\pi)$ must necessarily contain all points of deviation $(t_i)_1^{2h(l+1)}$ of the polynomial $H_l(\cos ht)$. Hence it follows that

Corollary 3. *If $(2l + 1)h \leq n < (2l + 2)h$, $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $0 < h \leq n$, then the polynomial $H_l(\cos ht)$ is the unique extremal polynomial $F_{n,h}$. For $(2l + 2)h \leq n < (2l + 3)h$ any extremal poly-*

the polynomial $P_{n,h}(t)$ of the functional $F_{n,h}$ can be written in the form

$$P_{n,h}(t) = H_l(\cos ht) + \psi(t) \prod_{j=1}^{2h(l+1)} \sin^2 \frac{t - t_j}{2},$$

where $\psi(t)$ is a polynomial whose choice is restricted only by the condition $P_{n,h}(t) \in \mathcal{P}_n$.

Corollary 4. *If $(2l + 1)h \leq n < (2l + 3)h$, $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $0 < h \leq n$, then*

$$M_{n,h}(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2} (l + 2) \operatorname{tg} \frac{\pi}{2(l + 2)};$$

$$\pi_{n,h}(x, \beta, t) = M_{n,h}(\alpha, \beta) H_l[\cos(ht - \varphi)], \quad \varphi = \operatorname{arc} \operatorname{tg} \frac{\beta}{\alpha},$$

where $\pi_{n,h}(\alpha, \beta, t)$ is the unique polynomial least deviating from zero on $[0, 2\pi]$ in the class $\mathcal{P}_{n,h}(\alpha, \beta)$, when $(2k + 1)h \leq n < (2l + 2)h$.

The general form of the polynomial of least deviation for the remaining n is clear from the preceding corollary.

Leningrad Institute
of Aviation Instrument Engineering

Received
12 VI 1963

REFERENCES

- ¹ S. N. Bernstein, *Extremal Properties of Polynomials*, 1937. ² E. V. Voronovskaya, *Extremal Polynomials of Finite Functionals*, L., 1955. ³ E. V.

Voronovskaya. Proceedings of the Third All-Union Mathematical Congress, 3, Publishing House of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR, 1958.

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.