



---

Soviet-era science, translated into English

# MATHEMATICS

1962

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196201.86603>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

## MATHEMATICS

V. PROIZVOLOV

# ON COMPACTIFICATIONS OF COMPLETELY REGULAR SPACES

*(Presented by Academician P. S. Aleksandrov on 14 VI 1962)*

In this note an example is constructed of a completely regular space that is not compactifiable in any normal space, and a theorem on compactifiability in bicompecta is proved, generalizing one result of I. L. Raikhvarger <sup>(1)</sup>.

Take the product  $P' = I \times W_\alpha$ ;  $I$  is an interval of the line, and  $W$  is the set of all ordinal numbers  $\leq \alpha$ , where  $\alpha$  is any, but fixed once and for all, transfinite number such that no sequence of cardinality  $\leq 2^c$  is cofinal in it. From  $P'$  we remove the points whose first coordinate is irrational and whose second is equal to  $\alpha$ ; we obtain the space  $P$ . The space  $P$  is completely regular and peripherally bicompect. We shall prove that  $P$  is not compactifiable in any normal space.

Consider the auxiliary space  $Q = (\Xi \times W_\alpha) \setminus (\sigma, \alpha)$ , where  $\Xi$  is a countable sequence with limit point  $\sigma$ , and  $W_\alpha$  is the set of all transfinite numbers up to  $\alpha$ , inclusive.

**Lemma.** *Let a compactification  $f : Q \rightarrow X$  be given, where  $X$  is completely regular. It is assumed here that the image of the set of all points of  $Q$  whose second coordinate is equal to  $\alpha$  has no limit point in  $X$ . Then  $f$  is a homeomorphism.*

Suppose that  $f$  is not a homeomorphism. Then  $[fA] \neq fA$ , where  $A$  is some closed subspace of  $Q$ . Take a point  $\xi \in [fA] \setminus fA$  and an arbitrary neighborhood  $O\xi$  of it. We shall show that  $[f^{-1}O\xi] \ni (\sigma, \alpha)$ ; here the closure is taken in the space  $Q$  augmented by the point  $(\sigma, \alpha)$ ; the so augmented  $Q$  we denote by  $\bar{Q}$ . We argue by contradiction. Let  $(\sigma, \alpha) \notin [f^{-1}O\xi]$ . Then  $A' = A \cap [f^{-1}O\xi]_{\bar{Q}}$  is bicompect. The bicompect  $fA'$  coincides with  $fA \cap [O\xi]$ , since  $f[f^{-1}O\xi] = [O\xi]$ . But  $\xi \in [fA] \setminus fA$ , and therefore  $fA \cap [O\xi]$  cannot be bicompect. Thus  $(\sigma, \alpha) \in [f^{-1}O\xi]_{\bar{Q}}$ . Moreover, we shall show that  $[f^{-1}O\xi]$  contains a countable subset of the set of points with second coordinate  $\alpha$ . Indeed, since  $(\sigma, \alpha) \in [f^{-1}O\xi]_{\bar{Q}}$ , the open set  $f^{-1}O\xi$  contains a set  $V$  cofinal in the set of all points with first coordinate  $\sigma$ . The set  $[OV]$ , where  $OV$  is an arbitrary neighborhood of  $V$ , contains all points with second coordinate  $\alpha$ , except, perhaps, finitely many of them. In particular, this property is possessed by  $[f^{-1}O\xi]$ . Since  $O\xi$  is an arbitrary neighborhood of  $\xi$ ,  $\xi$  is a limit point for the image of the set of points with second coordinate  $\alpha$ . This contradicts the hypothesis of the lemma. Thus  $f$  is a homeomorphism.

We return to the space  $P$ . Suppose that there exists a compactification  $f : P \rightarrow X$ , where  $X$  is normal. Denote by  $G$  the set of points of  $P$  with second coordinate  $\alpha$ . The cardinality  $|fG| \leq 2^c$ , since  $G$  is countable. Every countable subset  $N \subseteq fG$  has at least one limit point. Indeed, suppose that some  $N \subseteq fG$  has no limit points in  $X$ . Then  $f^{-1}N \subset (I, \alpha)$  has no limit points in  $P$ . Take in  $P$  a closed subspace  $R$  such that  $R$  is homeomorphic to  $Q$  and

$R \cap (I, \alpha) \subseteq f^{-1}N$ . By the lemma, the mapping  $f$  on  $R$  is a homeomorphism. The set  $fR$  is closed in  $X$  and is not normal; hence  $X$  is a nonnormal space. Thus every  $N \subseteq fG$  has a limit point. Further,  $[fG]$  is countable, since  $G$  is not embedded in an everywhere dense subset of a countable compactum, because a countable compactum has an isolated point, whereas  $G$  has none. It is not hard to show that  $D = [fG] \setminus G$  is everywhere dense in  $[fG]$  and, in particular,  $D$  is not closed in  $X$ . The set  $f^{-1}[fG] = f^{-1}D \cup G$  is a closed set, but then  $f^{-1}D$  is closed and bicomact, since the cardinality of  $f^{-1}D \leq 2^c$ , and  $\alpha$  was chosen in a special way. But then  $D$  is also bicomact, which contradicts the fact that  $D$  is not closed in the space  $X$ . Thus  $P$  is not embedded in a normal space.

I. Raikhvarger proved [1] the theorem: if a countable number of points is removed from a compactum, then the remaining space is embedded in a compactum.

Here the following will be proved.

**Theorem.** *Let a bicomactum  $B$  be given which is a product of compacta,*

$$B = \prod_{\alpha}^{\tau} K_{\alpha}.$$

*If a countable number of points is removed from  $B$ , then the remaining space is embedded in a bicomactum.*

It is necessary to prove that  $B' = B \setminus A$  is embedded in a bicomactum, where  $A$  is a countable subset,  $A = \{a_i\}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . We may suppose that no point  $a_i$  is isolated in  $B$ : the union of all points of  $A$  isolated in  $B$  is an open set  $V_1$ , so that  $B \setminus V_1$  is a bicomactum; if among  $A \cap V_1$  in the bicomactum  $B \setminus V_1$  there are isolated points, then in any case after a countable number of such steps we shall get rid of them, and everything will reduce to the case of a space without isolated points.

The point  $a_i$  has coordinate  $a_{i\alpha}$  with respect to the compactum  $K_{\alpha}$ . Take the closed  $\frac{1}{i}$ -neighborhood  $Oa_{i\alpha}$  of the point  $a_{i\alpha}$  in  $K_{\alpha}$  (i.e. the set of all points at distance from  $a_{i\alpha}$  not greater than  $\frac{1}{i}$ ). In the set  $\prod_{\alpha}^{\tau} Oa_{i\alpha}$  choose any point  $\xi_i$  distinct from  $a_i$ . In choosing  $\xi_i$  for different  $i$ , we shall ensure that they are pairwise distinct.

We now construct a decomposition of the bicomactum  $B$ : the elements of the decomposition will be the pairs of points  $(a_i, \xi_i)$  and the individual points of

the bicomactum  $B$ . We shall prove that this decomposition is continuous. Let  $U_\alpha$  be a one-index neighborhood of some element  $r$  of the decomposition. From Raukhvarger' s theorem for compacta it follows that in  $U_\alpha$  one can inscribe such a one-index neighborhood  $V_\alpha$  of the element  $r$  of the decomposition that, as soon as some element of the decomposition intersects  $V_\alpha$ , it necessarily lies in  $U_\alpha$ , which proves everything.

Moscow State University  
named after M. V. Lomonosov

Received  
13 VI 1962

## REFERENCES

1. I. Raukhvarger, *DAN*, **66**, No. 1, 13 (1949).

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*