



Soviet-era science, translated into English

N. V. MARCHENKO

1962

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196201.79823>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

N. V. MARCHENKO

ON THE EXTENSION OF AN OPERATOR AND THE EXISTENCE OF FIXED POINTS

(Presented by Academician I. G. Petrovskii, 21 VI 1962)

Let E be a Banach space. We shall denote by $\theta \in E$ the zero element. We consider operators acting from E into E .

The following is known ⁽¹⁾.

Theorem 1. Let F be a closed set; let A be a completely continuous operator defined on F ; let T be the closure of the convex hull $A(F)$, and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists a completely continuous operator A^ε , defined on the whole space E , for which

$$A^\varepsilon x = Ax \quad \text{for } x \in F; \quad (1)$$

$$\rho(A^\varepsilon x, T) < \varepsilon \quad \text{for } x \in E. \quad (2)$$

In ⁽¹⁾ the question is raised of the possibility of constructing an operator \tilde{A} such that

$$\tilde{A}x = Ax \quad \text{for } x \in F; \quad (3)$$

$$\tilde{A}(E) \subset T. \quad (4)$$

A positive answer is given by

Theorem 2. Under the conditions of Theorem 1 there exists a completely continuous operator \tilde{A} , defined on the whole space E and satisfying conditions (3), (4).

Proof. Take a number $\varepsilon > 0$. Let the operator A^ε satisfy the requirements of Theorem 1. Consider the closed ball \overline{O}_R of radius $R > 0$ with center at the point θ . Let $\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_p$ be an ε_1 -net for $A^\varepsilon(\overline{O}_R)$, with some $\varepsilon_1 > 0$. For each point η_i choose a point $y_i \in T$ so that

$$\rho(\eta_i, y_i) < \rho(\eta_i, T) + \varepsilon_1.$$

Define on \overline{O}_R the operator by the equality

$$A_1 x = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^p \mu_i(x) y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^p \mu_i(x)},$$

where

$$\mu_i(x) = \begin{cases} 2\varepsilon_1 - \|A^\varepsilon x - \eta_i\|, & \text{for } \|A^\varepsilon x - \eta_i\| \leq 2\varepsilon_1, \\ 0, & \text{for } \|A^\varepsilon x - \eta_i\| > 2\varepsilon_1. \end{cases}$$

Next, let on the number line a function be defined for $z \geq 0$ by

$$f(z) = \begin{cases} z/\varepsilon_1, & \text{for } 0 \leq z \leq \varepsilon_1, \\ 1, & \text{for } z > \varepsilon_1. \end{cases}$$

Define the operator B_1 on the set $P_1 = F + \overline{O}_R$ in the following way:

$$B_1x = \begin{cases} A^\varepsilon x + f[\rho(A^\varepsilon x, T)](A_1x - A^\varepsilon x), & \text{for } x \in \overline{O}_R - F, \\ Ax, & \text{for } x \in F. \end{cases}$$

The operator B_1 is completely continuous on P_1 , and $B_1x = Ax$ for $x \in F$. It turns out that for $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon/14$ one has

$$\rho(B_1x, T) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{for } x \in P_1,$$

$$\|B_1x - A^\varepsilon x\| < 2\varepsilon \quad \text{for } x \in P_1.$$

Applying our construction to the operator B_1 , we construct the operator B_2 , and so on. We obtain a sequence of completely continuous operators $\{B_n\}$, defined on P_1 and satisfying, for $n = 1, 2, \dots, \infty$, the conditions

$$B_{nx} = Ax \quad \text{for } x \in F,$$

$$\rho(B_{nx}, T) < \varepsilon/2^n \quad \text{for } x \in P_1,$$

$$\|B_{nx} - B_{n-1}x\| < \varepsilon/2^{n-2} \quad \text{for } x \in P_1.$$

It is easy to show that $\{B_n\}$ converges on P_1 to a completely continuous operator C_1 , for which

$$C_1x = Ax \quad \text{for } x \in F,$$

$$\rho(C_1x, T) = 0 \quad \text{for } x \in P_1.$$

Starting from the operator C_1 , construct an operator C_2 , defined on the set $P_2 = F + \overline{O}_{2R}$, where \overline{O}_{2R} is the ball of radius $2R$ with center at the point θ , and so on. We obtain a sequence of completely continuous operators $\{C_n\}$ on the sets $P_n = F + \overline{O}_{2^n R}$ such that

$$C_{nx} = C_{n-1}x \quad \text{for } x \in P_{n-1},$$

$$\rho(C_{nx}, T) = 0 \quad \text{for } x \in P_n.$$

The operator \tilde{A} is defined on E by the equality

$$\tilde{A}x = C_{n_0}x,$$

where n_0 is the least n among those satisfying the inequality $2^{nR} \geq \|x\|$. \tilde{A} satisfies all the requirements of Theorem 2.

Remark. Theorems 1 and 2 remain valid if the domain of definition of the operator A belongs to an arbitrary metric (not necessarily Banach) space. The essential point is that the values of the operator A belong to a Banach space.

Let Γ be the boundary of an open bounded set G , and let the operator A be completely continuous on \overline{G} and have no fixed points on the boundary Γ . Then the topological degree $d(\Phi, G, \theta)$ is defined for the mapping $\Phi x = x - Ax$ at the point θ on the set G . It is known ⁽³⁾ that if $d(\Phi, G, \theta) \neq 0$, then the operator A has a fixed point.

Another criterion for the existence of a fixed point is due to Schauder ⁽⁴⁾:

If a continuous operator A maps a convex closed set F into a compact set $\Delta \subset F$, then the operator A has a fixed point in F .

Theorem 2 makes it possible to prove this assertion by means of the notion of topological degree. Let T be the closure of the convex hull of the set $A(\Delta)$. Let the operator \tilde{A} satisfy all the conditions of Theorem 2

and G is a bounded open set containing Δ . Then for $\tilde{\Phi}x = x - \tilde{A}x$ we have $d(\tilde{\Phi}, G, \theta) = 1$, which proves the required assertion. Denote by K the cone in the space E [5].

Theorem 3. *Let Γ be the boundary of an open bounded set G , $\theta \in G$, and let the operator A be completely continuous on the set $F = K\Gamma$, with $A(F) \subset K$, $\rho(\theta, A(F)) > 0$. Then there exists an element $x_0 \in F$ such that $Ax_0 = \lambda x_0$ and $\lambda > 0$.*

Theorem 3 was proved in [1]. Theorem 2 makes it possible to obtain a simple proof of it.

Let $u_0 \in K$ and $u_0 \neq \theta$. Consider the completely continuous operator

$$Bx = \tilde{A}x + \rho[\tilde{A}x, A(\bar{F})]u_0,$$

where the operator \tilde{A} satisfies the requirements of Theorem 2. It turns out that

$$\inf_{x \in G} Bx > 0, \quad Bx = Ax \quad \text{for } x \in F.$$

Then there exists an element $x_0 \in \Gamma$ [1] such that $Bx_0 = \lambda x_0$ and $\lambda > 0$, whence Theorem 3 follows.

Theorem 4. *Let Γ be the boundary of an open bounded set G , $\theta \in G$. Suppose, further, that the operator A is completely continuous on the set $F = K \cap \bar{G}$, and that for $x \in K \cap \Gamma$ the equality $\varphi = \lambda A\varphi$ ($\lambda > 0$) implies $\lambda \geq 1$. Then the operator A has a fixed point on F .*

Theorem 5. *Let Γ_1, Γ_2 be, respectively, the boundaries of open bounded sets G_1, G_2 ; $\theta \in G_1$, $\theta \in G_2$, $G_1 \neq G_2$. Suppose, further, that the operator A is completely continuous on the set $F = (\bar{G}_1 + \bar{G}_2 - G_1 G_2)K$, $A(F) \in K$, and that for $x \in K\Gamma_1$, from the condition $\varphi = \lambda A\varphi$ ($\lambda > 0$) it follows that $\lambda \geq 1$, while for the set $F_2 = K\Gamma_2$ there is an element $u_0 \in K$ ($u_0 \neq \theta$) such that $x - Ax \neq tu_0$ for $t > 0$, $x \in F_2$. Then the operator A has a fixed point on F .*

Theorems 4 and 5 are proved by means of Theorem 2 by a topological method and are generalizations of Theorems 1 and 2 of [2].

Received

12 V 1962

CITED LITERATURE

1. M. A. Krasnosel'skii, *Topological Methods in the Theory of Nonlinear Integral Equations*, Moscow, 1956.
2. M. A. Krasnosel'skii, DAN, 135, No. 3 (1960).
3. J. Leray, J. Schauder, UMN, 1, 3-4 (13-14) (1946).
4. V. V. Nemytzkii, Mat. sbornik, 41, 4 (1934).
5. M. G. Krein, M. A. Rutman, UMN, 3, 23 (1948).

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.