



---

Soviet-era science, translated into English

# MATHEMATICS

A. B. VASIL'EVA

1962

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196201.43036>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

*MATHEMATICS*

**A. B. VASIL' EVA**

**ASYMPTOTIC FORMULAS FOR SOLUTIONS OF ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH A SMALL PARAMETER AT THE HIGHEST DERIVATIVE, VALID ON A SEMI-INFINITE INTERVAL**

*(Presented by Academician I. G. Petrovskii on 20 IX 1961)*

In papers <sup>(1,2)</sup> asymptotic formulas (with respect to the small parameter  $\mu$ ) were obtained for the solution of the system of differential equations

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \frac{dz}{dt} &= F(z, y, t), & \mu &\geq 0, \\ \frac{dy}{dt} &= f(z, y, t), \\ z \Big|_{t=0} &= z^0, & y \Big|_{t=0} &= y^0, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

valid on the finite interval of variation of  $t$ :  $0 \leq t \leq T$ . In the present note it will be shown that, under certain additional restrictions on system (1), these formulas also prove to be valid on the semi-infinite interval  $0 \leq t < \infty$ .

We shall restrict ourselves to the case where  $z$  and  $y$  are scalar quantities. The limiting passage  $\mu \rightarrow 0$  for problem (1) was considered earlier in paper <sup>(5)</sup>. We, however, shall proceed from the results of paper <sup>(3)</sup>, where the limiting passage on the finite interval  $0 \leq t \leq T$  was proved; by adding to the conditions of theorem <sup>(3)</sup> certain additional restrictions, we shall first extend result <sup>(3)</sup> to the interval  $0 \leq t < \infty$ , and then, slightly modifying the proof of <sup>(2)</sup>, obtain on this interval the required asymptotic formulas.

Putting  $\mu = 0$  in the first equation of (1), we obtain the equation

$$F(z, y, t) = 0. \tag{2}$$

Suppose that this equation has, in a certain domain  $D_{t,y,z}$ , bounded with respect to  $y$  and  $z$  and semi-infinite with respect to  $t$ :  $0 \leq t < \infty$ ,  $y_1(t) \leq y \leq y_2(t)$ ,  $z_1(y, t) \leq z \leq z_2(y, t)$  ( $y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2$  are continuous and bounded functions), a unique solution (root)  $z = \varphi(y, t)$ . We shall assume that the functions

$F(z, y, t)$  and  $f(z, y, t)$ , together with their partial derivatives up to the second order, are continuous and bounded in this domain.

Let the root  $z = \varphi(y, t)$  be stable in the sense of <sup>(3,4)</sup>. This means that

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial z}(\varphi(y, t), y, t) < -\alpha \quad (0 < \alpha = \text{const})$$

in  $D_{ty}$  ( $y_1(t) \leq y \leq y_2(t)$ ,  $0 \leq t < \infty$ ). Suppose that the initial point  $t = 0$ ,  $y = y^0$ ,  $z = z^0$  belongs to  $D_{tyz}$ .

Substituting  $z = \varphi(y, t)$  into the second equation of (1), we obtain

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = f(\varphi(y, t), y, t) = \tilde{f}(y, t). \quad (3)$$

Denote by  $\bar{y}(t)$  the solution of this equation satisfying the initial condition  $\bar{y}|_{t=0} = y^0$ . Suppose that the curve  $y = \bar{y}(t)$  pri-

belongs to  $D_{ty}$  for  $0 \leq t < \infty$ . Suppose, finally, that

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial y}(\bar{y}(t), t) < -a \quad (0 < a = \text{const}) \quad (4)$$

for  $t \geq T$ , where  $T$  is some constant which may be arbitrarily large. Condition (4) ensures the asymptotic stability of the solution  $\bar{y}(t)$  of equation (3) and in the present case replaces the requirement, used in <sup>(3,4)</sup> for the case of a finite interval, of continuous dependence of the solution of equation (3) on changes in the right-hand side and in the initial values.

Under these assumptions, by arguments analogous to those used in <sup>(4)</sup> (in <sup>(4)</sup> a certain special case is described, which includes the case considered here), the existence of the limit is proved:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0} z(t, \mu) &= \varphi(\bar{y}(t), t) = \bar{z}(t), & 0 < t < \infty; \\ \lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0} y(t, \mu) &= \bar{y}(t), & 0 \leq t < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

It can further be shown that, under certain additional smoothness conditions on the right-hand sides of (1), the asymptotic formulas indicated in <sup>(1,2)</sup> are valid for the solution of this system. We shall use the same formal constructions as in <sup>(1,2)</sup>, namely, after first making in (1) the change of variables  $\tau = t/\mu$ , we construct a formal solution of this system in the form of an expansion in powers of  $\mu$  with coefficients depending on  $\tau$ :

$$x = {}^{(1)}x_0(\tau) + \mu {}^{(1)}x_1(\tau) + \dots \quad (6)$$

( $x$  denotes  $y$  and  $z$  together). Next we construct formal solutions of system (1) in the form of an expansion in  $\mu$  with coefficients depending on  $t$ , and in the form of an expansion in  $\mu$  and  $t$ :

$$x = {}^{(2)}x_0(t) + \mu {}^{(2)}x_1(t) + \dots; \quad (7)$$

$$x = {}^{(2)}x_{00} + \mu {}^{(2)}x_{01} + t {}^{(2)}x_{10} + \dots. \quad (8)$$

The coefficients in (6) are determined from the corresponding variational equations and satisfy the additional conditions  ${}^{(1)}x_0|_{t=0} = x^0$ ,  ${}^{(1)}x_i|_{t=0} = 0$ . The coefficients in (7) are also determined from variational equations, which in this case will all be first-order differential equations, and satisfy the additional conditions

$${}^{(2)}y_k|_{t=0} = \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \int_0^\infty \tau^k \frac{d^k}{d\tau^k} {}^{(1)}f_{k-1} d\tau, \quad (9)$$

where  ${}^{(1)}f_{k-1}$  is the  $(k-1)$ -st coefficient of the expansion of the function  $f(z, y, \tau\mu)$  of type (6). Form the expressions

$$X_n = ({}^{(1)}x_0 + \dots + \mu^n {}^{(1)}x_n) + ({}^{(2)}x_0 + \dots + \mu^n {}^{(2)}x_n) - ({}^{(2)}x_{00} + \dots + \mu^n {}^{(2)}x_{0n} + \dots + t^n {}^{(2)}x_{n0}). \quad (10)$$

Suppose that, in addition to the conditions stated above, the right-hand sides of system (1) possess continuous partial derivatives up to order  $(n+1)$  inclusive in a neighborhood of the curve  $t = 0$ ,  $y = y^0$ ,  $z = {}^{(1)}z_0(\tau)$ ,  $(0 \leq \tau < \infty)$ ;  $0 < t < \infty$ ,  $y = \bar{y}(t)$ ,  $z = \bar{z}(t)$  (this curve is ...).

is a limiting curve as  $\mu \rightarrow 0$  for the integral curve under consideration of system (1)), the neighborhood may be chosen arbitrarily small, but remains fixed as  $\mu \rightarrow 0$ ; suppose, in addition, that the partial derivatives up to order  $n$  and  $n-1$ , respectively, of the functions  $F(z, y, t)$  and  $f(z, y, t)$  are differentiable  $n+1$  times with respect to  $z$  at the points of the vertical

$$t = 0, \quad y = y^0, \quad z = {}^{(1)}z_0(\tau) \quad (0 \leq \tau < \infty).$$

Then, for the solution  $x(t, \mu)$  of system (1), the relation

$$x(t, \mu) = X_n + R_{n+1}, \quad (11)$$

holds, where  $|R_{n+1}| < c\mu^{n+1}$ , and  $c$  is independent of  $\mu$  and  $t$  for sufficiently small  $\mu$  ( $\mu \leq \mu^0$ ) and  $0 \leq t < \infty$ , i.e., the asymptotic formula given in (1, 2) for the finite interval  $0 \leq t \leq T$  turns out to be valid on a semi-infinite interval.

However, expression (10) for  $X_n$  becomes inconvenient for computations for large  $t$ , because of the presence of terms of order  $t^k$  ( $k = 1, \dots, n$ ), which are contained in the first and third of the sums enclosed in parentheses, so that the entire expression (10) for large  $t$  has a form containing an indeterminacy of the type  $\infty - \infty$ . Let us write (10) in a more convenient form.

First consider the formula of the first approximation. We represent (10) for  $n = 1$  as follows:

$$X_1 = x_0^{(2)} + \mu x_1^{(2)} + \Pi_0(x) + \Pi_1(x). \quad (12)$$

Here the following notation has been introduced:

$$\Pi_0(w) = w_0^{(1)} - w_{00}^{(2)}, \quad \Pi_1(w) = \mu w_1^{(1)} - (\mu w_{01}^{(2)} + t w_{10}^{(2)}),$$

( $w$  is an arbitrary differentiable function of  $z, y, t$ ). By direct computation it is not difficult to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_0(z) &= z_0^{(1)} - z_{00}^{(2)}, & \Pi_0(y) &= y_0^{(1)} - y_{00}^{(2)} = y^0 - y^0 \equiv 0, \\ \Pi_1(y) &= -\mu \int_{\tau}^{\infty} \Pi_0(v) d\tau = -\mu \int_{\tau}^{\infty} (v_0^{(1)} - v_{00}^{(2)}) d\tau = -\mu \int_{\tau}^{\infty} \Pi_0(v) d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

while  $\Pi_1(z)$  satisfies the linear equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\tau} \Pi_1(z) &= w_{z0}^{(1)} \Pi_1(z) + \Pi_0(w_z) (\mu z_{01}^{(2)} + t z_{10}^{(2)}) + \\ &+ w_{y0}^{(1)} \Pi_1(y) + \Pi_0(w_y) (\mu y_{01}^{(2)} + t y_{10}^{(2)}), \end{aligned}$$

in which the nonhomogeneity may be regarded as known, and with the initial condition

$$\Pi_1(z) \Big|_{t=0} = -\mu z_{01}^{(2)}.$$

$\Pi_1(y)$  is easily majorized by a quantity  $c\mu e^{-\beta t/\mu}$  ( $c$  and  $\beta$  are constants independent of  $t$  and  $\mu$ ), and the same estimate holds for  $\Pi_1(z)$ , as is not difficult to verify from the elementary integral representation for  $\Pi_1(z)$ .

In a form analogous to (12), one can also write the formula of the  $n$ -th approximation:

$$X_n = x_0^{(2)} + \dots + \mu^n x_n^{(2)} + \Pi_0(x) + \dots + \Pi_n(x), \quad (13)$$

where

$$\Pi_k(x) = \mu^k x_k^{(1)} - (\mu^k x_{0k}^{(2)} + \mu^{k-1} t x_{1,k-1}^{(2)} + \dots + t^k x_{k0}^{(2)}).$$

Here, just as in the case of the first approximation,

$$\Pi_k(y) = -\mu \int_{\tau}^{\infty} \Pi_{k-1}(v) d\tau,$$

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} \Pi_k(z) = w_{z0}^{(1)} \Pi_k(z) + \Phi_k,$$

$$\Pi_k(z) \Big|_{t=0} = -\mu^k z_{0k}^{(2)},$$

where  $\Phi_k$  contains  $\Pi_k(y)$  and  $\Pi_i(x)$  ( $i < k$ ). For  $\Pi_k(x)$  the estimate

$$|\Pi_k(x)| < c\mu^k e^{-\beta t/\mu}. \quad (14)$$

holds.

The proof of (11) for  $0 \leq t < \infty$  is based on three facts: the validity of (11) for  $0 \leq t \leq T$ , proved in the author's previous works <sup>(1,2)</sup>, the estimate (14), and the limiting equalities  $\lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0} x_{\mu k}(t, \mu) = k! x_k^{(2)}(t)$ , proved earlier <sup>(6)</sup> for  $t \leq T$ , and, by virtue of condition (4), also valid for  $t \geq T$ , as can be verified by using methods analogous to those employed in the preceding works <sup>(6,1,2)</sup>.

**Remark.** In paper <sup>(7)</sup>, asymptotic formulas were given for the case when the system under consideration contains two small parameters and has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1 \mu_2 \frac{dz}{dt} &= w(z, y, x, t), \\ \mu_2 \frac{dy}{dt} &= v(z, y, x, t), \\ \frac{dx}{dt} &= u(z, y, x, t), \\ z|_{t=0} &= z^0, \quad y|_{t=0} = y^0, \quad x|_{t=0} = x^0. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

In the formula presented in <sup>(7)</sup>, even in the case of a finite interval of variation of  $t$ , there occurs  $\infty - \infty$  (the difference of terms of order  $\tau_k^2 = (t/\mu_2)^k$ ). To eliminate this formal inconvenience, one may write the indicated formula also in the form of (12), (13), i.e., as a partial sum of the formal expansion of (15) in powers of  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$ , with exponentially small corrections majorized by the quantities  $c\mu_1^k \mu_2^l e^{-\beta t/\mu_1 \mu_2}$  and  $c\mu_1^k \mu_2^l e^{-\beta t/\mu_2}$ , and representable in a form similar to that in which  $\Pi_k(y)$ ,  $\Pi_k(z)$  were represented above.

Moscow State University  
named after M. V. Lomonosov

Received  
13 IX 1961

## References

1. A. B. Vasil'eva, DAN, **124**, No. 3 (1959).

2. A. B. Vasil'eva, Mat. sborn., **50** (92), No. 1 (1960).
3. A. N. Tikhonov, Mat. sborn., **31** (73), No. 3 (1952).
4. A. N. Tikhonov, Mat. sborn., **27** (69), No. 1 (1950).
5. I. S. Gradshtein, Mat. sborn., **32** (74), No. 3 (1953).
6. A. B. Vasil'eva, Mat. sborn., **48** (90), No. 3 (1959).
7. A. B. Vasil'eva, DAN, **128**, No. 6 (1958).

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*