



---

Soviet-era science, translated into English

# V. P. DIDENKO

In this paper we consider systems of linear partial differential equations of the second order of the form:

1962

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196201.33644>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

V. P. DIDENKO

## ON SOME ELLIPTIC SYSTEMS DEGENERATING ON THE BOUNDARY OF A DOMAIN

*(Presented by Academician S. L. Sobolev on 18 XII 1961)*

In this paper we consider systems of linear partial differential equations of the second order of the form:

$$Lu = -y^m u_{xx} - u_{yy} + au_x + bu_y + cu = h, \quad (1)$$

$$Lu = -u_{xx} - y^m u_{yy} + au_x + bu_y + cu = h, \quad (2)$$

where  $m$  is a positive real number;  $a = \|a_{i,k}\|$ ,  $b = \|b_{i,k}\|$ ,  $c = \|c_{i,k}\|$  are given square matrices of order  $n$ ;  $h = (h_1, \dots, h_n)$  is a given vector;  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$  is the unknown vector.

Systems (1) and (2) are strongly elliptic for  $y > 0$ , with parabolic degeneration for  $y = 0$ .

Let  $\Omega$  be a simply connected domain lying in the half-plane  $y > 0$ , whose boundary  $\Gamma$  consists of a segment  $AB$  of the axis  $y = 0$  and a smooth arc  $\sigma$  with endpoints at the points  $A$  and  $B$ .

Equations of the form (1) and (2) in the domain  $\Omega$ , in the case  $n = 1$ , have by now been well studied<sup>(1-7)</sup>. Attention was drawn to the importance of studying systems of the form (1) and (2), in particular, in the work<sup>(1)</sup>.

In the present paper the first boundary-value problem for system (1) in the domain  $\Omega$  is investigated. For system (2), as also in the case of a single equation ( $n = 1$ ), the first boundary-value problem in this domain is not always correct. In the paper, for this system, conditions for the correctness of the first boundary-value problem and conditions for the correctness of the so-called problem  $E$  are established (see<sup>(3)</sup>).

Denote by  $\overset{\circ}{C}^2$  the set of twice continuously differentiable vectors that vanish in a boundary strip of the domain  $\Omega$ . On this set we define a norm by the formula

$$\|u\|_+ = \int_{\Omega} \{y^m u_y^2 + u_x^2\} d\Omega.$$

The closure of the set  $\overset{\circ}{C}^2$  in the plus-norm will be denoted by  $W_{(2,m)}^1$ . By  $W_{(2,\mu)}^0$  we denote the set of all vectors  $f$  for which the integral

$$\int_{\Omega} \mu^{-1} f^2 d\Omega$$

is finite, where  $\mu(x, y) > 0$  is a sufficiently smooth function in the domain  $\Omega$ , with

$$\mu = \begin{cases} O(y^{m-2} |\ln y|^{-1-\varepsilon}), & \text{for } m \neq 1, \\ O(y^{-1} |\ln y|^{-2-\varepsilon}), & \text{for } m = 1. \end{cases}$$

We define a negative norm for each element  $f \in W_{(2,\mu)}^0$  by the formula

$$\|f\|_- = \sup \frac{(f \cdot v)}{\|v\|_+}, \quad v \in W_{(2,m)}^1,$$

where

$$(f \cdot v) = \int_{\Omega} \{f_1 v_1 + \dots + f_{nv} v_n\} d\Omega$$

is the scalar product in the pro-

space  $W_2^0$ . We denote by  $W_{(2,m)}^{-1}$  the closure, with respect to the negative norm, of the set  $W_{(2,\mu)}^0$ .

Suppose that the matrices  $a, b, a_x, b_y, c$  satisfy the following conditions: a) in the case of system (1), for any  $m > 0$ , and for system (2), when  $m \leq 1$  or  $m \geq 2$ , they are continuous in the closed domain  $\bar{\Omega}$ ; b) in the case of system (2), when  $1 < m < 2$ , they are continuous in the closed domain  $\bar{\Omega}_\delta$ , where  $\Omega_\delta = \Omega \cap (y = \delta > 0)$ , and belong to  $W_{(2,\mu)}^0$ ; c)  $a$  and  $b$  are symmetric; d) in any domain  $\Omega'$  interior with respect to  $\Omega$ , the matrices  $a, b, c$  have generalized derivatives up to the third order, square-summable, and the vector  $h$  has generalized derivatives up to the second order, square-summable; e) for system (1), for any  $m > 0$ , and for system (2), when  $m \leq 1$ , the inequality

$$c - \frac{1}{2}a_x - \frac{1}{2}b_y \geq 0,$$

holds, while for system (2), when  $m > 1$ , the inequality

$$c - \frac{1}{2}a_x - \frac{1}{2}[mEy^{m-1} + b]_y \geq 0,$$

holds, where  $E$  is the identity matrix; here the matrix inequalities are understood in the sense of inequalities for the corresponding quadratic forms constructed on arbitrary vectors of nonzero length.

**Theorem 1.** The system (1), for  $h \in W_2^0$ , has a unique solution  $u$ , twice continuously differentiable in the domain  $\Omega$ , which vanishes on the boundary  $\Gamma$  in the mean, i.e.

$$\lim_{\delta_1 \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Gamma_{\delta_1}} u^2 d\Gamma_{\delta_1} = 0,$$

where  $\delta_1$  is the width of the boundary strip of the domain  $\Omega$ .

**Theorem 2.** If  $h \in W_2^0$  when  $m \leq 1$  or  $m \geq 2$ , and  $h \in W_{(2,m)}^{-1}$  when  $1 < m < 2$ , then, provided that in a neighborhood of  $y = 0$  one of the following conditions is satisfied: 1)  $m < 1$ ,  $b$  arbitrary; 2)  $m = 1$ ,  $b + E > 0$ ; 3)  $m > 1$ ,  $b > 0$ , the system (2) has a unique solution  $u$ , twice continuously differentiable in the domain  $\Omega$ , which vanishes on the boundary  $\Gamma$  of the domain  $\Omega$ , where vanishing on  $\Gamma$  in the case  $m < 1$  is understood in the mean, and for  $m \geq 1$ —in the mean on  $\sigma$  and in the weak sense on  $\overline{AB}$ , i.e.

$$\lim_{AB_\delta} \int u \cdot v dx = 0.$$

**Theorem 3.** If  $h \in W_{(2,m)}^{-1}$ , then, provided that in a neighborhood of  $y = 0$  one of the following conditions is satisfied: 1)  $m = 1$ ,  $b + E < 0$ ; 2)  $1 < m < 2$ ,  $b + mEy^{m-1} < 0$ ; 3)  $m \geq 2$ ,  $b \leq 0$ , there exists a unique solution  $u$  of system (2), twice continuously differentiable in the domain  $\Omega$ , belonging to  $W_{(2,m)}^1$  and vanishing only on  $\sigma$ .

We briefly outline the proof of Theorem 2 in the case  $m > 1$ . We shall call a vector  $u$  a weak solution of the first boundary-value problem for system (2), satisfying the boundary conditions in the weak sense, if the relation

$$(v \cdot h) = (L^*v \cdot u) \tag{3}$$

holds for all vectors  $v$ , twice continuously differentiable in the closed domain  $\overline{\Omega}$ , which vanish on the boundary strip  $\sigma$ . Here  $L^*$  denotes

$$L^*v = -(y^m v)_{yy} - v_{xx} - (av)_x - (bv)_y + vc.$$

It is easy to prove the validity of the inequalities

$$\|L^*v\|_- \geq \text{const} \|v\|_+, \quad \text{const} > 0; \tag{4}$$

$$\|L^*v\|_{W_2^0} \geq \text{const} \|v\|_{W_2^0}, \quad \text{const} > 0. \tag{5}$$

**Lemma 1.** *Every linear functional on the Hilbert space  $W_{(2,m)}^{-1}$  can be represented in the form*

$$l(f) = (f \cdot v),$$

where  $v \in W_{(2,m)}^1$ ,  $f \in W_{(2,m)}^{-1}$ .

To prove the existence of a weak solution of the first boundary-value problem, consider the linear functional

$$l(v) = (v \cdot h). \quad (6)$$

Using (4), we easily obtain:

$$l(v) = (v \cdot h) \leq \text{const} \|L^*v\|_-, \quad \text{const} > 0.$$

In view of this inequality, we may regard expression (6) as a linear functional in  $L^*v$  in the space  $W_{(2,m)}^{-1}$ . Extending this functional, by the Banach-Hahn theorem, to the whole space and using the general form of linear functionals in  $W_{(2,m)}^{-1}$ , we conclude that there exists an element  $u \in W_{(2,m)}^1$  such that our functional on  $W_{(2,m)}^{-1}$  can be represented in the form

$$(v \cdot h) = (u \cdot L^*v).$$

This is precisely the definition of a weak solution. Thus, for the case  $1 < m < 2$  we have proved the existence of a weak solution of the first boundary-value problem. For sufficiently large  $m$ , the element  $L^*v$  need no longer belong to the space  $W_{(2,m)}^{-1}$ , i.e., the arguments given above are not always applicable. Therefore, in the case  $m \geq 2$ , we proceed as follows: estimate expression (6), using (5):

$$l(v) = (v \cdot h) \leq \text{const} \|L^*v\|_{W_2^0}, \quad \text{const} > 0.$$

Now we may regard (6) as a linear functional in  $L^*v$  in the space  $W_2^0$ . Extending this linear functional to all of  $W_2^0$  and using the Riesz theorem on the general form of a linear functional, we obtain the existence of a weak solution of the first boundary-value problem belonging to the space  $W_2^0$ ; then we show that this solution also belongs to the space  $W_{(2,m)}^1$ .

Using a priori estimates for the operator  $L^*\Delta^s$  (here  $\Delta$  is the Laplace operator,  $s$  is a positive integer), by arguments analogous to those given above, we obtain the required differentiability of the weak solution.

Denote by  $W_2^0(\Omega_{\delta_1})$  the set of vectors square-integrable in the domain  $\Omega$ , equal to zero in the boundary strip of width greater than  $\delta_1$ .

**Lemma 2.** For every vector  $f \in W_2^0(\Omega_{\delta_1})$  there exists a vector  $v$ , equal to zero in the  $\delta_1$ -strip and belonging to the space  $W_{(2,m)}^1$ , for which the equality holds:

$$(a \cdot f) = (v \cdot La),$$

where  $a$  are twice continuously differentiable vectors equal to zero in a strip of width greater than  $\delta_1$ .

From this lemma and the theorem on differentiability of weak solutions it follows that every element  $f \in W_2^0(\Omega_{\delta_1})$  can be approximated in the norm  $W_2^0$  by elements of the form  $L^*v$ , where  $v \in C_0^2$ .

**Lemma 3.** The set of all vectors  $f$  belonging to the sum

$$\bigcup_{\delta > 0} W_2^0(\Omega_\delta)$$

is dense in the space  $W_{(2,m)}^{-1}$ .

From this lemma there follows immediately

**Lemma 4.** Elements of the form  $L^*v$ , where  $v \in C_0^2$ , are dense in the space  $W_{(2,m)}^{-1}$ .

It is now easy to prove the uniqueness of the weak solution of the first boundary-value problem. Indeed, let the vector  $u(x, y)$  be a weak solution, i.e., let equality (3) hold. We shall consider (3) only for elements  $v \in C_0^2$ . Applying Schwarz' s inequality and inequality (4) to the right-hand side, we obtain

$$(v \cdot h) \leq \text{const} \|L^*v\|_-, \quad \text{const} > 0.$$

Since the vectors  $L^*v$  are dense in the space  $W_{(2,m)}^{-1}$ , applying Lemma 1 we obtain that there exists a unique element  $\psi \in W_{(2,m)}^1$  such that

$$(v \cdot h) = (L^*v \cdot \psi).$$

The vector  $\psi$  and the weak solution  $u$  coincide, since the linear functional  $(L^*v \cdot \psi - u)$  vanishes on a set dense in the space  $W_{(2,m)}^{-1}$ , i.e.,

$$\|\psi - u\|_+ = 0.$$

Thus, the uniqueness of the weak solution is proved.

The membership of the weak solution  $u$  in the domain  $\Omega$  in the space  $W_{(2,m)}^1$  and the differentiability theorem make it possible to conclude that  $u$  satisfies the stated boundary conditions.

In conclusion, I consider it a pleasant duty to express my deep gratitude to A. V. Bitsadze, S. V. Uspenskii, and S. A. Tersenov for the attention I received in writing the present work.

Institute of Mathematics with Computing Center  
Siberian Branch of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR

Received  
28 XI 1961

## REFERENCES

1. A. V. Bitsadze, *Equations of Mixed Type*, Moscow, 1959.
2. F. Tricomi, *On Linear Equations of Mixed Type*, 1947.
3. M. V. Keldysh, DAN, 77, No. 2 (1951).
4. S. A. Tersenov, DAN, 115, No. 4 (1957).
5. M. I. Vishik, *Matem. sborn.*, 35 (77), 3 (1954).
6. S. G. Mikhlin, DAN, 94, No. 3 (1954).
7. L. D. Kudryavtsev, *Tr. Matem. Inst. im. V. A. Steklova AN SSSR*, 55 (1959).
8. M. I. Vishik, *Matem. sborn.*, 29 (71), 3 (1951).
9. P. D. Lax, *Comm. Pure and Appl. Math.*, 8, No. 4 (1955).
10. K. O. Friedrichs, *Comm. Pure and Appl. Math.*, 11, No. 3 (1958).

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*