



Soviet-era science, translated into English

V. N. FUNTAKOV

Let us consider the differential equation

1962

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196201.29351>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

V. N. FUNTAKOV

**EXPANSION IN EIGENFUNCTIONS OF
NON-SELF-ADJOINT SINGULAR DIFFERENTIAL
EQUATIONS OF THE SECOND
ORDER**

(Presented by Academician A. N. Kolmogorov on 12 I 1962)

Let us consider the differential equation

$$-y'' + q(x)y = \lambda^2 y \quad (-\infty < x < \infty), \quad (1)$$

where $q(x)$ is an arbitrary complex-valued function summable on each finite interval of the axis $-\infty < x < \infty$, and let us denote by $\omega_1(\lambda, x)$, $\omega_2(\lambda, x)$ the solution of this differential equation satisfying the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1(\lambda, 0) = 1, \quad \omega_1'(\lambda, 0) = 0; \\ \omega_2(\lambda, 0) = 0, \quad \omega_2'(\lambda, 0) = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

In the work of V. A. Marchenko ⁽³⁾, equation (1) was considered on the half-axis $[0, \infty)$ with the boundary condition

$$y'(0) - hy(0) = 0, \quad (3)$$

where h is an arbitrary complex number. The following result was obtained:

Theorem. Denote by Z the linear topological space of even entire functions of exponential type, summable on the real axis; by $T(Z)$ the space conjugate to it. Then to every boundary-value problem (1)–(3) there corresponds a certain generalized function $R \in T(Z)$ such that

$$\int_0^\infty f(x)g(x) dx = (R, E_f(\lambda)E_g(\lambda)),$$

where $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ are arbitrary finite functions belonging to $L^2(0, \infty)$,

$$E_f(\lambda) = \int_0^{\infty} f(x)\omega(\lambda, x) dx,$$

($\omega(\lambda, x)$ is the solution of equation (1) satisfying condition (3)).

Further, in the work ⁽³⁾ necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a spectral function $R \in T(Z)$ were established, and it was proved that to every function $R \in T(Z)$ satisfying these conditions there corresponds a certain boundary-value problem (1)–(3).

In the present paper the results obtained by V. A. Marchenko are transferred to equation (1), given on the whole interval $(-\infty, \infty)$. The method used in the work is the one first applied by V. A. Marchenko.

Denote by K the space of infinitely differentiable finite functions $f(x)$. Consider the functions

$$C_f(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \cos \lambda x dx; \quad S_f(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \frac{\sin \lambda x}{\lambda} dx.$$

As is known (see (2), p. 194), the functions $C_f(\lambda)$ form a topological space Z of even entire functions of finite degree $\psi(\lambda)$, satisfying the inequalities

$$|\lambda|^q |\psi(\lambda)| \leq C_q e^{a|\tau|}, \quad q = 0, 1, \dots, \quad \tau = \text{Im } \lambda, \quad 0 < a < \infty.$$

It is easy to show that the functions $S_f(\lambda)$ also belong to Z . We define generalized functions on Z as linear continuous functionals $R[F(\lambda)]$, putting

$$R[F(\lambda)] = (R, F(\lambda)).$$

The totality of all generalized functions defined in this way will be denoted by $T(Z)$. A sequence $R_n \in T(Z)$ converges to $R \in T(Z)$ if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (R_n, F(\lambda)) = (R, F(\lambda))$$

for all basic functions $F(\lambda) \in Z$.

Let $A(x)$ be an arbitrary locally summable function. Put

$$A_n(x) = \begin{cases} A(x), & |x| \leq n, \\ 0, & |x| > n, \end{cases}$$

and denote

$$C_{A_n}(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} A_n(x) \cos \lambda x \, dx, \quad S_{A_n}(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} A_n(x) \frac{\sin \lambda x}{\lambda} \, dx.$$

We define the C - and S -Fourier transforms of the function $A(x)$ by putting

$$C_A = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_{A_n}, \quad S_A = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{A_n},$$

where convergence is understood in the sense of generalized functions.

A fundamental role in proving the main results is played by

Theorem (see (1)). The solutions $\omega_1(\lambda, x)$, $\omega_2(\lambda, x)$ of equation (1) can be expressed through $\cos \lambda x$ and $\frac{\sin \lambda x}{\lambda}$, respectively, with the aid of transformation operators in the form

$$\omega_1(\lambda, x) = \cos \lambda x + \int_{-|x|}^{|x|} K(x, t) \cos \lambda t \, dt,$$

$$\omega_2(\lambda, x) = \frac{\sin \lambda x}{\lambda} + \int_{-|x|}^{|x|} K(x, t) \frac{\sin \lambda t}{\lambda} \, dt; \quad (4)$$

$$\cos \lambda x = \omega_1(\lambda, x) - \int_{-|x|}^{|x|} H(x, t) \omega_1(\lambda, t) \, dt,$$

$$\frac{\sin \lambda x}{\lambda} = \omega_2(\lambda, x) - \int_{-|x|}^{|x|} H(x, t) \omega_2(\lambda, t) \, dt, \quad (5)$$

where the kernels $K(x, t)$ and $H(x, t)$ are absolutely continuous in both variables.

We define the ω -Fourier transforms of a function $f(x) \in K$ by the equalities

$$E_f(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \omega_1(\lambda, x) \, dx, \quad G_f(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \omega_2(\lambda, x) \, dx.$$

With the aid of the preceding theorem it is easy to show that the set of ω -Fourier transforms of all functions from K coincides with Z . We can now formulate the principal results obtained; we shall not dwell on their proof.

Theorem. To each differential equation (1) with solutions satisfying condition (2) there corresponds a spectral matrix-function of the second order $\|R_{ik}\|_{i,k=1,2}$, $R_{ik} \in T(Z)$, such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)g(x) dx = (R_{11}, E_f(\lambda)E_g(\lambda)) + (R_{12}, E_f(\lambda)G_g(\lambda)) + \\ + (R_{21}, G_f(\lambda)E_g(\lambda)) + (R_{22}, G_f(\lambda)G_g(\lambda)),$$

where $f(x), g(x) \in K$; $E_f(\lambda), G_f(\lambda), E_g(\lambda), G_g(\lambda)$ are their ω -Fourier transforms. The elements of the spectral matrix-function R_{ik} are connected with the kernel $H(x, t)$ of the transformation operator (5) by the formulas

$$R_{11} = \frac{1}{2\pi}(1 - C_H), \quad R_{12} = R_{21} = -\frac{\lambda^2}{2\pi}S_H, \quad R_{22} = \frac{\lambda^2}{2\pi}(1 + C_H),$$

where C_H and S_H are the C - and S -Fourier transforms of the locally summable function $H(x, 0)$.

Corollary. If the function $f(x) \in K$, then the formula

$$f(x) = (R_{11}, E_f(\lambda)\omega_1(\lambda, x)) + (R_{12}, E_f(\lambda)\omega_2(\lambda, x)) + \\ + (R_{21}, G_f(\lambda)\omega_1(\lambda, y)) + (R_{22}, G_f(\lambda)\omega_2(\lambda, x))$$

holds.

As is known ([2], p. 180), for every finite continuous function $\theta(t)$ there exists a sequence of functions $\theta_\varepsilon(t) \in K$ with the same interval of finiteness $[-a, a]$ such that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \theta_\varepsilon(t) = \theta(t)$$

uniformly in t . Let $F(\lambda)$ and $F_\varepsilon(\lambda)$ be their Fourier transforms. Then it is easy to show that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} F_\varepsilon(\lambda) = F(\lambda)$$

uniformly in λ . We extend the functional $R \in T(Z)$ to the set of all such functions $F(\lambda)$, putting

$$(R, F(\lambda)) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (R, F_\varepsilon(\lambda)).$$

Theorem. In order that a generalized matrix-function

$$\|R_{ik}\|_{i,k=1,2}, \quad R_{ik} \in T(Z), \quad R_{12} = R_{21}, \quad R_{22} = \frac{\lambda^2}{\pi}(1 - \pi R_{11})$$

be the spectral matrix-function of some problem (1)–(2), it is necessary and sufficient that the following conditions be satisfied:

- 1) In Z there do not exist nonzero functions $E_f(\lambda), G_f(\lambda)$ satisfying the equality

$$\begin{aligned} & (R_{11}, E_f(\lambda)E_y(\lambda)) + (R_{12}, E_f(\lambda)G_y(\lambda)) + \\ & + (R_{21}, G_f(\lambda)E_y(\lambda)) + (R_{22}, G_f(\lambda)G_y(\lambda)) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $E_y(\lambda), G_y(\lambda) \in Z$.

- 2) The functions

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_1(x) &= \left(R_{11}, 2 \frac{1 - \cos \lambda x}{\lambda^2} \right) \quad (-\infty < \lambda < \infty); \\ \Phi_2(x) &= \left(R_{21}, -\frac{2x \sin \lambda x}{\lambda^3} - \frac{4(1 - \cos \lambda x)}{\lambda^4} \right) \quad (-\infty < \lambda < \infty) \end{aligned}$$

have two absolutely continuous derivatives and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Phi_2''(x)}{x} = 0, \quad \Phi_1'(0) = 0.$$

Here the function $q(x)$ in equation (1) has as many absolutely continuous derivatives as $\Phi_1''(x)$ and $\Phi_2''(x)$ have, provided that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Phi_2^{(k+2)}(x)}{x} \neq \infty, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

The author considers it his duty to express his deep gratitude to his scientific adviser M. A. Naimark, and also thanks V. B. Lidskii for a number of valuable comments.

Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology

Received
19 XII 1961

REFERENCES

- ¹ A. Sh. Blokh, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, **92**, No. 2 (1953).
- ² I. M. Gelfand, G. E. Shilov, *Generalized Functions and Operations on Them*, 1959.
- ³ V. A. Marchenko, *Matem. sbornik*, **52** (94), 2, 739 (1960).

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.