

ON THE BEHAVIOR OF THE GREEN FUNCTION OF A MULTIPOINT LINEAR DIFFERENCE BOUNDARY-VALUE PROBLEM

1962

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196201.27251>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

MATHEMATICS

A. L. TEPTIN

ON THE BEHAVIOR OF THE GREEN FUNCTION OF A MULTIPOINT LINEAR DIFFERENCE BOUNDARY-VALUE PROBLEM

(Presented by Academician S. L. Sobolev on 26 V 1962)

In recent years a number of reports at the Izhevsk Mathematical Seminar were devoted to the question of the behavior of the Green function of certain boundary-value problems for differential and difference equations. Knowing the behavior of the Green function for the corresponding linear boundary-value problem, one can establish theorems of the type of S. A. Chaplygin's theorem on differential inequalities ⁽¹⁾. Linear theorems, in turn, make it possible to obtain, for certain nonlinear boundary-value problems, existence theorems and estimates of solutions, if one uses the general ideas of the works ^(2, 3).

In the works ^(4, 5) the question of the sign of the Green function of a two-point boundary-value problem for a second-order difference equation was considered.

In the present note, Maman's theory ⁽⁶⁾ is carried over to difference equations, and on the basis of the results obtained the behavior of the Green function of the n -point difference boundary-value problem is investigated

$$\mathcal{L}'[u] \equiv u(x+n) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p_k(x)u(x+k) = \varphi(x),$$

$$u(x_i) = a_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, n) \tag{1}$$

(x_i are integers, $0 = x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n = r$; a_i are given numbers), where the functions $\varphi(x)$ and $p_k(x)$ ($k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$) are defined for $x = 0, 1, \dots, r-n$.

Here, by the Green function of problem (1) we mean the function $G(x, s)$, defined for $x = 0, 1, \dots, r$; $s = 0, 1, \dots, r-n$, and, for any fixed s , satisfying the boundary-value problem:

$$\mathcal{L}[G] = \delta_{x,s} \quad (\delta_{x,s} = 0 \text{ for } x \neq s, \delta_{s,s} = 1),$$

$$G(x_i, s) = 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

Let the function $f(x)$ be defined on the set $g : x = 0, 1, \dots, r \geq n$. We shall agree to assign to the value $f(x_0) = 0$ ($x_0 \in g$) the sign opposite to the sign of $f(x_0 - 1)$, if only $x_0 \geq 1$; otherwise we assign to the value $f(x_0) = 0$ the sign opposite to the sign of $f(x_0 + 1)$. We shall say that $f(x)$ has a change of sign at the point $x^* \in g$, if $x^* \leq r - 1$ and the signs of $f(x^*)$ and $f(x^* + 1)$, determined with account of the above rule, are opposite.

We shall call the equation

$$\mathcal{L}[u] \equiv u(x+n) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p_k(x)u(x+k) = 0 \quad (2)$$

nonoscillatory on the set g , if every nontrivial solution of it has on this set no more than $n - 1$ changes of sign.

Denote by T the operator defined by the equality

$$Tu(x) = u(x+1).$$

Theorem 1. The difference operation $\mathcal{L}[u]$ can be represented in the form of a product of first-order operations

$$\mathcal{L}[u] \equiv [T - a_n(x)] \cdots [T - a_1(x)]u(x) \quad (3)$$

with coefficients $a_i(x) > 0$ ($x = 0, 1, \dots, r - i$; $i = 1, \dots, n$) if and only if equation (2) is nonoscillatory on the set g .

The proof of this theorem is divided into a number of lemmas, given below.

For a system of functions $u_k(x)$ ($k = 1, \dots, n$), defined on the set g , introduce the notation: $D[u_1] = u_1(x)$,

$$D[u_1, \dots, u_k] = \begin{vmatrix} u_1(x) & \cdots & u_k(x) \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ u_1(x+k-1) & \cdots & u_k(x+k-1) \end{vmatrix} \quad (k = 2, \dots, n).$$

Lemma 1. In order that the operation $\mathcal{L}[u]$ be representable in the form (3), it is necessary and sufficient that there exist a fundamental system of solutions $u_k(x)$ ($k = 1, \dots, n$) of equation (2) for which each of the determinants $D[u_1, \dots, u_k]$ preserves its sign for $x = 0, 1, \dots, r - k + 1$ ($k = 1, \dots, n$).

Lemma 2. Let $u_k(x)$ ($k = 1, \dots, n$) be a system of functions defined on the set g and satisfying the conditions

$$u_k(j) = 0 \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots, n - k - 1), \quad u_k(n - k) = 1 \quad (k = 1, \dots, n); \quad (4)$$

let $v_k(x)$ ($k = 1, \dots, n$) be the functions defined by the equalities

$$v_k(x) = \sum_{i=k}^n C_{n-k}^{n-i} \alpha^{n-i} u_i(x) \quad (k = 1, \dots, n-1), \quad v_n(x) = u_n(x),$$

where $\alpha > 0$ is some number.

If each of the determinants $D[u_1, \dots, u_k]$ preserves its sign for $x = n-k, n-k+1, \dots, r-k+1$ ($k = 1, \dots, n$), then, for sufficiently large α , each of the determinants $D[v_1, \dots, v_k]$ preserves its sign for $x = 0, 1, \dots, r-k+1$ ($k = 1, \dots, n$).

Lemma 3. Let $u_k(x)$ ($k = 1, \dots, n$) be a fundamental system of solutions of equation (2) satisfying the initial conditions (4).

If each of the determinants $D[u_1, \dots, u_k]$ preserves its sign for $x = n-k, n-k+1, \dots, r-k+1$ ($k = 1, \dots, n$), then the operation $\mathcal{L}[u]$ can be represented in the form (3).

Lemma 4. Let $u_k(x)$ ($k = 1, \dots, n$) be a fundamental system of solutions of equation (2) satisfying the initial conditions (4).

If equation (2) is nonoscillatory on the set g , then each of the determinants $D[u_1, \dots, u_k]$ preserves its sign for $x = n-k, n-k+1, \dots, r-k+1$ ($k = 1, \dots, n$).

Lemma 5. If a function $v(x)$, defined on the set g , has changes of sign at points x_1 and x_2 of this set ($x_1 < x_2$), then the function

$$\psi(x) = v(x+1) - a(x)v(x), \quad (5)$$

where $a(x) > 0$ ($x = x_1, x_1+1, \dots, x_2$), has a change of sign at least at one of the points $x = x_1, x_1+1, \dots, x_2-1$.

Corollary 1. If a function $v(x)$ has m changes of sign on the set g , then the function $\psi(x)$, defined by equality (5), where $a(x) > 0$ ($x = 0, 1, \dots, r-1$), has at least $m-1$ changes of sign on the set $x = 0, 1, \dots, r-1$.

Corollary 2. Let $\varphi_i(x)$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, n$) be functions defined by the equalities

$$\varphi_0(x) \equiv v(x), \quad \varphi_i(x) = \varphi_{i-1}(x+1) - a_i(x)\varphi_{i-1}(x)$$

$$(a_i(x) > 0; x = 0, 1, \dots, r-i; i = 1, \dots, n).$$

If $v(x)$ has m sign changes on the set g , then $\varphi_i(x)$ has at least $m-i$ sign changes on the set $x = 0, 1, \dots, r-i$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$).

Lemma 6. If the function $\varphi(x)$ preserves its sign for $x = 0, 1, \dots, r-k$, then any solution of the equation

$$[T - a_k(x)] \dots [T - a_1(x)]v(x) = \varphi(x)$$

$$(a_i(x) > 0; x = 0, 1, \dots, r - i; i = 1, \dots, k)$$

has no more than k sign changes on the set g .

Lemma 7. If the operation $\mathcal{L}[u]$ can be represented in the form (3), then equation (2) is nonoscillatory on the set g .

Denote by g_i the set of points $x = x_i + 1, x_i + 2, \dots, x_{i+1} - 1$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$), where $x_i \in g$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), $0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n = r$.

Theorem 1 and Lemmas 5, 6 make it possible to prove the following assertion.

Theorem 2 (cf. ⁷). If equation (2) is nonoscillatory on the set g , then the Green's function $G(x, s)$ of problem (1) exists and satisfies the relations

$$\operatorname{sgn}_{\substack{x \in g_i \\ s=0,1,\dots,r-n}} G(x, s) = (-1)^{n-i} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1)$$

everywhere where $G(x, s) \neq 0$.

Corollary 1. Let n be even and let equation (2) be nonoscillatory on the set g .

If $x_i = x_{i+1} - 1$ for $i = 2, 4, \dots, n - 2$, then $G(x, s) \leq 0$ ($x \in g, s = 0, 1, \dots, r - n$).

If $x_i = x_{i+1} - 1$ for $i = 1, 3, \dots, n - 1$, then $G(x, s) \geq 0$ ($x \in g, s = 0, 1, \dots, r - n$).

Corollary 2. Let n be odd and let equation (2) be nonoscillatory on the set g .

If $x_i = x_{i+1} - 1$ for $i = 1, 3, \dots, n - 2$, then $G(x, s) \leq 0$ ($x \in g, s = 0, 1, \dots, r - n$).

If $x_i = x_{i+1} - 1$ for $i = 2, 4, \dots, n - 1$, then $G(x, s) \geq 0$ ($x \in g, s = 0, 1, \dots, r - n$).

Theorem 2 naturally leads to the question of conditions under which equation (2) is nonoscillatory on the set g . From Lemmas 3, 7, and 4 there follows, obviously, the following criterion for nonoscillation of equation (2).

Theorem 3. Let $u_k(x)$ ($k = 1, \dots, n$) be a fundamental system of solutions of equation (2), satisfying the initial conditions (4).

For equation (2) to be nonoscillatory on the set g , it is necessary and sufficient that each of the determinants $D[u_1, \dots, u_k]$ preserve its sign respectively on the set $x = n - k, n - k + 1, \dots, r - k + 1$ ($k = 1, \dots, n$).

To apply this criterion it is necessary to know the values of each of the solutions $u_k(x)$ ($k = 1, \dots, n$) at all points of the set g . For $r < \infty$ the mentioned values can always be computed from equation (2).

Izhevsk
Mechanical Institute

Received
22 V 1962

CITED LITERATURE

- ¹ N. V. Azbelev, Z. B. Tsalyuk, *Ukr. Mat. Zh.*, **10**, No. 1, 3 (1958).
- ² L. Collatz, J. Schröder, *Numer. Math.*, No. 1, 61 (1959).
- ³ S. N. Slugin, *DAN*, **103**, No. 4, 565 (1955).
- ⁴ A. L. Teptin, *Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved., Matematika*, No. 3, 195 (1961).
- ⁵ A. L. Teptin, *DAN*, **142**, No. 5, 1038 (1962).
- ⁶ G. Mammana, *Math. Zs.*, No. 33, 186 (1931).
- ⁷ E. S. Chichkin, *Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved., Matematika*, No. 2, 121 (1962).

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.