



Soviet-era science, translated into English

Reports of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR

1962

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://soviextrxiv.org/items/ru-196201.22898>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

Reports of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR
1962, Volume 142, No. 4

MATHEMATICS

A. F. ANDREEV

A UNIQUENESS THEOREM FOR A NORMAL FROMMER DOMAIN OF THE SECOND TYPE

(Presented by Academician V. I. Smirnov on 3 X 1961)

In studying the behavior of integral curves of a first-order differential equation in a neighborhood of a singular point, one often has to deal with the so-called distinction problems for Frommer normal domains ^(1, 2). In the present note we shall consider the distinction problem for a normal domain of the second type (a domain N_2). The formulation of this problem is apparent from the following Hartman-Wintner theorem ⁽³⁾.

Theorem on the existence of an O -curve in N_2 . Let, in the equation

$$\alpha(r) \frac{d\varphi}{dr} = \Psi(r, \varphi) \quad (1)$$

1) the function $\Psi(r, \varphi)$ be defined and continuous in r, φ in the domain

$$0 < r \leq \rho, \quad -\delta \leq \varphi \leq \delta, \quad (N)$$

where ρ and δ are positive numbers; $\frac{1}{\Psi(r, \varphi)}$ is bounded for sufficiently small r outside any fixed neighborhood of the point $O(0, 0)$;

2) $\Psi(r, -\delta) > 0$, $\Psi(r, \delta) < 0$ for $0 < r \leq \rho$;

3) the function $\alpha(r)$ be defined, continuous, and positive for $0 < r \leq \rho$,

$$\int_0^\rho \frac{dr}{\alpha(r)} = +\infty.$$

Then there exists at least one solution $\varphi(r)$ of equation (1), which is defined on the interval $0 < r \leq \rho$, and every such solution has the property $\varphi(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$ (i.e., it is an O -curve of equation (1)).

Under the conditions of the Hartman-Wintner theorem, the domain (N) is, for equation (1), a Frommer normal domain of the second type (a domain N_2). In it equation (1) has: a) either a unique O -curve, b) or an infinite set of O -curves. Thus there arises the problem of distinguishing these two possible arrangements of the integral curves of equation (1) in the domain N_2 . We note that if $\Psi(r, \varphi)$ in the domain (N) does not increase with increasing φ for each fixed r , then there exists a unique O -curve (Peano's criterion). In the general case the problem remains open. Lohn^(2,4), R. E. Vinograd and D. M. Grobman⁽⁵⁾, A. F. Andreev^(6,7), I. S. Kukles^(8,9), and D. M. Gruz⁽¹⁰⁾ gave a series of sufficient criteria for uniqueness of the O -curve in N_2 . These criteria partially overlap, but do not completely cover one another (there are errors in the papers of Kukles and Gruz; see⁽¹¹⁾). Below we shall prove a sufficient uniqueness criterion that includes, as special cases, the criteria of Lohn and of Vinograd-Grobman, as well as the criteria of Kukles and Gruz (corrected).

We shall consider the equation

$$\alpha(r) \frac{d\varphi}{dr} = \Phi(\varphi)(1 + \beta(r, \varphi)) + \psi(r, \varphi) \equiv \Psi(r, \varphi) \quad (2)$$

under the following assumption.

Condition A. 1) The function $\alpha(r)$ is defined, continuous, and positive for $0 < r \leq \rho_1$; ρ_1 is a constant,

$$\int_0^{\rho_1} \frac{dr}{\alpha(r)} = +\infty.$$

2) The function $\Phi(\varphi)$ is defined and continuous on the interval $[-\delta_1, \delta_1]$, $\delta_1 > 0$ a constant; $\Phi(0) = 0$; for $\varphi \neq 0$, $\varphi\Phi(\varphi) < 0$, $|\Phi(\varphi)| \geq a|\varphi|^k$, where a and k are positive numbers.

3) The function $\beta(r, \varphi)$ is defined in the region

$$0 < r \leq \rho_1, \quad -\delta_1 \leq \varphi \leq \delta_1, \quad (3)$$

is continuous there with respect to r, φ , and satisfies the inequality $|\beta(r, \varphi)| \leq \varepsilon < 1$, where ε is a constant.

4) The function $\psi(r, \varphi)$ is defined in the region (3) and is continuous there with respect to r, φ ; $\psi(r, \varphi) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$, uniformly with respect to $\varphi \in [-\delta_1, \delta_1]$.

Under these conditions, for sufficiently small ρ and δ , the region (N) will be, for equation (2), a region N_2 , since in it the conditions of the Hartman-Wintner theorem are fulfilled. Consequently, equation (2) has in the region (N) at least one O -curve.

Uniqueness theorem for an O -curve in N_2 . Let, for equation (2):

- 1) condition A be fulfilled;
- 2)

$$\frac{\psi(r, \varphi)}{\omega^\sigma(r)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 0 \quad (4)$$

uniformly with respect to $\varphi \in [-\delta, \delta]$, where $\omega(r)$ is a fixed function of class C^1 on $(0, \rho]$; $\omega(r) > 0$; $\omega'(r) > 0$ for $0 < r \leq \rho$; $\omega(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$; $\sigma > 0$ is a fixed number*;

- 3) in the region $0 < r \leq \rho$, $|\varphi| \leq u_0 \omega^{\sigma/k}(r)$, where $u_0 > 0$ is any small number, for $\varphi_2 > \varphi_1$

$$\Psi(r, \varphi_2) - \Psi(r, \varphi_1) \leq \frac{\sigma}{k} \Lambda(r) (\varphi_2 - \varphi_1), \quad (5)$$

where the function $\Lambda(r)$ is continuous on $(0, \rho]$ and satisfies the inequality

$$\Lambda(r) \leq \frac{\alpha(r) \omega'(r)}{\omega(r)}.$$

Then equation (2) has a unique O -curve in the region (N) .

Proof. Dividing both sides of equation (2) by $\omega^\sigma(r)$ and taking into account that, for $|\varphi| \geq u_0 \omega^{\sigma/k}(r)$, where $u_0 > 0$ is any fixed number,

$$\frac{|\Phi(\varphi)|}{\omega^\sigma(r)} \geq a \frac{|\varphi|^k}{\omega^\sigma(r)} \geq a u_0^k,$$

we find that in the region

$$0 < r \leq \rho, \quad |\varphi| \geq u_0 \omega^{\sigma/k}(r) \quad (6)$$

for any integral curve $\varphi = \varphi(r)$ of equation (2), $\varphi(r) \varphi'(r) < 0$, if ρ is sufficiently small. Consequently, from the region (6) integral curves cannot approach the point $O(0, 0)$.

* From the assumptions concerning $\psi(r, \varphi)$ it follows that such functions $\omega(r)$ satisfying condition (4) exist.

To study equation (2) in the domain

$$0 < r \leq \rho, \quad |\varphi| \leq u_0 \omega^{\sigma/k}(r),$$

we transform it by the substitution

$$\varphi = u\omega^{\sigma/k}(r).$$

In doing so we obtain the equation

$$\frac{\omega(r)}{\omega'(r)} = u' = U(r, u) \equiv \frac{\Phi(u\omega^{\sigma/k}(r))(1 + \beta(r, u\omega^{\sigma/k}(r))) + \psi(r, u\omega^{\sigma/k}(r))}{\alpha(r)\omega^{\sigma/k-1}(r)\omega'(r)} - \frac{\sigma}{k}u, \quad (7)$$

which must be studied in the domain

$$0 < r \leq \rho, \quad |u| \leq u_0 \quad (8)$$

(where $u_0 > 0$ is an arbitrarily small number); namely, it is necessary to show that in this domain it has a unique solution $u(r)$, defined for all $r \in (0, \rho]$.

But for equation (7) in the domain (8) all the conditions of the Hartman-Wintner theorem are fulfilled. In particular, for any number ε_0 ($0 < \varepsilon_0 < u_0$) one can indicate a number $\rho_0 > 0$ such that in each of the two domains $0 < r \leq \rho_0$, $\varepsilon_0 \leq |u| \leq u_0$ both terms on the right-hand side of equation (7) have the same sign, opposite to the sign of u . Consequently, in these domains $|U(r, u)| \geq \frac{\sigma}{k}|u| \geq \frac{\sigma}{k}\varepsilon_0$, i.e. $\frac{1}{U(r, u)}$ is bounded. According to the Hartman-Wintner theorem, any solution of equation (7) which lies in the domain (8) and is defined for all $r \in (0, \rho]$ has the property $u(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$. But equation (7) has in the domain (8) a unique solution possessing this property, since for it in this domain the conditions of Peano's criterion are fulfilled: for $u_2 > u_1$

$$U(r, u_2) - U(r, u_1) \leq \left[\frac{\sigma}{k}\Lambda(r) - \frac{\omega(r)}{\alpha(r)\omega'(r)} - \frac{\sigma}{k} \right] (u_2 - u_1) \leq 0.$$

The theorem is proved.

In the formulation of the theorem there occur the functions $\omega(r)$ and $\Lambda(r)$. The first characterizes the smallness of the function $\psi(r, \varphi)$ as $r \rightarrow 0$, the second the smallness of the Lipschitz coefficient of the right-hand side. As follows from the theorem, these two functions are organically connected with each other. Choosing various functions $\omega(r)$, we find that equation (2) will have in the domain (N) a unique O -curve for the following functions $\Lambda(r)$:

$\omega(r)$	$o(1)$	$\frac{1}{\ln \ln r }$	$\frac{1}{ \ln r }$	r	$e^{-1/r}$
$\Lambda(r)$	$\frac{\alpha(r)\omega'(r)}{\omega(r)}$	$\frac{\alpha(r)}{r \ln r \ln \ln r }$	$\frac{\alpha(r)}{r \ln r }$	$\frac{\alpha(r)}{r}$	$\frac{\alpha(r)}{r^2}$

From the table it is seen, in particular, that the smallness as $r \rightarrow 0$ of the Lipschitz coefficient of the right-hand side is a necessary condition for the uniqueness of the O -curve of equation (2) in the domain N_2 only when the order of smallness of the function $\psi(r, \varphi)$ is not high. If the function $\psi(r, \varphi)$ has a sufficiently high order of smallness as $r \rightarrow 0$, then uniqueness is preserved even with an unbounded Lipschitz coefficient.

In works ⁽²⁻⁹⁾ the problem of uniqueness of the O -curve in N_2 was considered, as a rule, for the equation

$$r \frac{d\varphi}{dr} = -a\varphi^k(1 + \varepsilon(\varphi)) + \psi(r, \varphi), \quad (9)$$

where $k \geq 1$ is an odd number; a is a positive number; $\varepsilon(\varphi)$ is an analytic function of φ in a neighborhood of the point $\varphi = 0$; $\varepsilon(0) = 0$; $\psi(r, \varphi)$ satisfies condition A. This equation is a special case of equation (2). Its right-hand side will satisfy condition (5) if the function $\psi(r, \varphi)$ satisfies this condition.

Applying our uniqueness theorem to equation (9), we obtain from it, for $\omega(r) = O(1)$, the Lonn lemma ^(2,4); for $\omega(r) = r$, the Vinograd-Grobman theorem ⁽⁵⁾; for

$$\omega(r) = \frac{1}{|\ln r|}$$

and for

$$\omega(r) = \frac{1}{\ln \frac{1}{r} \ln \ln \frac{1}{r} \dots \underbrace{\ln \ln \dots \ln \frac{1}{r}}_n}$$

an amended version for two theorems of Kukles ^(8,9) (see also ⁽¹¹⁾).

Applying our theorem to the equation

$$r \frac{d\varphi}{dr} = -a\varphi^k(1 + \beta(r, \varphi)) + \psi(r, \varphi),$$

where the number $k \geq 1$ is odd; the number $a > 0$; $\beta(r, \varphi)$ and $\psi(r, \varphi)$ satisfy condition A, we obtain from it, for $\omega(r) = r$, an amended version of one of Gruz's theorems ⁽¹⁰⁾ (see also ⁽¹¹⁾).

Leningrad Branch
of the V. A. Steklov Mathematical Institute
Academy of Sciences of the USSR

Received
27 IX 1961

REFERENCES

- ¹ M. Frommer, UMN, No. 9, 212 (1941).
- ² V. V. Nemytskii, V. V. Stepanov, *Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations*, Moscow-Leningrad, 1949.
- ³ R. Hartman, A. Wintner, Am. J. Math., 68, No. 2, 304 (1946).
- ⁴ E. R. Lonn, Math. Zs., 44, No. 4, 515 (1938).
- ⁵ R. E. Vinograd, D. M. Grobman, UMN, 12, No. 5, 193 (1957).
- ⁶ A. F. Andreev, Vestn. Leningrad Univ., No. 13, 84 (1958).
- ⁷ A. F. Andreev, Vestn. Leningrad Univ., No. 7, 18 (1959).
- ⁸ I. S. Kukles, UMN, 14, No. 5, 151 (1959).
- ⁹ I. S. Kukles, DAN, 128, No. 2, 241 (1959).
- ¹⁰ D. M. Gruz, Tr. Uzbek. Univ., No. 78, 25 (1958).
- ¹¹ A. F. Andreev, Proceedings of the Fourth All-Union Mathematical Congress, 1961 (in press).

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.