



---

Soviet-era science, translated into English

# MATHEMATICS

V. E. LYANTSE

1962

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196201.19990>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

*MATHEMATICS*

**V. E. LYANTSE**

## ON ONE GENERALIZED NOTION OF A SPECTRAL OPERATOR

*(Presented by Academician A. N. Kolmogorov, 28 VIII 1961)*

The spectral theory of non-self-adjoint operators for which the space decomposes into a direct (discrete or continuous) sum of invariant subspaces was developed with great completeness by N. Dunford and others <sup>(1)</sup>. The decomposition of the space into a direct sum of invariant subspaces is equivalent to the unconditional convergence of expansions in eigenvectors and associated (generalized) vectors. However, verifying that the convergence is unconditional is, as a rule, very difficult. Therefore the existing criteria for the “spectrality” of an operator <sup>(1)</sup> are not very effective. Moreover, unconditional convergence does not always occur.

In the present paper an attempt is made to construct a spectral theory under the assumption only of completeness of the system of invariant subspaces. Our basic idea is very simple. We immerse the original space  $\mathfrak{H}$  into the projective limit  $\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}$  of the system of invariant subspaces  $(\mathfrak{H}^\Delta)$ . In the general case, expansions in eigenvectors diverge in the topology of the space  $\mathfrak{H}$ , but they converge in the topology of the projective limit  $\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}$ .

### 1. Generalized decompositions of the identity.

**1.1.** By a (generalized) decomposition of the identity of a Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}$  we shall mean an operator-valued set function  $P : \Delta \rightarrow P(\Delta)$  possessing the following properties: a) the function  $P$  is defined on a certain ring  $D(P)$  (which, generally speaking, is not a field or a  $\sigma$ -ring) of Borel sets  $\Delta$  of the complex plane  $\Lambda$ , and the ring  $D(P)$  contains every Borel subset of each of its elements; b) the values of the function  $P$  are linear bounded operators  $P(\Delta)$  mapping the whole space  $\mathfrak{H}$  into itself, and: 1)  $P(\Delta_1)P(\Delta_2) = P(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2)$  for any  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2 \in D(P)$ ; 2) for any decomposition of a set  $\Delta \in D(P)$  into disjoint parts  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \dots \in D(P)$  and for any  $x, y \in \mathfrak{H}$ ,

$$\sum_k (P(\Delta_k)x, y) = (P(\Delta)x, y);$$

3) if  $P(\Delta)x = 0$  for all  $\Delta \in D(P)$ , then  $x = 0$ ; 4) if  $P^*(\Delta)x = 0$  for all  $\Delta \in D(P)$ , then  $x = 0$ ,  $P^*(\Delta) = [P(\Delta)]^*$ .

It is not difficult to construct an example of a generalized decomposition of the identity  $P$  that is not equivalent to a decomposition of the identity of a normal

operator, i.e. one for which there exists no such continuous automorphism  $M$  of the space  $\mathfrak{H}$  that all the projectors  $M^{-1}P(\Delta)M$ ,  $\Delta \in D(P)$ , are self-adjoint operators.

1.2. For an arbitrary set  $\Delta \subset \Lambda$  put

$$K_\Delta = \sup\{\|P(\delta)\| : \delta \subset \Delta, \delta \in D(P)\}.$$

If  $\Delta \in D(P)$ , then  $K_\Delta < \infty$ .

1.3. Denote by  $D_0(P)$  the class of all Borel sets  $\Delta \subset \Lambda$  for which  $K_\Delta < \infty$ . For every  $\Delta \in D_0(P)$  the sequence of operators  $(P(\delta))$  converges in the strong sense when the set  $\delta$  runs through the upward-directed, with respect to inclusion, system of subsets of the set  $\Delta$  belonging to the class  $D(P)$ . Put

$$P(\Delta) = \lim\{P(\delta) : \delta \uparrow \Delta, \delta \in D(P)\}.$$

The extended function  $P$  is also a decomposition of the identity, and the extension constructed above is maximal (in the sense that  $P$  still remains a decomposition of the identity).

1.4. A class of sets  $D \subset D(P)$  shall be called **admissible** if the restriction of the function  $P$  to the class  $D$  is also a resolution of the identity. *The intersection of any two admissible classes is an admissible class.*

1.5. *If  $D$  is an admissible class, then the manifold*

$$\bigcup_{\Delta \in D} P(\Delta)\mathfrak{H}$$

*is dense in  $\mathfrak{H}$ .*

1.6. *If  $P$  is a resolution of the identity defined on the class  $D(P)$ , then the function*

$$P^* : \Delta \rightarrow P^*(\Delta) = [P(\Delta)]^*,$$

*defined on the same class of sets  $D(P)$ , is also a resolution of the identity.*

## 2. Basic and generalized elements

2.1. Let  $P$  be a resolution of the identity of the space  $\mathfrak{H}$ ;  $D(P)$  the domain of definition of the function  $P$  (not necessarily maximal;  $D(P) \subset D_0(P)$ , see 1.3). For each  $\Delta \in D(P)$  put

$$\mathfrak{H}^\Delta = P(\Delta)\mathfrak{H}.$$

The (generalized) sequence  $(\mathfrak{H}^\Delta)_{\Delta \in D(P)}$  of Hilbert spaces  $\mathfrak{H}^\Delta \subset \mathfrak{H}$  increases with respect to  $\Delta$  in the sense that

$$P(\Delta_1)\mathfrak{H}^{\Delta_1} \subset \mathfrak{H}^{\Delta_2}, \quad \text{if } \Delta_1 \subset \Delta_2.$$

By the **space of basic elements**  $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$  of the Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}$ , corresponding to  $P$  and  $D(P)$ , we shall mean the inductive limit

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{H}} = \lim \operatorname{ind}_{\Delta} (\mathfrak{H}^{\Delta}, P(\Delta)).$$

The space  $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$  consists of the elements of the union

$$\bigcup_{\Delta \in D(P)} \mathfrak{H}^{\Delta},$$

linear operations in  $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$  are induced from  $\mathfrak{H}^{\Delta}$ ,  $\Delta \in D(P)$ , and the defining system of neighborhoods of zero in  $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$  is formed by sets of the form

$$\tilde{U} = \bigcup_{\Delta \in D(P)} U^{\Delta},$$

where  $U^{\Delta}$  is a neighborhood of zero in  $\mathfrak{H}^{\Delta}$ .

2.2. Note that the (generalized) sequence  $(\mathfrak{H}^{\Delta})_{\Delta \in D(P)}$  of Hilbert spaces  $\mathfrak{H}^{\Delta} \subset \mathfrak{H}$  decreases with respect to  $\Delta$  in the sense that

$$P(\Delta_1)\mathfrak{H}^{\Delta_2} \subset \mathfrak{H}^{\Delta_1}, \quad \text{if } \Delta_1 \subset \Delta_2.$$

By the **space of generalized elements**  $\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}$  of the Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}$ , corresponding to  $P$  and  $D(P)$ , we shall mean the projective limit

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{H}} = \lim \operatorname{pr}_{\Delta} (\mathfrak{H}^{\Delta}; P(\Delta)).$$

The space  $\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}$  consists of (generalized) sequences

$$\hat{x} = (x_{\Delta})_{\Delta \in D(P)}$$

such that  $x_{\Delta} \in \mathfrak{H}^{\Delta}$  and

$$P(\Delta_1)x_{\Delta_2} = x_{\Delta_1}, \quad \text{if } \Delta_1 \subset \Delta_2.$$

By definition

$$(x_{\Delta}) + (y_{\Delta}) = (x_{\Delta} + y_{\Delta})$$

and

$$\alpha(x_{\Delta}) = (\alpha x_{\Delta}), \quad \alpha \in \Lambda.$$

The defining system of neighborhoods of zero in  $\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}$  is formed by sets of the form

$$\widehat{U} = \{\hat{x} : \hat{x} \in \widehat{\mathfrak{H}}, P(\Delta_0)\hat{x} \in U^{\Delta_0}\},$$

where  $U^{\Delta_0}$  is a neighborhood of zero in  $\mathfrak{H}^{\Delta_0}$  (depending on  $\widehat{U}$ ), and

$$P(\Delta_0)(x_{\Delta}) = x_{\Delta_0}; \quad \Delta, \Delta_0 \in D(P).$$

2.3. Let  $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_*$  be the space of basic elements of the space  $\mathfrak{H}$ , corresponding to the resolution of the identity  $P^*$ , defined on the class  $D(P^*) = D(P)$  (see 1.6). The space of generalized elements  $\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}$  is the space of linear continuous functionals on  $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_*$ . Namely, the formula

$$\langle \tilde{x}_*, \hat{x} \rangle = (\tilde{x}_*, P(\Delta)\hat{x})$$

for all  $\tilde{x}_* \in \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_*$ ,  $\Delta \in D(P)$ , establishes a one-to-one correspondence between functionals  $\tilde{x}_* \in \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}'_*$  and generalized elements  $\hat{x} \in \widehat{\mathfrak{H}}$  (recall that  $P(\Delta_0)(x_\Delta) = x_{\Delta_0}$ ;  $\langle, \rangle$  denotes the duality of the spaces  $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_*$  and  $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}'_*$ , and  $(, )$  is the scalar product in  $\mathfrak{H}$ ).

2.4. For each  $x \in \mathfrak{H}$  there exists a unique element  $\hat{x} \in \widehat{\mathfrak{H}}$  such that

$$P(\Delta)\hat{x} = P(\Delta)x$$

for all  $\Delta \in D(P)$ . In this sense  $\mathfrak{H} \subset \widehat{\mathfrak{H}}$ , and since, moreover,  $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}} \subset \mathfrak{H}$ , we have

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{H}} \subset \mathfrak{H} \subset \widehat{\mathfrak{H}}.$$

The manifold  $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$  is dense in the topological space  $\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}$ .

2.5. For each  $\Delta \in D(P)$ , by  $\tilde{P}(\Delta)$  ( $\widehat{P}(\Delta)$ ) we denote the restriction (extension) of the operator  $P(\Delta)$  to the manifold  $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$  ( $\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}$ ):  $\widehat{P}(\Delta)\hat{x} = JP(\Delta)x$ , where  $J$  is the operator of embedding of  $\mathfrak{H}$  into  $\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}$ ; this extension is at the same time an extension by continuity). For every  $x \in \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$  ( $\hat{x} \in \widehat{\mathfrak{H}}$ ) we have

$$\tilde{x} = \int \tilde{P}(d\lambda)\tilde{x} = \lim_{\Delta \nearrow} \tilde{P}(\Delta)\tilde{x} \quad \left( \hat{x} = \int \widehat{P}(d\lambda)\hat{x} = \lim_{\Delta \nearrow} \widehat{P}(\Delta)\hat{x} \right)$$

( $\Delta \nearrow$  means that  $\Delta$  runs through the upward-directed, with respect to inclusion  $\subset$ , system of mno-

$D(P)$ ). Consequently, for every  $x \in \mathfrak{H}$  we have the expansion  $x = \int P(d\lambda)x$ , where the integral exists in the sense of the topology of the projective limit  $\mathfrak{H}$ .

### 3. Operators permutable with the resolution of the identity

**3.1.** A closed linear operator  $A$  with domain of definition  $\mathfrak{D}(A)$ , dense in the space  $\mathfrak{H}$ , will be called *permutable* with the resolution of the identity  $P$  if:  $\alpha$ )  $AP(\Delta) \supset P(\Delta)A$  for every  $\Delta \in D(P)$ ;  $\beta$ ) the class  $D_A$  of all those sets  $\Delta \in D(P)$  for which  $P(\Delta)\mathfrak{H} \subset \mathfrak{D}(A)$  is an admissible class (see 1.4). If the operator  $A$  is permutable with the resolution of the identity  $P$ , then, as is not difficult to see, the operator  $AP(\Delta)$  is bounded for every  $\Delta \in D(P)$ . The totality of all operators permutable with  $P$  will be denoted by  $\mathfrak{A}(P)$ .

**3.2.** Let  $\Delta \rightarrow \tilde{A}(\Delta)$  be an operator-valued set function defined on some admissible class of sets  $D$ , and suppose that for each  $\Delta \in D$ ,  $\tilde{A}(\Delta)$  is a bounded linear

operator  $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{D}(A(\Delta)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$ . In order that there exist an operator  $A \in \mathfrak{A}(P)$  for which  $AP(\Delta) = \tilde{A}(\Delta)$ ,  $\Delta \in D$ , it is necessary and sufficient that the condition

$$P(\Delta_1)\tilde{A}(\Delta_2) = \tilde{A}(\Delta_2)P(\Delta_1) = A(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2) \quad \text{for all } \Delta_1, \Delta_2 \in D \quad (\text{a})$$

be satisfied.

**3.3.** Let  $\Delta \rightarrow \tilde{A}_i(\Delta)$  be functions satisfying the conditions of 3.2, and let  $A_i \in \mathfrak{A}(P)$  be the operator determined by this function,  $A_{iP}(\Delta) = \tilde{A}_i(\Delta)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . If  $\tilde{A}_1(\Delta) = \tilde{A}_2(\Delta)$  for all  $\Delta \in D_1 \cap D_2$ , then  $A_1 = A_2$ ; in particular  $\mathfrak{D}(A_1) = \mathfrak{D}(A_2)$ .

**3.4.** If  $A \in \mathfrak{A}(P)$ , then  $A^* \in \mathfrak{A}(P^*)$  and  $D_A = D_{A^*}$ .

**3.5.** Denote by  $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}(P)$  ( $\hat{\mathfrak{A}}(P)$ ) the class of all linear continuous operators  $\mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$  ( $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$ ) that are permutable with each of the operators  $\tilde{P}(\Delta)$  ( $\hat{P}(\Delta)$ ),  $\Delta \in D(P)$ . In the following propositions a description is given of the relations existing among the elements of the classes  $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}(P)$ ,  $\mathfrak{A}(P)$ , and  $\hat{\mathfrak{A}}(P)$ .

1°. Let  $\tilde{A} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}(P)$ . The operator  $\tilde{A}$ , considered in the space  $\mathfrak{H} \supset \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ , admits a closure  $A$ ; moreover  $A \in \mathfrak{A}(P)$ .

2°. Let  $A \in \mathfrak{A}(P)$ . Since the restriction of the function  $P$  to the class  $D_A$  (see 3.1β) is also a resolution of the identity, we shall assume that  $D(P) = D_A$ . Under this assumption  $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}} \subset \mathfrak{D}(A)$ . If  $\tilde{A}$  is the restriction of the operator  $A$  to  $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ , then  $\tilde{A} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}(P)$ .

3°. Let  $\tilde{A} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}(P)$ . The operator  $\tilde{A}$  is continuous in the sense of the topology induced in  $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$  by the topology of the space  $\mathfrak{H} \supset \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ , and since  $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$  is dense in  $\hat{\mathfrak{H}}$ ,  $\tilde{A}$  extends by continuity to the space  $\hat{\mathfrak{H}}$ . Denoting this extension by  $\hat{A}$ , we have  $\hat{A} \in \hat{\mathfrak{A}}(P)$ .

4°. Let  $\hat{A} \in \hat{\mathfrak{A}}(P)$ . Put

$$\mathfrak{D}(A) = \{\hat{x} : \hat{x} \in \hat{\mathfrak{H}}, \hat{A}\hat{x} \in \hat{\mathfrak{H}}\}, \quad A \subset \hat{A}.$$

Then  $A \in \mathfrak{A}(P)$ .

**3.6.** The set  $\mathfrak{A}(P)$  is transformed into an algebra over the field of complex numbers if the algebraic operations on the operators  $A \in \mathfrak{A}(P)$  are defined by means of the corresponding operations on the (bounded) operators  $\tilde{A}(\Delta) = AP(\Delta)$  (see 3.2 and condition (a)). The sets  $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}(P)$  and  $\hat{\mathfrak{A}}(P)$  consist of operators defined on the whole space ( $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$  or  $\hat{\mathfrak{H}}$ ), and therefore are algebras with respect to the usual operations on operators. The one-to-one correspondences  $A \rightarrow \tilde{A}$  and  $A \rightarrow \hat{A}$  (see 3.5) are isomorphisms of the algebras  $\mathfrak{A}(P)$ ,  $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}(P)$ , and  $\hat{\mathfrak{A}}(P)$ . These correspondences are also continuous mappings if convergence of a

sequence  $(A_\alpha) \in \mathfrak{A}(P)$  is defined as convergence (in norm in  $\mathfrak{H}$ ) of the sequences  $(A_\alpha P(\Delta))$  for every  $\Delta \in D(P)$ .

**3.7.** Proposition 3.2 can naturally be used for constructing analytic functions of elements of the algebra  $\mathfrak{A}(P)$ . For every  $\Delta \in D(P)$

we first construct the operator  $f(AP(\Delta))$ , where  $f$  is a function analytic in some neighborhood of the spectrum of the operator  $A \in \mathfrak{A}(P)$ ; we verify that the function  $\Delta \rightarrow f(A(\Delta))$  satisfies condition (3.1), and therefore defines an operator  $f(A) \in \mathfrak{A}(P)$ .

**4. Spectral operators.** A linear operator  $A : \mathfrak{D}(A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$ ,  $\overline{\mathfrak{D}(A)} = \mathfrak{H}$ , will be called **spectral** if there exists a resolution of the identity  $P$  such that  $A \in \mathfrak{A}(P)$  and, for every  $\Delta \in D_A$ , the spectrum of the operator  $A$ , considered on the invariant subspace  $P(\Delta)\mathfrak{H}$ , is contained in the closure  $\overline{\Delta}$  of the set  $\Delta$ . An operator  $A \in \mathfrak{A}(P)$  is spectral if and only if

$$A = \int \lambda P(d\lambda) + N,$$

where the integral converges in the topology of  $\mathfrak{A}(P)$ , while  $N \in \mathfrak{A}(P)$  and  $NP(\Delta)$  is a quasinilpotent operator for every  $\Delta \in D_A$ .

Lviv Polytechnic Institute

Received  
1 VII 1961

## REFERENCES

1. N. Dunford, *Sborn. per. Matematika*, **4**, 1, 1960.

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*