

DIRECT AND INVERSE THEOREMS IN THE THEORY OF APPROXIMATION OF FUNCTIONS BY $\backslash(m\backslash)$ -SINGULAR INTEGRALS

1962

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196201.18623>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

MATHEMATICS

R. G. MAMEDOV

DIRECT AND INVERSE THEOREMS IN THE THEORY OF APPROXIMATION OF FUNCTIONS BY m -SINGULAR INTEGRALS

(Presented by Academician V. I. Smirnov on 2 I 1962)

Let $K_\lambda(t)$ be an even function defined on $(-\infty, \infty)$ and depending on a real parameter λ . Consider the m -singular integral

$$T_\lambda^{[m]}(f; x) = (-1)^{m+1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} f(x + kt) \right] K_\lambda(t) dt \quad (1)$$

for each function $f(t)$ $(-\infty < t < \infty)$, where $m \geq 1$ is a certain fixed positive integer (see (5)).

The Fourier transform of a function $f(t) \in L_p(-\infty, \infty)$ $(1 \leq p \leq 2)$ will be denoted by $(F)f(t) = F[f(t)]$.

In addition to the Fourier transform, in what follows we shall also need the Fourier-Stieltjes transform of functions of bounded variation on $(-\infty, \infty)$, i.e., functions from the class $BV(-\infty, \infty)$. The Fourier-Stieltjes transform of a function $h(t) \in BV(-\infty, \infty)$ will be denoted by

$$(FS)h(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ixt} dh(t).$$

Let us note that if $f(t) \in L_p(-\infty, \infty)$ $(p \geq 1)$ and $K_\lambda(t) \in L(-\infty, \infty)$, then the m -singular integral (1) exists almost everywhere on $(-\infty, \infty)$ and

$$T_\lambda^{[m]}(f; x) \in L_p(-\infty, \infty) \quad (p \geq 1).$$

In what follows, everywhere we put

$$\psi(x) = F[f(t)], \quad \varphi_\lambda(x) = F[K_\lambda(t)].$$

Theorem 1. Let $f(t) \in L_p(-\infty, \infty)$ $(1 \leq p \leq 2)$, $K_\lambda(t) \in L(-\infty, \infty)$, and suppose there exists a nonnegative function $\gamma(\lambda)$, monotonically decreasing to zero as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, such that

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1 - \sqrt{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{k-1} \binom{m}{k} \varphi_\lambda(kx)}{\gamma(\lambda)} = r_m(x) \equiv r(x) \quad (2)$$

for every real x , where $r(x)$ is some continuous real-valued function, and $r(x) \neq 0$ for $-\infty < x < \infty$.

Then from the relation

$$\|T_\lambda^{[m]}(f; x) - f(x)\|_{L_p} = o[\gamma(\lambda)] \quad (3)$$

it follows that $f(x) = 0$ almost everywhere on $(-\infty, \infty)$.

Theorem 2. Let $f(t), K_\lambda(t) \in L(-\infty, \infty)$, and suppose condition (2) is satisfied.

If

$$\|T_\lambda^{[m]}(f; x) - f(x)\|_L = O[\gamma(\lambda)] \quad (4)$$

as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, then the function $r(x)\psi(x)$ is the Fourier-Stieltjes transform of some function $h(t) \in BV(-\infty, \infty)$, i.e.

$$r(x)\psi(x) = (FS)h(t) \quad (5)$$

for every $-\infty < x < \infty$.

If, in particular, $r(x) = x^k$, where $k \geq 1$ is an integer, then the function $f(t)$ has a $(k-1)$ -st derivative $f^{(k-1)}(t)$ and $f^{(k-1)}(t) \in BV(-\infty, \infty)$.

Theorem 2 is in a certain sense reversible.

Theorem 3. Let $f(t), K_\lambda \in (t) \in L(-\infty, \infty)$ and suppose that the condition

$$\frac{1 - \sqrt{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{k-1} \binom{m}{k} \varphi_\lambda(kx)}{\gamma(\lambda)r(x)} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ixt} dQ_\lambda(t) (FS)Q_\lambda(t), \quad (6)$$

is satisfied, where $Q_\lambda(t) \in BV(-\infty, \infty)$, $[\text{Var } Q_\lambda(t)]_{-\infty}^{\infty} \leq M < +\infty$.

Then from condition (5), where $h(t) \in BV(-\infty, \infty)$, (4) follows as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$.

Now let us additionally suppose that the condition

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} (FS)Q_\lambda(t) = 1 \quad (7)$$

holds.

In this case condition (2) is also satisfied. Consequently, from Theorems 2 and 3 it follows:

Theorem 4. Let $f(t), K_\lambda(t) \in L(-\infty, \infty)$ and let conditions (6) and (7) be satisfied.

Then a necessary and sufficient condition for relation (4) to hold as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ is (5).

We now give a definition (see ^(1,2)). Let $\gamma(\lambda) \geq 0$ be a nonincreasing function and $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \gamma(\lambda) = 0$. Suppose that there exists a class E of functions $f(x)$ such that:

- 1°. From $\|T_\lambda^{[m]}(f; x) - f(x)\| = o[\gamma(\lambda)]$ it follows that $f(x) = \text{const}$.
- 2°. From $\|T_\lambda^{[m]}(f; x) - f(x)\| = O[\gamma(\lambda)]$ it follows that $f(x) \in E$.
- 3°. For every function $f(x) \in E$ one has

$$\|T_\lambda^{[m]}(f; x) - f(x)\| = O[\gamma(\lambda)] \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then the m -singular integral (1) is said to be **saturated with order** $O[\gamma(\lambda)]$, and E is called the **class of saturation**.

We note that Theorems 1 and 4 determine the class and the order of saturation of the m -singular integrals (1) in the space $L(-\infty, \infty)$. From these theorems it follows that the order of saturation of the m -singular integrals is $\gamma(\lambda)$, and the class of saturation consists of those functions $f(x)$ for which condition (5) is satisfied.

We note that the saturation classes of many known summability methods were found by A. Kh. Turetskii ^(3,4).

Theorem 5. Let $f(t) \in L_p(-\infty, \infty)$ ($1 < p \leq 2$), $K_\lambda(t) \in L(-\infty, \infty)$, and let condition (2) be satisfied for all real x .

If

$$\|T_\lambda^{[m]}(f; x) - f(x)\|_{L_p} = O[\gamma(\lambda)] \quad (8)$$

as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, then the function $r(x)\psi(x)$ is the Fourier transform of some function $\mu(t) \in L_p(-\infty, \infty)$ ($1 < p \leq 2$), i.e. the relation

$$r(x)\psi(x) = F[\mu(t)] \quad (9)$$

holds.

If, in particular, $r(x) = x^k$ or $r(x) = |x|^k$, then

$$f^{(k)}(t) \in L_p(-\infty, \infty).$$

Theorem 5 is converse under a small additional restriction.

Theorem 6. Let $f(t) \in L_p(-\infty, \infty)$ ($1 < p \leq 2$), $K_\lambda(t) \in L(-\infty, \infty)$, and suppose the condition

$$\frac{1 - \sqrt{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{k-1} \binom{m}{k} \varphi_\lambda(kx)}{\gamma(\lambda)r(x)} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ixt} dQ_\lambda(t) = (FS)Q_\lambda(t), \quad (10)$$

is satisfied, where

$$Q_\lambda(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t b_\lambda(u) du, \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |b_\lambda(u)| du \leq M < +\infty.$$

Then condition (9), where $\mu(t) \in L_p(-\infty, \infty)$, implies (8) as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$.

From Theorems 5 and 6 it follows:

Theorem 7. Let $f(t) \in L_p(-\infty, \infty)$ ($1 < p \leq 2$), $K_\lambda(t) \in L(-\infty, \infty)$, let condition (10) be satisfied, and

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} (FS)Q_\lambda(t) = 1. \quad (11)$$

Then the necessary and sufficient condition for relation (8) to hold is (9).

Theorems 1 and 7 determine the class and the order of saturation of the m -singular integrals (1) in the space $L_p(-\infty, \infty)$ ($1 < p \leq 2$). More precisely, it follows from the indicated theorems that the order of saturation of the m -singular integrals (1) is $\gamma(\lambda)$, and the saturation class consists of those functions $f(x)$ for which condition (9) is satisfied.

In particular, if $m = 1$ and $K_\lambda(t) = \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2\pi}} K(\lambda t)$, then from Theorems 1, 2, and 5 the corresponding results of Sunouchi ⁽¹⁾ follow.

In the case $m = 1$, $r(x) = c|x|^\alpha$ ($c > 0$, $0 < \alpha \leq 2$), $\gamma(\lambda) = \lambda^{-\alpha}$, and $K_\lambda(t) = \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2\pi}} K(\lambda t)$, our theorems yield the corresponding results of Butzer ⁽²⁾.

It is known ⁽⁷⁾ that for functions $f(t) \in L_p(-\infty, \infty)$ ($2 < p \leq \infty$) the Fourier transform in the ordinary sense, generally speaking, does not exist. However,

using the notion of summability of integrals by the Cesàro method, the Fourier transform can be defined ⁽⁶⁾. Sunouchi ⁽¹⁾ partially used these results to determine the saturation of singular integrals with kernels of Fejér type in the space $L_p(-\infty, \infty)$ ($2 < p \leq \infty$).

If one uses these definitions of Offord ⁽⁶⁾ and the corresponding schemes of Sunouchi ⁽¹⁾ and Butzer ⁽²⁾, one obtains the corresponding direct and inverse theorems for the approximation of functions by m -singular integrals in the space $L_p(-\infty, \infty)$ ($2 < p \leq \infty$).

Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics
Academy of Sciences of the Azerbaijan SSR

Received
29 XII 1961

REFERENCES

1. G. Sunouchi, Tôhoku Math. J., **12**, 2, 339 (1960).
2. P. L. Butzer, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **5**, 5, 390 (1960).
3. A. Kh. Turetskii, Izv. AN SSSR, ser. matem., **25**, 3, 441 (1961).
4. A. Kh. Turetskii, UMN, **15**, no. 6 (96), 149 (1960).
5. R. G. Mamedov, Dokl. AN AzerbSSR, No. 11 (1961).
6. A. C. Offord, Trans. Am. Math. Soc., **38**, 2, 250 (1935).
7. E. Titchmarsh, *Introduction to the Theory of Fourier Integrals*, Moscow-Leningrad, 1948.

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.