



---

Soviet-era science, translated into English

# Reports of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR

1962

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196201.16586>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

**Reports of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR**

1962. Volume 146, No. 3

**MATHEMATICS**

**M. S. AGRANOVICH, A. S. DYNIN**

**GENERAL BOUNDARY-VALUE PROBLEMS  
FOR ELLIPTIC SYSTEMS IN A MULTIDI-  
MENSIONAL DOMAIN**

*(Presented by Academician I. G. Petrovskii on 16 IV 1962)*

In this note, for systems elliptic in the sense of I. G. Petrovskii, results are established analogous to those obtained in <sup>(1)</sup>, where the case of a single elliptic equation was considered.

Let  $G$  be a bounded domain in the Euclidean space  $R^n$  of points  $x = (x^1, \dots, x^n)$ . We assume that its boundary  $\Gamma$  is an  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional infinitely smooth\* surface admitting local "straightening" by means of coordinate transformations (see, for example, <sup>(2-4)</sup>). Consider in the domain  $G$  the operator

$$Au = A(x, D)u(x). \tag{1}$$

Here  $u(x)$  is a column of  $p$  functions;  $A(x, D)$  is a square matrix of order  $p$ , consisting of linear partial differential operators of order  $s$  with complex coefficients infinitely smooth in  $\bar{G}$ ;  $D = (D_1, \dots, D_n)$ , where  $D_j = -i \partial / \partial x^j$ . We assume the operator  $A$  to be elliptic:

$$\det A_0(x, \xi) \neq 0 \quad \text{for } x \in \bar{G} \text{ and } \xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \neq 0, \tag{2}$$

where  $A_0(x, D)$  is the principal part of  $A$  (homogeneous in  $D$  of degree  $s$ ); the  $\xi_j$  are real. For  $n > 2$ , it follows from (2) that  $ps$  is even <sup>(5)</sup>; for  $n = 2$  this is assumed additionally.

On  $\Gamma$  let us prescribe the boundary operator

$$Bu = B(x, D)u(x)|_{\Gamma}. \tag{3}$$

Here  $B(x, D)$  is a matrix with  $r = ps/2$  rows and  $p$  columns, consisting of linear partial differential operators; the coefficients in these operators will be,

as in <sup>(1)</sup> (see also <sup>(6)</sup>), singular integral operators on  $\Gamma$  with infinitely smooth complex kernels and free terms (see <sup>(7,8)</sup>). In particular, if all kernels are equal to 0, then  $B$  will be an ordinary differential operator. Let  $m_k$  be the highest order of differentiation in the  $k$ -th row of the matrix  $B$ . Denote by  $B_0(x, D)$  the principal part of  $B$ , obtained by discarding, in the  $k$ -th row, terms of order  $< m_k$  ( $k = 1, \dots, r$ ).

We shall subject the operators  $A$  and  $B$  on  $\Gamma$  to the condition of Ya. B. Lopatinskii (L), which we now formulate (cf. <sup>(5,9,10)</sup>). Take an arbitrary point  $M$  on  $\Gamma$ . In order not to introduce complicated notation, suppose that the origin of the coordinate system  $x$  is at  $M$  and that the axis  $x^n$  is directed along the inward normal to  $\Gamma$  at  $M$ . On functions defined in the half-space  $R^n_+ : x^n > 0$ , consider the operators

$$A_0(0, D)u(x), \quad B_0(0, D)u(x)|_{x^n=0}. \quad (4)$$

The first of them is obtained from  $A_0(x, D)$  by freezing the coefficients at  $x = 0$ . In the second, the coefficients are singular integral operators on the hyperplane  $x^n = 0$ . Their symbols (see <sup>(7,8)</sup>) are obtained

---

\* All assumptions on smoothness may be weakened.

by freezing at  $x = 0$  the symbols of the corresponding integral operators entering into  $B_0(x, D)$ .

In (4) perform the Fourier transform  $\hat{F}$  with respect to the variables  $x^1, \dots, x^{n-1}$ , and consider, for arbitrarily fixed  $\hat{\xi} = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}, 0)$ , the boundary-value problem on the half-line:

$$A_0(0, \hat{\xi}, D_n)v(x^n) = 0 \quad (x^n > 0); \quad (5)$$

$$B_0(0, \hat{\xi}, D_n)v(x^n) = h \quad (x^n = 0). \quad (6)$$

Here  $h$  is a numerical column of height  $r$ . Following <sup>(9)</sup>, we shall call a solution of system (5) stable if it tends to 0 as  $x^n \rightarrow +\infty$ . For  $n > 2$  it is proved that the dimension of the space  $\mathfrak{M}$  of stable solutions is equal to  $r$  <sup>(5)</sup>; for  $n = 2$  we shall assume this additionally. An operator  $A$  with this property is called properly elliptic.

The condition (L) at the point  $M$  of the boundary  $\Gamma$  consists in the fact that for every  $\hat{\xi} \neq 0$  problem (5), (6) is uniquely solvable in  $\mathfrak{M}$ .

For what follows it is necessary to write condition (L) in explicit form. To this end take some stable basis  $\omega_1(x^n), \dots, \omega_r(x^n)$ , i.e. a basis in the space  $\mathfrak{M}$ , and put

$$v(x^n) = a_1\omega_1(x^n) + \dots + a_r\omega_r(x^n), \quad (7)$$

where  $a_j$  are numerical coefficients. Denote by  $\omega$  the matrix composed of the columns  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r$ . Substituting (7) into (6), we obtain a system of  $r$  linear algebraic equations with respect to  $a_1, \dots, a_r$ . The condition for its unique solvability is that the matrix

$$B_0\omega(\dot{\xi}) = B_0(0, \dot{\xi}, D_n)\omega(x^n)|_{x^n=0} \quad (\dot{\xi} \neq 0) \quad (8)$$

must be nonsingular. This is precisely condition (L). Obviously, it does not depend on the choice of the stable basis.

Put  $\mathfrak{A} = (A, B)$ . We shall call the operator  $\mathfrak{A}$  **elliptic** if  $A$  is properly elliptic and if  $A$  and  $B$  are connected on  $\Gamma$  by condition (L).

Let  $k$  be an integer  $\geq 0$ . Denote by  $H_k(G)$  the direct product of  $p$  (scalar) spaces of S. L. Sobolev  $W_2^{(k)}(G)$ . If  $k \geq \max m_j + 1$ , then denote by  $H_{k-m-1/2}(\Gamma)$  the direct product of the spaces of L. N. Slobodetskii <sup>(11)</sup>

$$W_2^{(k-m_j-1/2)}(\Gamma) \quad (j = 1, \dots, r).$$

We agree to denote the norms in these vector spaces by  $\|\cdot\|$  with the corresponding indices. In this case the square of the norm of a vector is equal to the sum of the squares of the norms of its components.

In what follows  $l$  will be an integer  $\geq l_0 = \max(s, m_j + 1)$ . By  $H_l(G, \Gamma)$  denote the direct product of the spaces  $H_{l-s}(G)$  and  $H_{l-m-1/2}(\Gamma)$ . We introduce analogous notation for  $R_+^n, \dot{R}_+^n$  instead of  $G, \Gamma$ .

The operator  $\mathfrak{A}$  acts from  $H_l(G)$  into  $H_l(G, \Gamma)$  and is bounded.

**Theorem 1.** *Ellipticity of the operator  $\mathfrak{A}$  is equivalent to each of the following two conditions:*

I. *If  $u \in H_{l_0}(G)$ ,  $Au \in H_{l-s}(G)$ , and  $Bu \in H_{l-m-1/2}(\Gamma)$ , then  $u \in H_l(G)$  and the a priori estimate holds*

$$\|u\|_l \leq C(\|Au\|_{l-s} + \|Bu\|_{l-m-1/2} + \|u\|_0), \quad (9)$$

where  $C$  is a constant independent of  $u(x)$ .

II.  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a  $\Phi$ -operator from  $H_l(G)$  into  $H_l(G, \Gamma)$ .

The latter means that the equation  $\mathfrak{A}u = 0$  has a finite number  $\alpha$  of linearly independent solutions in  $H_l(G)$ , that the range of the operator  $\mathfrak{A}$  is closed in  $H_l(G, \Gamma)$ , and that the quotient space  $H_l(G, \Gamma)/\mathfrak{A}H_l(G)$  has finite dimension  $\beta$  <sup>(12)</sup>. If the operator  $\mathfrak{A}$  is elliptic, then from I it follows that the numbers  $\alpha$  and

$\beta$  do not depend on  $l$ . The difference  $\varkappa(\mathfrak{A}) = \alpha - \beta$  is called the index of the operator  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

For lack of space there is no possibility here to dwell in detail on the prehistory of Theorem 1 (see <sup>(2-5,9,10,13-16)</sup>, where further references may be found). In the cited works differential boundary conditions were considered, and Theorem 1 had not yet been established for them in full generality. In <sup>(1)</sup> it is proved for integro-differential boundary conditions for  $p = 1$ .

Let us outline the derivation of assertion II from the ellipticity of the operator  $\mathfrak{A}$ . First one constructs a stable basis  $\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_r$  for (5), where  $\Omega_j$  satisfies condition (6) with a column  $h$  all of whose elements are equal to 0 except the  $j$ -th, which is equal to 1. Denote by  $\Omega$  the matrix whose columns are the  $\Omega_j$ . The inverse Fourier transforms of its elements are the "Poisson kernels" (cf. <sup>(3)</sup>). For  $p = 1$  there are explicit formulas <sup>(3)</sup> for  $\Omega_j$ . For  $p \geq 1$  one can show (cf. <sup>(3,5)</sup>) that the following is true.

**Lemma 1.** *The matrix  $\Omega$  admits the representation*

$$\Omega(\xi, x^n) = \int_{\gamma(\xi)} e^{ix^n \lambda} A_0^{-1}(0, \xi, \lambda) N(\xi, \lambda) d\lambda. \quad (10)$$

Here  $\gamma(\xi)$  is a contour in the half-plane  $\text{Im } \lambda > 0$ , encircling the zeros of  $\det A_0(0, \xi, \lambda)$  lying there, and  $N$  is a matrix with  $p$  rows and  $r$  columns, the elements of whose  $j$ -th column, infinitely differentiable for  $\xi \neq 0$ , are polynomials in  $\lambda$  and are positively homogeneous in  $(\xi, \lambda)$  of degree  $m_j - s - 1$  ( $j = 1, \dots, r$ ).

We shall call a **regularizer** for the operator  $\mathfrak{A}$  in the domain  $G$  such a bounded operator  $\mathfrak{R}$  from  $H_l(G, \Gamma)$  into  $H_l(G)$  that  $\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{R} = I_1 + T_1$  and  $\mathfrak{R}\mathfrak{A} = I_2 + T_2$ , where  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  are the identity operators in  $H_l(G, \Gamma)$  and  $H_l(G)$ , while  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are bounded operators from these spaces respectively into  $H_{l+1}(G, \Gamma)$  and  $H_l(G)$ .

**Lemma 2.** *The elliptic operator  $\mathfrak{A}$  possesses a regularizer.*

For  $p = 1$  the regularizer is constructed in <sup>(4)</sup> and <sup>(21)</sup>. The latter construction is generalized here to the case  $p \geq 1$  with the aid of Lemma 1.

First regularizers are constructed for (4) in  $R_+^n$  and for  $A_0(0, D)$  in  $R^n$  (their definitions are analogous to that just given). The first of them is constructed by the formulas

$$\mathfrak{R}(f, g) = \mathfrak{R}_0 f + \mathfrak{R}_1(g - B\mathfrak{R}_0 f); \quad (11)$$

$$\mathfrak{R}_0 f = MF^{-1}A_0^{-1}(0, \xi)|\xi|^{l_0}(1 + |\xi|^{l_0})^{-1}FLf; \quad (12)$$

$$\mathfrak{R}_1 g = F^{-1}\Omega(\xi, x^n)|\xi|^{l_0}(1 + |\xi|^{l_0})^{-1}Fg, \quad (13)$$

where  $F$  is the Fourier transform with respect to  $x$ ;  $L$  is an operator extending functions from  $R_+^n$  to  $R^n$ , bounded in the norm  $\| \cdot \|_l$  <sup>(17)</sup>, and  $M$  is the operator restricting functions from  $R^n$  to  $R_+^n$ . The second is defined by formula (12), in which  $L$  and  $M$  are omitted. Next regularizers are constructed in  $R_+^n$  and in

$R^n$  for operators with coefficients close to constant ones. Finally, by means of a partition of unity, a regularizer for  $\mathfrak{A}$  in  $G$  is defined.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A}_1 = (A, B_1)$  and  $\mathfrak{A}_2 = (A, B_2)$  be elliptic operators with the same elliptic system  $A$  in  $\bar{G}$  and different boundary operators  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  on  $\Gamma$ . Then*

$$\kappa(\mathfrak{A}_1) - \kappa(\mathfrak{A}_2) = \kappa(S).$$

Here  $S$  is an operator in the direct product of  $r$  spaces  $L^2(\Gamma)$ , defined by a square matrix of order  $r$  of singular integral operators. The symbol  $\sigma_S$  of this matrix is constructed explicitly from the matrices  $A$ ,  $B_1$ , and  $B_2$  on  $\Gamma$ .

\* The orders of rows in  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  with identical numbers may be different.

This symbol will be a nondegenerate square matrix of order  $r$ , defined and infinitely smooth on the bundle  $\Xi(\Gamma)$  of tangent vectors to  $\Gamma$  of length 1. To define  $\sigma_s$ , let us return to the notation used in formulas (4)–(8). Let  $B_{1,0}$  and  $B_{2,0}$  be the principal parts of the operators  $B_1$  and  $B_2$ ;  $\dot{\xi} = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1})$  is the vector with components  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}, 0)$  in the coordinate system  $x$ , having length 1. Then (see (8))

$$\sigma_s(\dot{\xi}) = B_{1,0}\omega(\dot{\xi}) \cdot [B_{2,0}\omega(\dot{\xi})]^{-1}. \quad (14)$$

This definition does not depend on the choice of a stable basis.

Theorem 2 clarifies the dependence of the index of an elliptic operator on the boundary condition. In the case  $p = 1$ , a similar result was obtained in <sup>(1)</sup>. Earlier, in <sup>(9)</sup>, explicit formulas were found for the index of an elliptic operator in the two-dimensional case ( $n = 2$ ) when  $\max m_j < s$ . We also note that in <sup>(18)</sup> the dependence of the index of the elliptic operator  $(A, B)$  on the coefficients of the system  $A$  inside the domain  $G$  is clarified.

For the proof of Theorem 2, we equalize the orders of the rows of the matrices  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  by multiplying them on the left the required number of times by the integro-differential operator  $\Lambda$  on  $\Gamma$ —the square root of  $-\Delta$ , where  $\Delta$  is the Beltrami-Laplace operator (see <sup>(6, 8)</sup>). After this we consider a linear deformation of  $B_1$  into  $SB_2$ , applying Theorem 1.

**Corollary.** *If the symbol (14), considered as a continuous mapping of the bundle  $\Xi(\Gamma)$  into the space of nondegenerate matrices of order  $r$ , is homotopic to a symbol depending only on the point of  $\Gamma$  (i.e., constant on tangent vectors issuing from one point on  $\Gamma$ ), then  $\chi(\mathfrak{A}_1) = \chi(\mathfrak{A}_2)$ .*

It follows from this that the problem of homotopic classification of elliptic operators  $(A, B)$  with fixed  $A$  (this is one of the variants of the general problem posed in <sup>(10)</sup>) is naturally solved by allowing integro-differential operators as  $B$  (cf. <sup>(1, 6)</sup>).

Theorem 2 and the corollary from it allow one, for comparison of the indices of elliptic operators, to make use of the results of (<sup>19</sup>, <sup>20</sup>); in particular, one obtains

**Theorem 3.** *The indices of the elliptic operators  $(A, B_1)$  and  $(A, B_2)$  coincide if 1)  $ps < n - 1$  or 2)  $\Gamma$  is homeomorphic to the  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional sphere and  $ps < 2(n - 1)$ .*

We take this opportunity to express our sincere gratitude to M. I. Vishik for his constant attention and valuable discussions.

All-Union Correspondence Machine-Building Institute  
Petrozavodsk State University

Received  
9 IV 1962

## REFERENCES CITED

1. A. S. Dynin, DAN, **141**, No. 2 (1961).
2. L. N. Slobodetskii, Vestn. Leningr. Univ., **7**, 28 (1960).
3. S. Agmon, A. Douglis, L. Nirenberg, Comm. Pure and Appl. Math., **12**, No. 4 (1959).
4. F. Browder, Proc. Nat. Acad. USA, **45**, No. 3, 365 (1959).
5. Ya. B. Lopatinskii, Ukr. Mat. Zhurn., **5**, 123 (1953).
6. A. S. Dynin, DAN, **141**, No. 1 (1961).
7. S. G. Mikhlin, Vestn. Leningr. Univ., **1**, 3 (1956).
8. R. T. Seeley, Am. J. Math., **81**, 658 (1959).
9. A. I. Volpert, Tr. Mosk. Mat. Obshch., **10**, 41 (1961).
10. I. M. Gelfand, UMN, **15**, No. 3, 121 (1960).
11. L. N. Slobodetskii, DAN, **118**, No. 2 (1958).
12. I. Ts. Gokhberg, M. G. Krein, UMN, **12**, 2 (1957).
13. Z. Ya. Shapiro, Izv. AN SSSR, ser. matem., **17**, 539 (1953).
14. M. Schechter, Comm. Pure and Appl. Math., **12**, No. 1 (1959).

15. M. Schechter, Comm. Pure and Appl. Math., **12**, No. 3 (1959).
16. M. Schechter, Comm. Pure and Appl. Math., **12**, No. 4 (1959).
17. V. M. Babich, UMN, **8**, No. 2 (1953).
18. M. S. Agranovich, DAN, **142**, No. 5 (1962).
19. A. S. Dynin, UMN, **17**, No. 2 (1962).
20. A. I. Volpert, DAN, **142**, No. 4 (1962).
21. A. S. Dynin, Dissertation, Leningrad State University named after A. A. Zhdanov, 1962.

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*