



Soviet-era science, translated into English

MATHEMATICS

V. A. MATULIS

1962

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196201.06416>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

MATHEMATICS

V. A. MATULIS

TWO VARIANTS OF THE CLASSICAL PREDICATE CALCULUS WITHOUT STRUCTURAL RULES OF INFERENCE

(Presented by Academician P. S. Novikov on May 19, 1962)

§ 1. In this article the following logical symbolism is used. The expressions t_1, t_2, \dots are called **individual variables**.^{*} The order in which they are listed here is called **alphabetical**. Expressions of the form P_j^0 , where $j = 1, 2, \dots$, are called **propositional variables**, and expressions of the form P_l^k , where $k = 1, 2, \dots$ and $l = 1, 2, \dots$, are called **predicate variables**. Propositional variables and expressions of the form $P_l^k(z_1, \dots, z_k)$, where $k, l \geq 1$ and z_1, \dots, z_k are arbitrary individual variables, are called **elementary formulas**.

Logical formulas (briefly, **formulas**) are defined by the following generating rules: 1) every elementary formula is called a formula; 2) if \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} are formulas, then the words $(\mathfrak{A} \supset \mathfrak{B})$, $(\mathfrak{A} \& \mathfrak{B})$, $(\mathfrak{A} \vee \mathfrak{B})$, and $\neg \mathfrak{A}$ are called formulas; 3) if x is an individual variable and \mathfrak{A} is a formula, then the words $\forall x \mathfrak{A}$ and $\exists x \mathfrak{A}$ are called formulas.

Occurrences of individual variables in formulas are divided in the usual way into **free** and **bound** (see (1)). If x and y are individual variables and \mathfrak{A} is a formula, then the expression $[\mathfrak{A}]_y^x$ will denote the formula obtained from \mathfrak{A} as a result of substituting the variable y for all free occurrences of x in \mathfrak{A} . **Formula strings** are defined by the following generating rules: 1) the empty word is called a formula string; 2) if Σ is a formula string and \mathfrak{A} is a formula, then the word $\Sigma \mathfrak{A}$ is called a formula string. Every expression of the form $\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$, where Γ and Δ are formula strings, is called a **sequent**. Γ is called the **antecedent** of the sequent $\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$, and Δ its **succedent**. The relation of **congruence**, introduced in § 33 of the book (1) for formulas, is naturally extended to sequents. Let us denote by φ the operation that transforms each sequent S into the sequent $\varphi(S)$, obtained from S by deleting, in all formulas of the sequent S , all occurrences of such quantifier complexes,^{**} in whose scope there are no free occurrences of variables coinciding with the proper variables of these quantifier complexes. We shall say of sequents S_1 and S_2 that they are **almost congruent** if the sequents $\varphi(S_1)$ and $\varphi(S_2)$ are congruent. We shall say that a sequent S is a **pure sequent** if, first, no individual variable occurs in S both free and bound at the same time, and, second, the sequents S and $\varphi(S)$ are graphically equal.

Logical calculi whose derivable objects are sequents will be called **sequential calculi**. Let G' and G'' be two sequential calculi. We shall say that they are **equipollent** if two conditions are satisfied: 1) whatever

* In the symbolic language there will occur individual variables of only one sort.

** By quantifier complexes are meant words of the form $\forall x$ and $\exists x$, where x is some individual variable (x is called the proper variable of the quantifier complexes).

there was a sequent S_1 , derivable in G' , one can construct a sequent S_2 , derivable in G'' , such that S_1 and S_2 are almost congruent; condition 2) is obtained from 1) by permuting S_1 and S_2 with a simultaneous permutation of G' and G'' .

§ 2. G. Gentzen in the work ⁽²⁾ constructed a sequent variant of the classical predicate calculus, which he called the calculus LK. In view of the difference between our logical symbolism and Gentzen's logical symbolism, it will be more convenient for us to refer to the calculus G_1 , described in § 77 of the book ⁽¹⁾, which differs from LK only in technical details. The calculus G_1 is poorly adapted for a practically suitable algorithmization of the search for a logical derivation. Better adapted for this purpose is the calculus G_3 , described in § 80 of the book just mentioned.

Below we construct new sequent variants E_0 and E'_0 of the classical predicate calculus, which have substantial differences from the calculi G_1 and G_3 . Owing to their features, these calculi are better adapted to the search for derivations of sequents than G_1 and G_3 . In the description below of the calculi E_0 and E'_0 there appears a number of syntactic (metamathematical) signs and expressions, to which the following meaning is assigned: \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} are arbitrary formulas; x, y, z, z_1, z_2, \dots are arbitrary individual variables; $\Gamma, \Gamma', \Delta, \Delta'$ are arbitrary formula strings.

The calculus E_0 is given by the axiom schema

$$\Gamma''\mathfrak{A}\Gamma' \rightarrow \Delta'\mathfrak{A}\Delta''$$

and by the following rules of inference:

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1. $\frac{\mathfrak{A}\Gamma' \rightarrow \Delta' \mathfrak{B}\Delta''}{\Gamma' \rightarrow \Delta' (\mathfrak{A} \supset \mathfrak{B}) \Delta''}$ | 2. $\frac{\Gamma' \Gamma'' \rightarrow \Delta' \mathfrak{A}; \Gamma' \mathfrak{B} \Gamma'' \rightarrow \Delta'}{\Gamma' (\mathfrak{A} \supset \mathfrak{B}) \Gamma'' \rightarrow \Delta'}$ |
| 3. $\frac{\Gamma' \rightarrow \Delta' \mathfrak{A}\Delta''; \Gamma' \rightarrow \Delta' \mathfrak{B}\Delta''}{\Gamma' \rightarrow \Delta' (\mathfrak{A} \& \mathfrak{B}) \Delta''}$ | 4. $\frac{\Gamma' \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \Gamma'' \rightarrow \Delta'}{\Gamma' (\mathfrak{A} \& \mathfrak{B}) \Gamma'' \rightarrow \Delta'}$ |
| 5. $\frac{\Gamma' \rightarrow \Delta' \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \Delta''}{\Gamma' \rightarrow \Delta' (\mathfrak{A} \vee \mathfrak{B}) \Delta''}$ | 6. $\frac{\Gamma' \mathfrak{A} \Gamma'' \rightarrow \Delta'; \Gamma' \mathfrak{B} \Gamma'' \rightarrow \Delta'}{\Gamma' (\mathfrak{A} \vee \mathfrak{B}) \Gamma'' \rightarrow \Delta'}$ |
| 7. $\frac{\mathfrak{A}\Gamma' \rightarrow \Delta' \Delta''}{\Gamma' \rightarrow \Delta' \neg \mathfrak{A} \Delta''}$ | 8. $\frac{\Gamma' \Gamma'' \rightarrow \Delta' \mathfrak{A}}{\Gamma' \neg \mathfrak{A} \Gamma'' \rightarrow \Delta'}$ |
| 9. $\frac{\Gamma' \rightarrow \Delta' \mathfrak{A} \Delta'' \quad x \text{ and } y \text{ satisfy condition } (*)}{\Gamma' \rightarrow \Delta' \forall x [\mathfrak{A}]_x^y \Delta''}$ | 10. $\frac{\Gamma' [\mathfrak{A}]_z^x \forall x \mathfrak{A} \Gamma'' \rightarrow \Delta' \quad x \text{ and } z \text{ satisfy condition } (**)}{\Gamma' \forall x \mathfrak{A} \Gamma'' \rightarrow \Delta'}$ |
| 11. $\frac{\Gamma' \rightarrow \Delta' [\mathfrak{A}]_z^x \exists x \mathfrak{A} \Delta'' \quad x \text{ and } z \text{ satisfy condition } (**)}{\Gamma' \rightarrow \Delta' \exists x \mathfrak{A} \Delta''}$ | 12. $\frac{\Gamma' \mathfrak{A} \Gamma'' \rightarrow \Delta' \quad x \text{ and } y \text{ satisfy condition } (*)}{\Gamma' \exists x [\mathfrak{A}]_x^y \Gamma'' \rightarrow \Delta'}$ |

Condition (*) : x does not occur in \mathfrak{A} ; y occurs in the distinguished occurrence of \mathfrak{A} , and moreover only freely, and does not occur in the other occurrences of formulas in the premise*.

Condition (**) : the variable z is free for x in \mathfrak{A} (see § 18 of the book ⁽¹⁾) and either z is one of the individual variables occurring freely in S , where S is the sequent obtained from the premise of the rule of inference by deleting the distinguished occurrence of the formula $[\mathfrak{A}]_z^x$, or S has no free occurrences of individual variables and z is an arbitrary individual variable not occurring in S .

The calculus E'_0 is obtained from the calculus E_0 by replacing the rules of inference

* It follows from condition (*) that in the premise of this rule the formula \mathfrak{A} occurs only once.

10 and 11, respectively, with the following rules of inference:

$$10'. \frac{\Gamma' [\mathfrak{A}]_{z_1}^x \dots [\mathfrak{A}]_{z_n}^x \forall x \mathfrak{A} \Gamma'' \rightarrow \Delta', \quad x, z_1, \dots, z_n \text{ satisfy condition } (***)}{\Gamma' \forall x \mathfrak{A} \Gamma'' \rightarrow \Delta'}$$

$$11'. \frac{\Gamma' \rightarrow \Delta' [\mathfrak{A}]_{z_1}^x \dots [\mathfrak{A}]_{z_n}^x \exists x \mathfrak{A} \Delta''}{\Gamma' \rightarrow \Delta' \exists x \mathfrak{A} \Delta''}, \quad x, z_1, \dots, z_n \text{ satisfy condition } (***)$$

Condition (** *): a) the variables z_1, \dots, z_n are pairwise distinct and each of these variables is free for x in \mathfrak{A} ; b) either the list z_1, \dots, z_n coincides with the complete list of object variables occurring freely in the sequent S obtained from the premise by deleting the indicated occurrences of the formulas $[\mathfrak{A}]_{z_1}^x, \dots, [\mathfrak{A}]_{z_n}^x$, or there are no free occurrences of object variables in S , $n = 1$, and z_1 does not occur in S .

§ 3. **Theorem 1.** *The calculi \mathbf{E}_0 and \mathbf{G}_1 are equipollent. Moreover, if S is a pure sequent, then it is derivable in \mathbf{E}_0 if and only if it is derivable in \mathbf{G}_1 .*

The proof consists in indicating a method for transforming any derivation of some sequent in one calculus into a derivation of a sequent almost congruent to it in the other calculus. The transformation of a derivation in the calculus \mathbf{E}_0 into a derivation of the corresponding sequent in the calculus \mathbf{G}_1 is carried out without essential difficulties. The transformation of a derivation in the calculus \mathbf{G}_1 into a derivation of the corresponding sequent in the calculus \mathbf{E}_0 is carried out in several stages connected with the introduction of auxiliary calculi.

Theorem 2. *The calculi \mathbf{E}'_0 and \mathbf{G}_1 are equipollent. Moreover, if S is a pure sequent, then it is derivable in \mathbf{E}'_0 if and only if it is derivable in \mathbf{G}_1 .*

This theorem is proved by establishing the equipollence of the calculi \mathbf{E}'_0 and \mathbf{E}_0 .

Let us note the following two features of the calculi \mathbf{E}_0 and \mathbf{E}'_0 : 1) in the calculi \mathbf{E}_0 and \mathbf{E}'_0 the structural rules are absent; 2) if some sequent S_1 is obtained from a sequent S_2 (from sequents S_2 and S_3) as a result of a single application of some rule of inference of the calculus \mathbf{E}_0 , then S_1 is derivable in \mathbf{E}_0 only when S_2 is derivable in this calculus (respectively, S_2 and S_3 are derivable); the same is true for the calculus \mathbf{E}'_0 .

Remark. J. Herbrand in ⁽³⁾ proved the possibility of transforming any derivation in the classical Hilbert-type predicate calculus into such a derivation in which, when applying quantifier rules, fairly strict restrictions on object variables are satisfied. The restrictions on object variables in the quantifier rules of the calculi \mathbf{E}_0 and \mathbf{E}'_0 are analogous to the Herbrand restrictions just mentioned. The calculus \mathbf{E}'_0 has features similar to the method of semantic tableaux proposed by E. Beth in ⁽⁴⁾.

The author expresses deep gratitude to N. A. Shanin, under whose supervision this work was carried out.

Leningrad Branch of the Mathematical Institute
named after V. A. Steklov
Academy of Sciences of the USSR

Received
12 VI 1962

CITED LITERATURE

¹ S. K. Kleene, *Introduction to Metamathematics*, Moscow, 1957. ² G. Gentzen, *Math. Zs.*, **39**, 176, 405 (1934–1935). ³ J. Herbrand, *Recherches sur la théorie de la démonstration*, Warsaw, 1930. ⁴ E. W. Beth, *Semantic Entailment and Formal Derivability*, Amsterdam, 1955.

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.