



---

Soviet-era science, translated into English

# MATHEMATICS

V. N. TUTUBALIN

1962

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196201.05759>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

MATHEMATICS

V. N. TUTUBALIN

## LIMITING BEHAVIOR OF COMPOSITIONS OF MEASURES IN SOME SYMMETRIC SPACES

*(Presented by Academician A. N. Kolmogorov on November 3, 1961)*

1. Consider a symmetric space  $M$  on which a group of motions  $G$  acts. Denote by  $K$  the stationary subgroup of some point  $e \in M$ . We shall call a probability measure  $\mu$ , defined on all Borel subsets of  $M$ , **symmetric** if for any Borel set  $\Gamma \subset M$  and any  $k \in K$  the equality  $\mu(\Gamma) = \mu(k\Gamma)$  holds. With a sequence of symmetric measures  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_m, \dots$  there is associated a Markov chain  $x(m)$ ,  $m = 0, 1, \dots$ , invariant with respect to motions, for which the probability  $P_{m+1}^m(x, \Gamma)$  of passing during the time  $(m, m+1)$  from the point  $x$  into the set  $\Gamma$  is equal to  $\mu_{m+1}(g_x^{-1}\Gamma)$ , where  $g_x$  is any motion carrying  $e$  into  $x$ . The transition probabilities for the time  $(0, m)$  are determined by the recurrent formula:

$$P_m^0(x, \Gamma) = \int_M P_{m-1}^0(x, dy) P_m^{m-1}(y, \Gamma).$$

We shall call the measure  $P_m^0(e, \Gamma)$  the **composition**  $\mu_1 * \dots * \mu_m$  of the measures  $\mu_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . In the case when  $\mu_1 = \dots = \mu_m = \mu$ , we shall write  $\mu_1 * \dots * \mu_m = \mu^m$ . We shall consider the question of the behavior of the measure  $\mu^m$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . The results are formulated for the case when  $G$  is the complex unimodular group. Analogous results are valid for other classical complex groups.

2. Let  $M$  be the set of positive-definite Hermitian unimodular matrices of order  $n$ ;  $G$  the group of unimodular matrices acting on  $M$  according to the formula  $g : x \rightarrow gxg^{-1}$  ( $x \in M$ ). The stationary subgroup of the identity matrix  $e$  is the unitary group  $K$ .

Denote by  $D$  the set of points  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$  of Euclidean space defined by the equality

$$D = \{t : t_1 + \dots + t_n = 0, t_1 \geq t_2 \geq \dots \geq t_n\}.$$

To each matrix  $x \in M$  we associate the collection  $t(x)$  of logarithms of its eigenvalues, arranged in decreasing order. Obviously,  $t(x) \in D$  for any  $x \in M$ . Every measure  $\mu$  on  $M$  induces a measure  $\bar{\mu}$  on  $D$  by the formula  $\bar{\mu}(A) = \mu\{x : t(x) \in A\}$ . Moreover, an arbitrary measure on  $D$  is induced by one and only

one symmetric measure on  $M$ . In what follows we shall denote a symmetric measure on  $M$  and the measure induced by it on  $D$  by the same letter.

**3.** Let  $\Phi(\rho, x)$  be a bounded zonal function on  $M$  ( $\rho = (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n)$  is a complex vector), i.e., an eigenfunction of the Laplace operators on  $M$ , invariant with respect to the stationary subgroup  $K$  <sup>(1)</sup>. The function  $\Phi(\rho, x)$  is uniquely determined by the set of eigenvalues (which are expressed in terms of  $\rho$ ) and by the condition  $\Phi(\rho, e) = 1$ . We shall call the function

$$f_\mu(\rho) = \int_M \Phi(\rho, x) \mu(dx) = \int_D \Phi(\rho, t) \mu(dt),$$

where  $\Phi(\rho, t)$  is the value of the function  $\Phi(\rho, x)$  on matrices  $x \in M$  such that  $t(x) = t$ , the **characteristic function** of the measure  $\mu$ . With the invariant Markov chain  $x(m)$  (see item 1) there are associated the operators  $T_m^0$ , defined by the formula

$$T_m^0 \varphi(x) = \int_M \varphi(y) P_m^0(x, dy),$$

permutable-

...with all shifts. It can be shown that

$$T_m^0 \Phi(\rho, x) = f_{\mu_1}(\rho) \dots f_{\mu_m}(\rho) \Phi(\rho, x).$$

Putting  $x = e$ , it follows that

$$f_{\mu_1 * \dots * \mu_m}(\rho) = \prod_{i=1}^m f_{\mu_i}(\rho).$$

For the case of the unimodular group  $G$  considered by us, the functions  $\Phi(\rho, t)$  have the form (see (2)):

$$\Phi(\rho, t) = \frac{c \det \|e^{\rho_i t_j}\|}{\prod_{i < j} (\rho_i - \rho_j) \operatorname{sh} \frac{t_i - t_j}{2}}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

**4. Lemma.** Let  $\rho = \sigma + i\tau$ , where

$$\sigma = \left\{ \frac{n-1}{2}, \frac{n-3}{2}, \dots, -\frac{n-1}{2} \right\},$$

and  $\tau$  is a real vector. The functions  $\Phi(\rho, t) = \Phi(\sigma + i\tau, t)$  satisfy the following conditions:

1°.  $\Phi(\sigma + i\tau, t)|_{\tau=0} = 1$  for any  $t \in D$ ; for any  $\tau$ ,  $\Phi(\sigma + i\tau, t)$  is bounded as a function of  $t \in D$ .

2°. For any  $t$ ,  $\Phi(\sigma + i\tau, t)$  is a positive-definite function of  $\tau$ .

3°. The inverse Fourier transform (in  $\tau$ )  $\tilde{\Phi}_t$  of the function  $\Phi(\sigma + i\tau, t)$  (which, by 1° and 2°, is a probability measure in Euclidean space) satisfies the condition: for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mu^m \left\{ t : \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\Phi}_t \{ y : \|y - t\| > a \} > \varepsilon \right\} = 0$$

for any symmetric measure  $\mu$  on  $M$  (here  $\|y\| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |y_i|$ ).

4°. For no fixed  $t \neq 0$  does the equality

$$\Phi(\sigma + i\tau, t) = e^{i\tau_k a_k} \varphi(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{k-1}, \tau_{k+1}, \dots, \tau_n)$$

hold identically in  $\tau$ , where  $\varphi$  does not depend on  $\tau_k$ .

From conditions 1° and 2° it follows that, for any measure  $\mu$  on  $M$ , the function (of  $\tau$ )  $f_\mu(\sigma + i\tau)$  is the characteristic function (in the usual sense) of some probability measure  $\tilde{\mu}$  in Euclidean space. Moreover,

$$\widehat{\mu^m} = (\tilde{\mu})^m$$

(where the composition on the right is understood in the usual sense).

Denote by  $\hat{\Gamma}_c$ , where  $c = (c_1, \dots, c_n)$ ,  $-\infty < c_i < \infty$ , the set  $\{t : t_i < c_i, i = 1, \dots, n\}$  in Euclidean space, and by  $\Gamma_c$  the set  $\hat{\Gamma}_c \cap D$ .

**Theorem.** As  $m \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\sup_c \left| \mu^m(\Gamma_c) - \tilde{\mu}^m(\hat{\Gamma}_c) \right| \rightarrow 0.$$

**Proof.** There is the formula

$$\tilde{\mu}^m(\hat{\Gamma}_c) = \int_D \tilde{\Phi}_t(\hat{\Gamma}_c) \mu^m(dt),$$

from which it follows that, under conditions 1°–3° of the lemma, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $a > a(\varepsilon)$ ,

$$\tilde{\mu}^m(\hat{\Gamma}_{c-a}) \leq \mu^m(\Gamma_c) + \varepsilon + \delta'_m,$$

$$\tilde{\mu}^m(\hat{\Gamma}_{c+a}) \geq \mu^m(\Gamma_c) - \varepsilon + \delta_m'',$$

where  $\delta_m'$  and  $\delta_m''$  tend to zero as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , uniformly in  $c$  ( $c \pm a = \{c_1 \pm a, \dots, c_n \pm a\}$ ). From condition 4° it follows that the measure  $\tilde{\mu}$  does not concent...

is not concentrated on any plane of the form  $t_k = a_k$ . Hence it follows that, for any  $a$ ,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_c \tilde{\mu}^m \{t : c_k - a < t_k < c_k + a\} = 0.$$

Consequently,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_c |\tilde{\mu}^m(\tilde{\Gamma}_c) - \tilde{\mu}^m(\Gamma_{c \pm a})| = 0.$$

The theorem is proved.

**Remark.** It is not hard to verify that for any measure  $\mu$  the measure  $\tilde{\mu}$  is concentrated on the plane  $t_1 + \dots + t_n = 0$ .

We shall say that the compositions of the measures  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  approach each other if

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_c |\mu_1^m(\Gamma_c) - \mu_2^m(\Gamma_c)| = 0.$$

**Corollary 1.** In order that the compositions of the measures  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  approach each other, it is necessary and sufficient that the compositions (in the ordinary sense) of the measures  $\tilde{\mu}_1$  and  $\tilde{\mu}_2$  approach each other, i.e., that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_c |\tilde{\mu}_1^m(\tilde{\Gamma}_c) - \tilde{\mu}_2^m(\tilde{\Gamma}_c)| = 0.$$

**Corollary 2.** If the function  $f_\mu(\sigma + i\tau)$  has continuous second partial derivatives with respect to  $\tau_i, \tau_k$ , then the probability distribution  $\mu^m$  of the vector  $(t_1(x), \dots, t_n(x))$  is asymptotically normal with parameters

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial f_\mu}{\partial \tau_k} \Big|_{\tau=0}, k = 1, \dots, n \right\}, \quad \left\{ \left[ -\frac{\partial^2 f_\mu}{\partial \tau_k \partial \tau_l} + \frac{\partial f_\mu}{\partial \tau_k} \frac{\partial f_\mu}{\partial \tau_l} \right]_{\tau=0}, k, l = 1, \dots, n \right\}.$$

In conclusion the author expresses gratitude to E. B. Dynkin, under whose guidance the present work was carried out.

Moscow State University  
named after M. V. Lomonosov

Received  
18 X 1961

## REFERENCES

1. F. A. Berezin, *Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obshch.*, **6**, 371 (1957).
2. I. M. Gel' fand, M. A. Naimark, *Trudy Mat. Inst. im. V. A. Steklova AN SSSR*, **36** (1950).

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*