



---

Soviet-era science, translated into English

# MATHEMATICS

1962

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196201.04531>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

**MATHEMATICS**

**A. S. FOKHT**

**SOME ESTIMATES NEAR THE BOUNDARY OF A DOMAIN FOR A POLYHARMONIC FUNCTION AND ITS DERIVATIVES DEFINED IN AN  $N$ -DIMENSIONAL DOMAIN**

*(Presented by Academician A. I. Mal' tsev on 16 VI 1962)*

In the present paper, estimates will be obtained for a polyharmonic function and its partial derivatives of arbitrary order in the  $L_2$  metric in an arbitrary  $N$ -dimensional domain  $G$  with boundary  $\Gamma$  of class  $C^{(2)}$ . The investigations are based on the estimates obtained in the papers <sup>(1,2)</sup> and are carried out essentially in the three-dimensional case. They are transferred to the  $N$ -dimensional case by analogy.

§ 1. Let  $N = 3$  and

$$\Delta^l u = 0, \tag{1,1}$$

$l > 0$  an integer, and let

$$I = \int_0^R \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi u^2 r^2 \sin \theta \, dr \, d\theta \, d\varphi < +\infty; \tag{1,2}$$

$$I_r^{(q)} = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi u^{(q)2} r^2 \sin \theta \, d\theta \, d\varphi, \tag{1,3}$$

where  $u^{(q)}$  is any mixed derivative taken of the function  $u$  with respect to the variables  $r, \theta, \varphi$ ;  $q > 0$  is an integer.

In the proposed paper, first, the inequality will be proved

$$I_r^{(q)} \leq \frac{C_{l,q}}{(R-r)^{2q+1}} I. \tag{1,4}$$

Next it is proved that if  $u$  is an  $l$ -harmonic function defined in a three-dimensional domain  $G$  with boundary  $\Gamma \in C^{(2)}$ , then there exists a piecewise

smooth (consisting of pieces of spheres) closed (geometric) surface  $\gamma_\delta \subset G$ , possessing the following properties:

- 1) The distance  $\rho(\bar{x}, \Gamma)$  from each point  $\bar{x} \in \gamma_\delta$  to  $\Gamma$  satisfies the inequalities

$$1/3\delta \leq \rho(\bar{x}, \Gamma) \leq 1/2\delta \quad (0 < \delta < \delta_0). \quad (1,5)$$

- 2) For each point  $P \in \Gamma$  there exists a unique point  $Q \in \gamma_\delta$  lying on the inner normal to  $\Gamma$  drawn from  $P$ , and  $\gamma_\delta$  contains no other points except

$$Q = \varphi(P). \quad (1,6)$$

- 3) The inequality holds

$$\mu_1 d\Gamma \leq d\gamma_\delta \leq \mu_2 d\Gamma \quad (1,7)$$

( $\mu_1, \mu_2$  are positive constants), where  $d\gamma_\delta$  is the differential near the point  $Q$  of any smooth piece of the surface  $\gamma_\delta$ ;  $d\Gamma$  is the differential of the surface near the point  $P$ .

surface near the point  $P$ , corresponding to  $Q$  (see formula (1.6)). In this case the inequality

$$I_{\gamma_\delta}^{(q)} \leq \frac{C_{l,q}^*}{\delta^{2q+1}} I_0, \quad (1,8)$$

holds, where

$$I_{\gamma_\delta}^{(q)} = \iint_{\gamma_\delta} u^{(q)2} d\gamma_\delta; \quad (1,9)$$

$$I_0 = \iiint_G u^2 dG. \quad (1,10)$$

§ 2. The proof of estimates of the form (1.4) in the case when the  $q$ -th derivatives are computed with respect to the variables  $r$  and  $\varphi$  reduces directly to inequalities proved in paper (1). What remains to be considered is the proof concerning derivatives with respect to the variable  $\theta$ .

**Lemma.** Let  $f(r)$  be a positive polynomial of degree  $4(l-1)$ . Then for all natural  $n \geq 0$  the inequality

$$\int_0^1 r^{2n+2} f(r) dr \geq \nu_l \int_0^1 r^{2n+1} f(r) dr, \quad (2,1)$$

holds, where  $\nu_l$  is a positive constant depending only on  $l$ .

Let a harmonic function be given in the unit ball ( $N = 3$ ,  $0 \leq r < 1$ ):

$$v = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n \sum_{k=0}^n A_{nk} \cos k\varphi \cdot P_n^{(k)}(\cos \theta).$$

By virtue of the work of S. M. Nikol'skii <sup>(2)</sup>, we shall have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n \sum_{k=0}^n A_{nk} \cos k\varphi \left[ P_n^{(k)}(\cos \theta) \right]_\theta^{(q)} \right\}^2 r \sin \theta d\theta d\varphi &\leq \\ &\leq \frac{C_q}{(1-r)^{2q+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{A_{nk}^2 (n+k)!}{(n+1)^2 (n-k)!}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Let us note that inequality (2.2) is valid for arbitrary numbers  $A_{nk}$  independent of  $\varphi$  and  $\theta$ , and for any  $r$  ( $0 \leq r < 1$ ).

Put

$$A_{nk}^2 = F_{nk} = \left[ a_{1n}^{(k)} + a_{2n}^{(k)} r^2 + \dots + a_{ln}^{(k)} r^{2(l-1)} \right]^2, \quad (2.3)$$

where  $a_{in}^{(k)}$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$ ) are linear combinations of the Fourier coefficients of the boundary values of the  $l$ -harmonic function  $u$  on the unit ball.

Next consider

$$\Phi_{nk} = \int_0^1 r^{2n+1} \left[ a_{1n}^{(k)} + a_{2n}^{(k)} r^2 + \dots + a_{ln}^{(k)} r^{2(l-1)} \right]^2 dr, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\Phi_{nk}^* = \int_0^1 r^{2n+2} \left[ a_{1n}^{(k)} + a_{2n}^{(k)} r^2 + \dots + a_{ln}^{(k)} r^{2(l-1)} \right]^2 dr. \quad (2.5)$$

By virtue of the lemma we have

$$\Phi_{nk} < \kappa_l \Phi_{nk}^*. \quad (2.6)$$

In paper <sup>(1)</sup> the relation

$$|F_{nk}| \leq C_l \Phi_{nk} (n+1), \quad (2.7)$$

valid for all  $n$  and  $k$  ( $C_l > 0$  is a constant), was proved.

By virtue of (2.2), (2.3), (2.6), (2.7), and the known representation of a harmonic function in a three-dimensional ball through  $a_{ln}^{(k)}$ , we shall have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_r^{(q)} &= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^\infty r^n \sum_{k=0}^n \sqrt{|F_{nk}|} \cos k\varphi [P_n^{(k)}(\cos \theta)]_\theta^{(q)} \right\}^2 r \sin \theta \, d\theta \, d\varphi \leq \\
 &\leq \frac{C_q}{(1-r)^{2q+1}} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \sum_{n=k}^\infty \frac{F_{nk}(n+k)!}{(n+1)^2(n-k)!} \leq \frac{C_l C_q}{(1-r)^{2q+1}} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \sum_{n=k}^\infty \frac{\Phi_{nk}(n+1)!}{(n+1)(n-k)!} < \\
 &< \frac{C_{l,q}}{(1-r)^{2q+1}} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \sum_{n=k}^\infty \frac{\Phi_{nk}^*(n+k)!}{(n+1)(n-k)!} = \frac{C_{l,q}}{(1-r)^{2q+1}} I,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_{l,q} = C_l C_q \chi_l$ , which was to be proved.

In the case  $N > 3$  the proof is analogous.

§ 3. For definiteness, let us take  $N = 3$ ; however, the method used is general for any  $N$ . Consider an arbitrary bounded three-dimensional domain  $G$  with boundary  $\Gamma$  of class  $C^{(2)}$ .

Taking as a basis the results of the work of S. M. Nikol'skii<sup>(3)</sup>, near each point  $x_0 = (x_1^0, x_2^0, x_3^0) \in \Gamma$  we construct a neighborhood  $\Delta = \Delta(\bar{x}_0)$  which cuts out on  $\Gamma$  a piece  $\sigma$  defined by an equation explicitly expressed through one of the coordinates. For definiteness let this equation be

$$x_3 = \varphi(x_1, x_2), \quad \Delta = \{a < x_1 < b; c < x_2 < d\}, \quad \varphi \in C^{(2)}(\bar{\Delta}). \quad (3,1)$$

Put

$$u_1 = x_1 + h\alpha_1, \quad u_2 = x_2 + h\alpha_2, \quad u_3 = \varphi(x_1, x_2) + h\alpha_3, \quad (3,2)$$

where  $h > 0$ ;  $\alpha_i = \alpha_i(x_1, x_2)$  are the direction cosines of the angles formed by the inner normal to  $\Gamma$  with the axes  $x_i$ . For sufficiently small  $\delta_0 > 0$ , the equalities (3,2) give a one-to-one and continuously differentiable transformation of the points  $(x_1, x_2, h)$  ( $(x_1, x_2) \in \Delta$ ,  $0 < h < \delta_0$ ) into the points  $(u_1, u_2, u_3) \in U_{\Delta, \delta_0} \subset G$ . Define also the domain

$$U_{\Delta', \delta_0/2} \subset U_{\Delta, \delta_0}, \quad \Delta' = \left\{ a + \frac{\delta_0}{2} < x_1 < b - \frac{\delta_0}{2}; c + \frac{\delta_0}{2} < x_2 < d - \frac{\delta_0}{2} \right\}.$$

Let

$$x_i = \psi_i(u_1, u_2, u_3), \quad h = \psi_3(u_1, u_2, u_3) \quad (i = 1, 2) \quad (3,3)$$

be the transformations inverse to (3,2), and

$$\left| \frac{\partial \psi_k}{\partial u_j} \right| \leq M \quad (k, j = 1, 2, 3), \quad (u_1, u_2, u_3) \in U_{\Delta, \delta_0}.$$

Then, according to (3), any ball  $\omega$  with center  $Q(x_1^0, x_2^0, \delta_0/2) \in U_{\Delta', \delta_0/2}$  and radius  $\mu\delta_0/2$  belongs to the domain  $U_{\Delta, \delta_0}$ , i.e. does not go beyond the boundary  $\Gamma$  of the domain  $G$ , provided only that  $0 < \mu \leq 1/3M$ . By the properties of the function  $\varphi(x_1, x_2)$ ,  $|\partial\varphi/\partial l| \leq L < +\infty$ , where  $l$  is any direction in the plane  $(x_1, x_2)$ . Take  $T = \max(L, M)$ .

Construct on  $\Delta'$  a grid of squares with side  $h = \delta_0/96(1+T)^3$ , and a grid of concentric balls  $V$  and  $V_1$  of radii  $\rho = 7\delta_0/48(1+T)$  and  $\rho_1 = \delta_0/8(1+T)$ , respectively, with centers lying on the surface  $\Gamma_{\delta_0/2}$  ( $\Gamma_\eta$  is the surface at distance  $\eta$  from  $\Gamma$  along the inner normals) and projecting in the direction  $h$  to the nodes of the grid on  $\Delta'$ .

On the basis of the Heine-Borel lemma, the surface  $\Gamma$  can be covered by a finite number of the neighborhoods  $\Lambda(\bar{x}_0)$  defined above, and in each such neighborhood one can construct the above-described net of balls  $V$  and  $V_1$ .

Obviously, there exists a closed (geometrically) piecewise-smooth surface  $\gamma_\delta \subset G$  satisfying conditions (1,5) and (1,6). In addition, in the present paper it has been shown that the balls  $V_1$  (of smaller radius) completely contain the volume layer determined by the inequality

$$\frac{\delta_0}{2} - \frac{\delta_0}{16(1+T)} \leq h \leq \frac{\delta_0}{2},$$

whence inequality (1,7) follows. Let us also note that each ball  $V$  of the construction intersects only a finite number of balls.

Using inequality (1.4), we obtain

$$\iint_{\gamma_\delta} u^{(q)2} d\gamma_\delta < \sum_{\nu=1}^n \iint_{C_{r_\nu}} u^{(q)2} dS < \frac{C_{l,q}}{\delta^{2q+1}} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \iiint_{V_\nu} u^2 dV_\nu < \frac{C_{l,q}^*}{\delta^{2q+1}} \iiint_G u^2 dG,$$

which is what was required to prove. Here  $C_{l,q}^* = mC_{l,q}$ , where  $m$  is the greatest number of balls  $V$  of the net that intersect any fixed ball of the net; the number  $m$  depends on  $G$ , but not on  $\delta_0$ ;  $n$  is the total number of balls  $V$  of the net,  $\nu$  is the serial number of the ball  $V_\nu$ ;  $C_{r_\nu}$  is the full surface of the ball  $V_{1\nu}$ .

The estimates obtained, (1,4) and (1,8), may be used in the solution of certain boundary-value problems, for example, in proving uniqueness of the solution of the first boundary-value problem for a polyharmonic equation.

Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology

Received  
31 V 1962

## REFERENCES

1. A. S. Foht, DAN, 147, No. 1 (1962).
2. S. M. Nikol' skii, Siberian Math. Journal, 1, No. 1 (1960).
3. S. M. Nikol' skii, Izv. AN SSSR, Ser. Math., 22, No. 5 (1958).

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*