



Soviet-era science, translated into English

Reports of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR

1962

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://soviextrxiv.org/items/ru-196201.03171>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

Reports of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR

1962. Volume 147, No. 2

MATHEMATICS

I. A. GRIGOR' EVA

ON A GENERALIZATION OF S. N. BERNSTEIN'S THEOREM ON THE FORM OF NON-NEGATIVE TRIGONOMETRIC POLYNOMIALS TO THE CASE OF AN ARBITRARY NUMBER OF RELATIONS BETWEEN THE COEFFICIENTS OF THE POLYNOMIALS

(Presented by Academician V. I. Smirnov on 1 VI 1962)

1. S. N. Bernstein (¹) is responsible for a remarkable theorem, according to which, if the nonnegative trigonometric sum

$$s_n(\theta) = a_0 + a_1 \cos \theta + b_1 \sin \theta + \dots + a_n \cos n\theta + b_n \sin n\theta \geq 0 \quad (1)$$

satisfies the relations

$$\int_0^{2\pi} s_n(\theta) F_1(\theta) d\theta = \omega_1; \quad \int_0^{2\pi} s_n(\theta) F_2(\theta) d\theta = \omega_2, \quad (2)$$

then the absolute minimum of the integral

$$\int_0^{2\pi} s_n(\theta) \varphi(\theta) d\theta \quad (3)$$

can always be attained by a trigonometric sum having only real roots (of even multiplicity). Here $F_1(\theta)$ and $F_2(\theta)$ are arbitrary prescribed integrable functions, ω_1 and ω_2 are prescribed constants, and $\varphi(\theta) \geq 0$ is likewise a prescribed integrable function. Thus, among the sums realizing the minimum, there always exists a sum of the form

$$s_n(\theta) = P^2(\theta),$$

where all roots of the trigonometric polynomial $P(\theta)$ are real.

On the basis of this theorem, S. N. Bernstein considered a number of extremal problems in the theory of nonnegative trigonometric polynomials. Subsequently the theorem was successfully applied by A. G. Nyrkova ^(2,3).

2. Let us consider the analogous problem of finding a function (1) realizing the minimum of the integral (3), but we shall not restrict the number of relations imposed on the coefficients; i.e., let $s_n(\theta)$ satisfy s relations

$$\int_0^{2\pi} s_n(\theta) F_j(\theta) d\theta = a_0 A_{0j} + \sum_{k=1}^n (a_k A_{kj} + b_k B_{kj}) = \omega_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, s, \quad (4)$$

with the previous notation. We additionally assume that the linear forms

$$a_0 A_{0j} + \sum_{k=1}^n (a_k A_{kj} + b_k B_{kj}), \quad j = 1, \dots, s,$$

and

$$a_0 + \sum_{k=1}^n (a_k \cos k\theta_i + b_k \sin k\theta_i)$$

in the coefficients a_k and b_k are linearly independent (θ_i are all real, pairwise unequal roots of the equation $s_n(\theta) = 0$, belonging to the interval $[0, 2\pi)$). Under this assumption, among the relations (4) there must not occur relations of the form

$$s_n(\eta) = s'_n(\eta) = \dots = s_n^{(2p-1)}(\eta) = 0, \quad (5)$$

where η is a prescribed point; but this does not diminish the generality of the problem, since, if among the conditions (4) there are 2ρ conditions (5), then the problem reduces to the differen-

to the search for a nonnegative sum $s_{n-p}(\theta)$ of order not higher than $n - p$, satisfying $s - 2p$ relations (the conditions (5) being excluded) and realizing the minimum of the integral

$$\int_0^{2\pi} s_{n-p}(\theta) \varphi(\theta) \sin^{2p} \frac{\theta - \eta}{2} d\theta.$$

3. The sums (1), evidently, can always be represented in the form

$$s_n(\theta) = P^2(\theta) q(\theta),$$

where the polynomial $P(\theta)$ has only real roots, while the polynomial $q(\theta) \geq \rho^2 > 0$ for all real θ .

Let r be the order of the polynomial $q(\theta)$. Then, applying arguments analogous to those which S. N. Bernstein used in article (1), it is easy to verify that, for the extremal function $s_n(\theta)$, one will have

$$r < s/2.$$

We shall establish additional relations (besides the given s relations (4)) which must be satisfied by the coefficients of the extremal function $s_n(\theta)$. Since all the roots of $P(\theta)$ are real, we have

$$P(\theta) = A \sin \frac{\theta - \theta_1}{2} \cdots \sin \frac{\theta - \theta_m}{2},$$

where A is a certain constant.

The number of desired relations between the coefficients of the function $s_n(\theta)$, consequently, is equal to $m + 2r + 1 - s$. Denote $\tau = m + 2r - s$, and let $\tau \geq 0$.

4. For definiteness, suppose that m is an even number ($m = 2\mu$). Then

$$P(\theta) = x_0 + x_1 \cos \theta + y_1 \sin \theta + \cdots + x_\mu \cos \mu\theta + y_\mu \sin \mu\theta.$$

Let $\psi(\theta)$ denote any of the trigonometric polynomials of order not higher than $m + r$, satisfying the conditions

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \psi(\theta) F_j(\theta) d\theta = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, s,$$

$$\psi(\theta_i) = B_i^2 > 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

where B_i^2 are arbitrarily chosen real numbers, and θ_i are the roots of the polynomial $P(\theta)$. Taking into account the restrictions imposed on the conditions (4), we may assert that such polynomials $\psi(\theta)$ always exist.

Let s also be an even number ($s = 2\nu$). Consider the polynomials

$$t_1^{(l)}(\theta) = \sin l\theta (a_{0l} + a_{1l} \cos \theta + \cdots + b_{\nu l} \sin \nu\theta),$$

whose coefficients a_{kl} and b_{kl} , for each fixed value of l ($l, 1 \leq l \leq \tau/2$, an integer), satisfy the equations

$$\int_0^{2\pi} P(\theta) t_1^{(l)}(\theta) F_j(\theta) d\theta = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, s,$$

i.e.

$$a_{0l} e_{0j}^{(l)} + \sum_{k=1}^{\nu} (a_{kl} e_{kj}^{(l)} + b_{kl} f_{kj}^{(l)}) = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, s, \quad (6)$$

where

$$e_{kj}^{(l)} = \int_0^{2\pi} P(\theta) F_j(\theta) \sin l\theta \cos k\theta d\theta;$$

$$f_{kj}^{(l)} = \int_0^{2\pi} P(\theta) F_j(\theta) \sin l\theta \sin k\theta d\theta.$$

We now form the sum

$$s_n(\theta) = P(\theta)[P(\theta)q(\theta) - \lambda t_1^{(l)}(\theta)] + \lambda\psi(\theta).$$

We have

$$\int_0^{2\pi} s_n(\theta)\varphi(\theta) d\theta = \int_0^{2\pi} s_n(\theta)\varphi(\theta) d\theta - \lambda \left[a_{0l} g_0^{(l)} + \sum_{k=1}^{\nu} (a_{kl} g_k^{(l)} + b_{kl} h_k^{(l)}) - \int_0^{2\pi} \psi(\theta)\varphi(\theta) d\theta \right], \quad (7)$$

where

$$g_k^{(l)} = \int_0^{2\pi} P(\theta)\varphi(\theta) \sin l\theta \cos k\theta d\theta;$$

$$h_k^{(l)} = \int_0^{2\pi} P(\theta)\varphi(\theta) \sin l\theta \sin k\theta d\theta.$$

If the determinant

$$\Delta^{(l)} = \begin{vmatrix} e_{01}^{(l)} & \dots & e_{\nu 1}^{(l)} & f_{11}^{(l)} & \dots & f_{\nu 1}^{(l)} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ e_{0s}^{(l)} & \dots & e_{\nu s}^{(l)} & f_{1s}^{(l)} & \dots & f_{\nu s}^{(l)} \\ g_0^{(l)} & \dots & g_{\nu}^{(l)} & h_1^{(l)} & \dots & h_{\nu}^{(l)} \end{vmatrix} \neq 0,$$

then we can always require that the equality

$$a_{0l}g_0^{(l)} + \sum_{k=1}^{\nu} (a_{kl}g_k^{(l)} + b_{kl}h_k^{(l)}) = \omega^2$$

be satisfied, whatever $\omega^2 > 0$ may be. Choosing ω^2 sufficiently large so that the expression in square brackets in equality (7) is positive, we obtain, for $\lambda > 0$,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \tilde{s}(\theta)\varphi(\theta) d\theta < \int_0^{2\pi} s_n(\theta)\varphi(\theta) d\theta.$$

Further, taking into account that

$$\tilde{s}_n(\theta_i) = \lambda B_i^2 > 0,$$

it is easy to show that we can always choose $\lambda > 0$ sufficiently small so that the sum $\tilde{s}_n(\theta)$ is nonnegative for all θ .

Consequently, in order that the polynomial $s_n(\theta)$ be extremal, all determinants $\Delta^{(l)}$ must be equal to zero, but then

$$\int_0^{2\pi} P(\theta)t_1^{(l)}(\theta)\varphi(\theta) d\theta = 0, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{\tau}{2}. \quad (8)$$

Similarly we obtain the system of equations

$$\int_0^{2\pi} P(\theta)t_2^{(l)}(\theta)\varphi(\theta) d\theta = 0, \quad l = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{\tau}{2}, \quad (9)$$

where the polynomials

$$t_2^{(l)}(\theta) = \cos l\theta (a_{0l} + a_{1l} \cos \theta + \dots + b_{\nu l} \sin \nu\theta)$$

for each value of l satisfy the relations

$$\int_0^{2\pi} P(\theta)t_2^{(l)}(\theta)F_j(\theta) d\theta = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, s.$$

The required relations (8) and (9) for the coefficients of extremal polynomials $s_n(\theta)$ have thus been established.

In the case of odd s ($s = 2\nu + 1$), the sums $t_1^{(l)}(\theta)$ and $t_2^{(l)}(\theta)$ are equal to

$$t_1^{(l)}(\theta) = \sin \frac{2l+1}{2} \theta \left(a_{0l} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} + b_{0l} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} + \dots + b_{\nu l} \sin \frac{2\nu+1}{2} \theta \right),$$

$$t_2^{(l)}(\theta) = \cos \frac{2l+1}{2} \theta \left(a_{0l} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} + b_{0l} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} + \dots + b_{\nu l} \sin \frac{2\nu+1}{2} \theta \right),$$

$$0 \leq l \leq \frac{\tau-1}{2}.$$

All the remaining arguments remain valid.

5. As an application of the results obtained, we give the solution of the following problem:

Problem. Determine the minimum

$$a_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} s_n(\theta) d\theta,$$

if the values

$$s_n(0) = A^2, \quad s'_n(0) = B, \quad s''_n(0) = C$$

are given ($A^2 \geq 0$, B and C are given numbers). For definiteness we assume that n is an even number.

Then for $A^2 > 0$ the minimum a_0 will be computed either by the formula

$$\min a_0 = \frac{3}{(n-1)(n+1)(n+3)} \left[\frac{3n^2+6n-4}{4} A^2 + \frac{3 \cdot 5}{n(n+2)A^2} \left(C - \frac{B^2}{2A^2} \right)^2 - \frac{3(n^2+2n+2)B^2}{2n(n+2)A^2} + 5C \right], \quad (10)$$

if the condition

$$C \leq \frac{B^2}{2A^2} - \frac{n^2+2n+2}{2 \cdot 5} A^2,$$

is satisfied, or by the formula

$$\min a_0 = \frac{2}{5n(n+1)(n+2)} [(3n^2+6n+1)A^2 + 2 \cdot 5C], \quad (11)$$

if

$$C > \frac{B^2}{2A^2} - \frac{n^2 + 2n + 2}{2 \cdot 5} A^2.$$

From formulas (10) and (11) one obtains the result of S. N. Bernstein ⁽¹⁾ (when $s_n(0)$ and $s'_n(0)$ are given), if one sets

$$C = \frac{B^2}{2A^2} - \frac{n(n+2)}{2 \cdot 3} A^2,$$

and the result of A. G. Nyrkova ⁽²⁾ (when $s_n(0)$ and $s''_n(0)$ are given), if one sets

$$B = 0.$$

If, however, $A^2 = 0$ (then also $B = 0$), then

$$\min a_0 = \frac{2 \cdot 3}{n(n+1)(n+2)} C.$$

Received
29 V 1962

CITED LITERATURE

¹ S. N. Bernstein, *Collected Works*, 1, Publishing House of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR, 1952, p. 472.

² A. G. Nyrkova, *Proceedings of the Leningrad Industrial Institute*, Section of Physics and Mathematics, issue 1, 5 (1939).

³ A. G. Nyrkova, *ibid.*, No. 3, 50 (1941).

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.