



Soviet-era science, translated into English

E. P. Dolzhenko

1962

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196201.03052>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

E. P. Dolzhenko

**ON APPROXIMATION ON CLOSED DOMAINS
AND ON ZERO SETS***

(Presented by Academician A. N. Kolmogorov on 27 XI 1961)

S. N. Mergelyan established ⁽¹⁾ that if G is a finitely connected domain, moreover such that: 1) the complement $C\bar{G}$ of the closure \bar{G} of this domain consists of a finite number of domains; 2) G coincides with the set of interior points of its closure \bar{G} , then the following holds:

Theorem A. *Every function analytic inside G and continuous on \bar{G} can be uniformly approximated on \bar{G} , with any prescribed accuracy, by rational fractions.*

Let us note that if the domains g_n , complementary to \bar{G} , are at a positive distance from one another, then this assertion follows from an earlier result of M. V. Keldysh ⁽²⁾.

Will this theorem remain true if no restrictions are imposed on the domain G (apart from the natural condition that G coincide with the set of interior points of its closure), or if even the condition of finite connectedness of \bar{G} is dropped, retaining this condition only for G ? Below this question is answered in the negative. For a certain class $B^{(1/3)}$ of infinitely connected domains G , a complete structural characterization is given of the functions $f(z)$ ($z \in \bar{G}$) that admit uniform approximation on \bar{G} by rational fractions.

1. An example of a simply connected domain G for which Theorem A is not true. Take a function $\varphi(z)$, distinct from a constant, defined and continuous in the whole extended complex plane Z , analytic outside some bounded, nowhere dense continuum E in Z with connected complement, and such that $\max |\varphi(z)| = 1$. As $\varphi(z)$ one may take, for example, the function

$$l \iint_E \frac{d\xi d\eta}{\xi + i\eta - z}$$

(see ⁽³⁾, p. 330), where E is a bounded nowhere dense continuum in Z with connected complement, having positive planar Lebesgue measure, and l is a constant.

Let the point $a \in E$ be such that $|\varphi(a)| = 1$; let the circle σ_0 be chosen so that $E \subset \bar{\sigma}_0$ and on the boundary c_0 of this circle there is a single point $z_0 \in E$, with $z_0 \neq a$. Take a system of open circles $\{\sigma_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ with the following properties: 1) $\sigma_n \subset \sigma_0 \setminus E$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$); 2) on the boundary c_n of the circle σ_n there lies one and only one point $z_n \in E$, with $z_n \neq a$; 3) any two circles σ_n, σ_m ($m \neq n$) are

at a positive distance from each other and from c_0 ; 4) in every neighborhood of each point $z \in E$ there is an infinite number of circles σ_n ; 5) if r_n ($n \geq 0$) denotes the distance from a to c_n , and $|c_n|$ the length of the curve c_n , then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_n|/r_n < 1/4.$$

Obviously, the set

$$G = \sigma_0 \setminus \left(\bigcup_{n \geq 1} \bar{\sigma}_n \right)$$

is a simply connected domain. The set E forms part of the boundary of this domain, so that $\varphi(z)$ is analytic in G and continuous on \bar{G} . Choose a natural—

* The result of the paper was reported at the IV All-Union Mathematical Congress in Leningrad in July 1961.

so large that for $z \in c = \{z : z \in c_0, |z - z_0| > \frac{1}{3}r_0\}$ one has $|\varphi(z)|^p < r_0/10|c_0|$ (this can be done, since $|\varphi(z)| < 1$ if $z \in c_0, z \neq a$). We shall show that for $f(z) = [\varphi(z)]^p$ and any rational function $R(z)$,

$$\max_{\bar{G}} |f(z) - R(z)| > r_0/|c_0|. \quad (1)$$

Assuming the contrary, for some rational function $R(z)$ we have: a) for $z \in \bar{G}$, $|R(z)| \leq 1 + r_0/|c_0| < 4/3$; b) for $z \in c$,

$$|R(z)| < r_0/10|c_0| + r_0/|c_0| = 11r_0/10|c_0|;$$

c) $|R(a)| \geq 1 - r_0/|c_0| > 5/6$. If $\sigma_{n_1}, \sigma_{n_2}, \dots, \sigma_{n_k}$ are those of the circles σ_n ($n \geq 1$) inside which poles of the fraction $R(z)$ have fallen, then

$$\begin{aligned} |R(z)| &= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left[\int_{c_0} - \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{c_{n_j}} \right] \frac{R(z) dz}{a - z} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\int_c + \int_{c_0 \setminus c} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{c_n} \right] \frac{|R(z)| ds}{|a - z|} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\frac{11r_0}{10|c_0|} \frac{|c_0|}{r_0} + \frac{4}{3} \frac{1}{r_0} \pi \frac{r_0}{30} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{4}{3} \frac{|c_n|}{r_n} \right] < \frac{11}{20\pi} + \frac{1}{45} + \frac{2}{3\pi} \frac{1}{4} < \frac{1}{3}, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts inequality c): $|R(a)| > 5/6$. Thus, (1) is proved. Hence the following is true:

Theorem 1. *There exists a simply connected domain G (coinciding with the set of interior points of its closure \bar{G}) for which theorem A does not hold.*

2. Definitions and auxiliary propositions. Let G be a domain of the extended plane \bar{Z} , coinciding with the set of interior points of its closure \bar{G} ; let

Γ be the boundary of the domain G ; $\Gamma = \overline{G} \setminus G$; let $\{g_n\}$ be the collection of domains adjacent to \overline{G} ($\bigcup_n g_n = \overline{Z} \setminus \overline{G}$); let γ_n be the boundary of the domain g_n ; $\tilde{\Gamma} = \bigcup_n \gamma_n$; and let K_p be a connected component of the set $\tilde{\Gamma}$ ($\bigcup_p K_p = \tilde{\Gamma}$, $K_p \cap K_q$ empty for $p \neq q$; $p, q = 0, 1, \dots$).

Let us introduce the set $\Lambda(G)$. It consists of those and only those points of the plane \overline{Z} in every neighborhood of each of which there are points of infinitely many domains g_n . The set $\Lambda(G)$ will play an important role in what follows. Obviously, the closed domain \overline{G} is finitely connected if and only if $\Lambda(G)$ is empty. Let us note here that $\Lambda(G)$ may have no points in common with $\tilde{\Gamma}$. An example of such a domain is obtained from the domain G constructed in § 1 if we concentrically enlarge the circle σ_0 and reduce the circles σ_n with $n \geq 1$.

It is easy to prove

Theorem 2. *In order that a set E coincide with the set $\Lambda(G)$ for some domain $G \subset \overline{Z}$, it is necessary and sufficient that: 1) E be closed; 2) E be entirely contained in the boundary of one of the domains adjacent to this set.*

Definition 1. A bounded domain G belongs to the class $B(\alpha)$ ($\alpha > 0$) if the following conditions are satisfied: 1) for every p the continuum K_p is the sum of a finite number of sets γ_n and is at a positive distance from the remaining part $\Gamma \setminus K_p$ of the boundary of the domain G ; 2) if d_p denotes the diameter of the continuum K_p ($d_p > 0!$), then $\sum_p d_p^\alpha < \infty$; 3) there exist positive numbers $P = P(G)$ and $c = c(G)$ such that, for $p \geq P$, the cd_p^α -neighborhood of the continuum K_p contains no points of the set $\Gamma \setminus K_p$.

Obviously, any domain G with finitely connected closure \overline{G} belongs to all classes $B(\alpha)$ ($\alpha > 0$); if $\alpha < \beta$, then $B(\alpha) \subset B(\beta)$. Let us also note that theorem 2 remains valid if one requires of the domain G that it belong to all classes $B(\alpha)$ with $\alpha > 0$ (in this case, of course, one must require of E ...

boundedness). This is proved by a construction analogous to that carried out in §1. As usual, we shall call a bounded domain G a domain with finite perimeter if γ_n are rectifiable curves and

$$\sum_n \text{mes}_1 \gamma_n < \infty.$$

Definition 2. A functional property X is called regular if the following conditions are satisfied for it: 1) every function possessing property X on a set $E \subset Z$ is continuous on E ; 2) if $f(z)$ possesses property X on E , then it possesses this property also on every set $F \subset E$; 3) if $f_1(z)$ and $f_2(z)$ possess property X on E , then their product $f_1(z) \cdot f_2(z)$, and also the linear combination $\alpha f_1(z) + \beta f_2(z)$ with real nonnegative numbers α, β , possess the same property X on E ; 4) if $f(z)$ is continuous in the extended complex plane \overline{Z} , possesses property X on a bounded closed set $E \subset Z$, and is analytic outside the set E , then $f(z)$ possesses

property X in the finite plane Z ; 5) every function $f(z)$ uniformly differentiable* on a bounded set E possesses property X on E .

Examples of regular properties are: 1) continuity, 2) uniform differentiability, 3) belonging to the class $\text{Lip } \alpha$. The last follows from the following lemma (which generalizes the theorem on p. 689 of [4]):

Lemma 1. *If $f(z)$ is analytic in an open set G , not containing the point ∞ on its boundary Γ , is continuous on \bar{G} and on $\Gamma = \bar{G} \setminus G$ satisfies the condition $\text{Lip}_M \alpha$ ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$), then also on \bar{G} , $f \in \text{Lip}_M \alpha$ with the same constant M .*

For what follows we shall also need

Lemma 2. *If $f(z)$ is uniformly differentiable on a bounded set E , analytic outside E^{**} and everywhere continuous in \bar{Z} , then $f(z) \equiv \text{const.}$ *

Definition 3. The X -capacity of a bounded set $E \subset Z$ is the quantity

$$\gamma_X(E) = \sup_{f \in M(X, E)} \left\{ \left| \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} z f(z) \right| \right\} = \sup_{f \in M(X, E)} \left\{ \left| \int_L f'(z) dz \right| \right\}.$$

Here L is a rectifiable Jordan contour enclosing E , and $M(X, E)$ is the class of functions $f(z)$ with the properties: 1) $f(z)$ is defined on \bar{Z} and possesses the regular property X on E ; 2) $|f(z)| \leq 1$; 3) $f(z)$ is analytic on $\bar{Z} \setminus E$; 4) $f(\infty) = 0$ (cf. the definition of $\mathfrak{M}(\bar{CG}, \infty)$ in [5, 7]).

It is easily shown that the class $M(X, E)$ consists of the single function $f(z) \equiv 0$ if and only if $\gamma_X(E) = 0$. Let us also note here that, in the case where X is the property $\text{Lip } \alpha$ ($0 < \alpha < 1$), $\gamma_X(E) = 0$ if and only if the inner Hausdorff measure of order $1 + \alpha$ of this set E is zero: $\text{mes}^{1+\alpha} E = 0$. In the case where X is the property of continuity, we shall call the X -capacity the AC -capacity.

3. Some theorems on approximation by rational fractions on domains of class $B(\alpha)$. **Theorem 3.** *Let G be a domain with finite perimeter such that every function $f(z)$, analytic inside G and possessing a regular property X on G , can be uniformly** approximated on \bar{G} by rational fractions. Then the X -capacity of the set $\Lambda(G)$ is equal to zero.**

* $f(z)$ ($z \in E$) is called uniformly differentiable on E if the ratio $[f(z) - f(\zeta)] / (z - \zeta)$, as $z \rightarrow \zeta$ ($z, \zeta \in E$), tends to its limit $f'_E(\zeta)$ uniformly with respect to $\zeta \in E$. Obviously, a function $f(z)$ uniformly differentiable on E has at non-isolated points of this set a continuous derivative (on the set E).

** That is, analytic in some neighborhood of each point $z \notin E$.

*** The words "with arbitrary prescribed accuracy" are omitted here and below.

Theorem 4. In order that every function $f(z)$, analytic inside a domain $G \in B(1/3)$ and possessing on \bar{G} a regular property X , be uniformly approximable

on \overline{G} by rational fractions, it is necessary and sufficient that the set $\Lambda(G)$ have zero X -capacity.

Corollary 1. In order that, on a domain $G \in B(1/3)$, every function analytic inside G and satisfying the condition $\text{Lip } \alpha$ ($0 < \alpha < 1$) on \overline{G} be uniformly approximable by rational fractions, it is necessary and sufficient that

$$\text{mes}^{1+\alpha} \Lambda(G) = 0.$$

Theorem 4'. In order that every function $f(z)$, analytic inside a domain $G \in B(1/2)$ and continuous on \overline{G} , be uniformly approximable on \overline{G} by rational fractions, it is necessary and sufficient that the AC -capacity of the set $\Lambda(G)$ be equal to zero.

Theorem 5. Let $G \in B(1/3)$. A function $f(z)$, analytic inside \overline{G} and continuous on \overline{G} , is uniformly approximable on \overline{G} by rational fractions if and only if it is uniformly differentiable on $\Lambda(G)$.

We note that, in this case, $f(z)$ (uniformly approximable by rational fractions on \overline{G}) may fail to have a derivative at any point $z \in \Lambda(G)$, if the derivative is taken with respect to \overline{G} ⁽⁶⁾. From Theorem 4 and a generalization of Theorem 5 we obtain the following "removal of singularities" theorem:

Theorem 6. Let $G \in B(1/(m+2))$ (m natural) and $\gamma_X(\Lambda(G)) = 0$. Then every function $f(z)$, analytic inside G and possessing on \overline{G} a (regular) property X , is m times uniformly differentiable on $\Lambda(G)$.

Corollary 1. Let $G \in B(1/(m+2))$ ($m \geq 1$) and let $f(z)$ be continuous on \overline{G} and analytic inside G . Then, if the AC -capacity of the set $\Lambda(G)$ is equal to zero, $f(z)$ is m times uniformly differentiable on $\Lambda(G)$.

Corollary 2. If $G \in B(1/(m+2))$ and $\text{mes}^{1+\alpha} \Lambda(G) = 0$, then every function $f(z)$ satisfying on \overline{G} the condition $\text{Lip } \alpha$ ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$) and analytic inside G is m times uniformly differentiable on $\Lambda(G)$.

In conclusion we note that theorems analogous to those stated above also hold for approximations in the metric $L_p(G)$ for $p \geq 2$. Thus, for example, there exist a simply connected domain G (as always, coinciding with the set of interior points of its closure \overline{G}) and a function $f(z)$, analytic in G and continuous on \overline{G} , such that

$$\iint_G |f(z) - R(z)|^p d\sigma \geq k = \text{const} > 0$$

for every rational $R(z)$ and every $p \geq 2$. It is interesting to compare this with a result of S. O. Sinanyan (reported at the Fourth All-Union Mathematical Congress), which consists in the following: let $p \geq 1$ and \overline{G} be finitely connected; then every $f \in L_p(G)$, analytic in G , is approximable with arbitrary accuracy in the metric $L_p(G)$ by rational fractions**.

Moscow State University
named after M. V. Lomonosov

Received
27 XI 1961

REFERENCES

1. S. N. Mergelyan, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, **7**, no. 2 (48), 31 (1952).
2. M. V. Keldysh, *Matem. sbornik*, **16** (58), 3, 249 (1945).
3. I. I. Privalov, *Boundary Properties of Analytic Functions*, Moscow-Leningrad, 1950.
4. J. L. Walsh, W. E. Sewell, *Duke Math. J.*, **6**, no. 3, 658 (1940).
5. L. V. Ahlfors, A. Beurling, *Acta Math.*, **83**, no. 1-2, 101 (1950).
6. E. P. Dolzhenko, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, **125**, no. 5 (1959).
7. A. G. Vitushkin, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, **123**, no. 5, 778 (1958).

* For $p = 2$ this result was obtained by Keldysh.

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.