



---

Soviet-era science, translated into English

# Reports of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR

D. V. IONESCU

1961

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196101.98058>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

## Abstract

## Full Text

Reports of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR  
1961. Volume 141, No. 6

## MATHEMATICS

D. V. IONESCU

# REPRESENTATION OF THE DIVIDED DIFFERENCE OF ORDER $(m, n)$ OF A FUNCTION OF TWO VARIABLES BY A DOUBLE INTEGRAL. II

(Presented by Academician A. N. Kolmogorov on 12 V 1961)

1. In the preceding note <sup>(1)</sup> we proved that the divided difference of order  $(m, n)$  of a function  $f(x, y)$  at the nodes  $(x_i, y_k)$ , where  $x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_m$ ,  $y_0 < y_1 < \dots < y_n$ , can be represented by the formula

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c} x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m; f \\ y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n \end{array} \right] = \iint_D \Phi(x, y) \frac{\partial^{m+n} f}{\partial x^m \partial y^n} dx dy, \quad (1)$$

where  $D$  is the rectangle defined by the inequalities  $x_0 \leq x \leq x_m$ ,  $y_0 \leq y \leq y_n$ , and the function  $\Phi(x, y)$  coincides in each rectangle  $D_i^k$ , defined by the inequalities  $x_i \leq x \leq x_{i+1}$ ,  $y_k \leq y \leq y_{k+1}$ , with the function  $\varphi_i^k(x, y)$ , defined by the formula

$$\varphi_i^k(x, y) = \frac{(-1)^{m-n}}{(m-1)!(n-1)!} \sum_{\alpha=0}^i \sum_{\beta=0}^k C_{\alpha}^{\beta} (x-x_{\alpha})^{m-1} (y-y_{\beta})^{n-1}; \quad (2)$$

the coefficients  $C_{\alpha}^{\beta}$  are given by the formula

$$C_{\alpha}^{\beta} = (-1)^{\alpha+\beta} \frac{V(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{\alpha-1}, x_{\alpha+1}, \dots, x_m) V(y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{\beta-1}, y_{\beta+1}, \dots, y_n)}{V(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m) V(y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n)}. \quad (3)$$

The expansions

$$\frac{1}{(x-x_0)(x-x_1)\dots(x-x_m)} = \sum_{\alpha=0}^m \frac{A_{\alpha}}{x-x_{\alpha}},$$

$$\frac{1}{(y - y_0)(y - y_1) \cdots (y - y_n)} = \sum_{\beta=0}^n \frac{B_\beta}{y - y_\beta} \quad (4)$$

show that one may also write

$$C_\alpha^\beta = (-1)^{m+n} A_\alpha B_\beta. \quad (5)$$

In the present note we shall prove that the function  $\Phi(x, y)$ , which vanishes on the sides of the rectangle  $D$ , has constant sign inside this rectangle.

**2.** Consider the functions  $\varphi_i^k(x, y)$  associated with the rectangles  $D_i^k$  lying between the lines  $y = y_k$  and  $y = y_{k+1}$ , and study the functions of  $x$ ,  $\chi_i(x) = \varphi_i^k(x, y)$ , where  $y$  has a fixed value from the interval  $(y_k, y_{k+1})$ , if  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$ , or from the interval  $(y_{n-1}, y_n)$ , if  $k = n-1$ . It is proved that the functions  $\chi_i(x)$  satisfy the boundary conditions

$$\chi_0^{(j)}(x_0) = 0, \quad \chi_i^{(j)}(x_i) = \chi_{i-1}^{(j)}(x_i), \quad \chi_{m-1}^{(j)}(x_m) = 0 \quad (6)$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$  and  $j = 0, 1, \dots, m-2$ .

Similarly, as functions of  $y$ ,  $\theta_k(y) = \varphi_i^k(x, y)$ , where  $x$  has a fixed value from the interval  $(x_i, x_{i+1})$ , if  $i = 0, 1, \dots, m-2$ , or from the interval  $(x_{m-1}, x_m)$ , if  $i = m-1$ , satisfy the boundary conditions

$$\theta_0^{(j)}(y_0) = 0, \quad \theta_k^{(j)}(y_k) = \theta_{k-1}^{(j)}(y_k), \quad \theta_{n-1}^{(j)}(y_n) = 0 \quad (7)$$

for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$  and  $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$ .

**3.** We shall assume that the derivative  $\chi_i^{(m-1)}(x)$  does not vanish on the interval  $(x_0, x_m)$ . This derivative is constant with respect to  $x$ , and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_i^{(m-1)}(x) = \frac{A_0 + A_1 + \cdots + A_i}{(n-1)!} [B_0(y - y_0)^{n-1} + B_1(y - y_1)^{n-1} + \cdots \\ \cdots + B_k(y - y_k)^{n-1}]. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

We proved in (2) that  $A_0 + A_1 + \cdots + A_i \neq 0$ , and it remains for us to prove that the sum

$$h_k = B_0(y - y_0)^{n-1} + B_1(y - y_1)^{n-1} + \cdots + B_k(y - y_k)^{n-1} \quad (9)$$

is not equal to zero for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ , where  $y$  has a fixed value from the interval  $(y_k, y_{k+1})$ , if  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$ , or from  $(y_{n-1}, y_n)$ , if  $k = n-1$ . We assume that  $n > 1$ .

Considering the expansion

$$\frac{(y-Y)^{n-1}}{(Y-y_0)(Y-y_1)\cdots(Y-y_n)} = \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{B'_j}{Y-y_j}, \quad (10)$$

one may write

$$h_k = B'_0 + B'_1 + \cdots + B'_k. \quad (11)$$

Introducing the function

$$f(Y) = \frac{(y-Y)^{n-1}}{(Y-y_0)(Y-y_1)\cdots(Y-y_k)}, \quad (12)$$

we prove that

$$h_k = -[y_{k+1}, y_{k+2}, \dots, y_n; f], \quad (13)$$

and, by virtue of a known theorem, we have

$$h_k = -\frac{f^{(n-k-1)}(\bar{Y})}{(n-k-1)!}, \quad (14)$$

where  $y_{k+1} < \bar{Y} < y_n$ .

4. We have

$$f^{(n-k-1)}(Y) = (-1)^n (n-k-1)! \sum_{i=0}^k A_i \frac{(y_i - y)^{n-1}}{(y_i - Y)^{n-k}},$$

and, introducing a function of  $\eta$

$$g(\eta) = \frac{(\eta - y)^{n-1}}{(\eta - Y)^{n-k}}, \quad (15)$$

we obtain

$$f^{(n-k-1)}(Y) = (-1)^n (n-k-1)! [y_0, y_1, \dots, y_k; g] =$$

$$= (-1)^n \frac{(n-k-1)!}{k!} g^{(k)}(\bar{\eta}), \quad (16)$$

where  $y_0 < \bar{\eta} < y_k$ .

It can be proved that

$$g^{(k)}(\eta) = (-1)^k \frac{(n-1)!}{(n-k-1)!} \frac{(Y-y)^k (\eta-y)^{n-k-1}}{(\eta-Y)^n}. \quad (17)$$

Let us return to formulas (14), (16), (17) and denote by  $\bar{\eta}^*$  the number corresponding to  $\bar{Y}$  in formula (16). We have

$$h_k = (-1)^{n+k+1} \binom{n-1}{k} \frac{(\bar{Y}-y)^k (\bar{\eta}^*-y)^{n-k-1}}{(\bar{\eta}^*-\bar{Y})^n}, \quad (18)$$

and this proves that  $h_k \neq 0$ , since

$$\bar{\eta}^* < y_k < y \leq y_{k+1} < \bar{Y}. \quad (19)$$

The preceding arguments were valid for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-2$ , but one can verify directly that we also have  $h_0 \neq 0$  and  $h_{n-1} \neq 0$ .

5. It can be proved in the same way that the derivative  $\theta_k^{(n-1)}(y)$  does not vanish on the interval  $(y_k, y_{k+1})$ . We shall restrict ourselves to considering the functions  $\theta_k(y)$  corresponding to  $i = 0$ , i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_k(y) = \frac{(-1)^{m-n}}{(m-1)!(n-1)!} [C_0^0(y-y_0)^{n-1} + C_0^1(y-y_1)^{n-1} + \dots \\ \dots + C_0^k \times (y-y_k)^{n-1}] (x-x_0)^{m-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

For these functions we have

$$\theta_k^{(n-1)}(y) = \frac{(-1)^{m-n}}{(m-1)!} A_0 (B_0 + B_1 + \dots + B_k) (x-x_0)^{m-1}, \quad (21)$$

and, consequently,  $\theta_k^{(n-1)}(y) \neq 0$  on the interval  $(y_k, y_{k+1})$ , since  $B_0 + B_1 + \dots + B_k \neq 0$ .

6. We can now prove that the function  $\Phi(x, y)$  has the same sign as  $(-1)^{m-n}$  inside the rectangle  $D$ .

First of all, we have

$$\varphi_0^0(x, y) = \frac{(-1)^{m-n}}{(m-1)!(n-1)!} C_0^0(x-x_0)^{m-1}(y-y_0)^{n-1}, \quad (22)$$

where the coefficient  $C_0^0$  is positive. Hence  $\varphi_0^0(x, y)$  has the sign  $(-1)^{m-n}$  for  $x_0 < x \leq x_1$ ,  $y_0 < y \leq y_1$ .

Next we shall prove that the function  $\Phi(x, y)$  has the sign  $(-1)^{m-n}$  in the strip  $x_0 < x \leq x_1$ ,  $y_0 < y < y_n$ . To this end consider the function  $\theta(y)$ , which coincides on each interval  $[y_k, y_{k+1}]$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ , with the functions  $\theta_k(y) = \varphi_0^k(x, y)$ , where  $x$  has a fixed value in the interval  $(x_0, x_1]$ . We have proved that  $\theta(y)$  is a function continuous on the interval  $[y_0, y_n]$ , together with its derivatives up to order  $n-2$ , and that it satisfies the conditions  $\theta^j(x_0) = 0$ ,  $\theta^{(j)}(x_n) = 0$  for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$ . By Rolle's theorem, applied to the function  $\theta(y)$  and to the interval  $[y_0, y_n]$ , the derivative  $\theta'(y)$  has at least one zero in the interval  $(y_0, y_n)$ . This zero is unique.

Indeed, suppose that the derivative  $\theta'(y)$  has two zeros in the interval  $(y_0, y_n)$ . Then, applying Rolle's theorem successively and taking into account the conditions which the function  $\theta(y)$  satisfies at the points  $y_0$  and  $y_n$ , we conclude that the derivative  $\theta^{(n-2)}(y)$  has  $n-1$  zeros in the interval  $(y_0, y_n)$ . In the intervals  $(y_0, y_1]$ ,  $[y_{n-1}, y_n)$  there is not a single zero of  $\theta^{(n-2)}(y)$ , since we have proved that  $\theta_0^{(n-1)}(y)$  and  $\theta_n^{(n-1)}(y)$  do not vanish in the intervals  $(y_0, y_1)$ ,  $(y_{n-1}, y_n)$ . Consequently, the  $n-1$  zeros of the derivative  $\theta^{(n-2)}(y)$  lie in the interval  $(y_1, y_{n-1})$ , but in each interval  $(y_k, y_{k+1}]$ , where  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-2$ , there lies only one zero of the function  $\theta^{(n-2)}(y)$ , since we have proved that the derivative  $\theta^{(n-1)}(y)$  does not vanish on the interval  $(y_k, y_{k+1})$ . Thus the interval  $(y_1, y_{n-1})$  can contain only  $n-2$  zeros of the function  $\theta^{(n-2)}(y)$ , and we have arrived at a contradiction, which shows that the derivative  $\theta'(y)$  has only one zero in the interval  $(y_0, y_n)$ .

It follows from this that the function  $\theta(y)$  has only one extremum in the interval  $(y_0, y_n)$ , and, since it has sign  $(-1)^{m-n}$  in the interval  $(y_0, y_1)$ , it has sign  $(-1)^{m-n}$  in the interval  $(y_0, y_n)$ . Hence we conclude that the function  $\Phi(x, y)$  has sign  $(-1)^{m-n}$  in the strip  $x_0 < x \leq x_1$ ,  $y_0 < y < y_n$ .

By the same method, using the properties of the functions  $\chi_i(x)$ , one can prove that the function  $\Phi(x, y)$  has sign  $(-1)^{m-n}$  in the strip  $x_0 < x < x_m$ ,  $y_k < y \leq y_{k+1}$  for each value  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$ , or in the strip  $x_0 < x < x_n$ ,  $y_{n-1} < y < y_n$ .

The function  $\Phi(x, y)$ , therefore, has sign  $(-1)^{m-n}$  inside the rectangle  $D$ .

7. From the preceding property it follows that

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c} x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m \\ y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n \end{array} ; f \right] = \frac{\partial^{m+n} f(\xi, \eta)}{\partial x^m \partial y^n} \iint_D \Phi(x, y) dx dy, \quad (23)$$

where  $x_0 < \xi < x_n$ ,  $y_0 < \eta < y_n$ . We have

$$\iint_D \Phi(x, y) dx dy = \frac{(-1)^{m-n}}{m!n!} \quad (24)$$

and, consequently, the divided difference of order  $(m, n)$  of the function  $f(x, y)$  can be represented by the formula

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c} x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m \\ y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n \end{array} ; f \right] = \frac{(-1)^{m-n}}{m!n!} \frac{\partial^{m+n} f(\xi, \eta)}{\partial x^m \partial y^n}, \quad (25)$$

where  $x_0 < \xi < x_n$ ,  $y_0 < y < y_n$ .

Hence the estimate follows:

$$\left| \left[ \begin{array}{c} x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m \\ y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n \end{array} ; f \right] \right| \leq \frac{M_{m,n}}{m!n!}, \quad (26)$$

where  $M_{m,n}$  is the least upper bound of the absolute value of

$$\frac{\partial^{m+n} f}{\partial x^m \partial y^n}$$

in the rectangle  $x_0 < x < x_m$ ,  $y_0 < y < y_n$ .

Cluj  
Romanian People' s Republic

Received  
25 IV 1961

## References

<sup>1</sup> D. V. Ionescu, DAN, **141**, No. 5 (1961). <sup>2</sup> D. V. Ionescu, *Cuadraturi numerice*, Bucureşti, 1957, chap. III.

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*