



---

Soviet-era science, translated into English

# MATHEMATICS

L. I. KAMYNIN and V. N. MASLENNIKOVA

1961

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196101.93766>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

**MATHEMATICS**

**L. I. KAMYNIN and V. N. MASLENNIKOVA**

**ON THE SOLUTION IN THE LARGE OF THE FIRST BOUNDARY-VALUE PROBLEM FOR A QUASILINEAR PARABOLIC EQUATION**

*(Presented by Academician S. L. Sobolev on 12 XI 1960)*

In the present paper we study the first boundary-value problem for a quasilinear parabolic equation of the form

$$Lu \equiv \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x,t) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(x,t,u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = f(x,t,u, \nabla u), \quad (1)$$

where  $\nabla u = (\partial u / \partial x_1, \partial u / \partial x_2, \dots, \partial u / \partial x_n)$ , in noncylindrical domains  $D$ . A. Friedman studied an analogous problem (for  $b_i(x,t,u) \equiv b_i(x,t)$ ), establishing in paper <sup>(1)</sup> an a priori  $(1 + \delta)$ -estimate for the solution of the first boundary-value problem for a linear parabolic equation. However, under the restrictions imposed by A. Friedman on  $f(x,t,u,\omega)$ , the existence of a solution was proved locally (with respect to  $T$ ). We shall consider the question of existence and uniqueness of the solution of the first boundary-value problem for equation (1) in the large, i.e., for arbitrary  $T$ .

Let  $D$  be an  $(n + 1)$ -dimensional domain of the space  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; t) \equiv (x, t)$ , bounded by two hyperplanes  $t = 0$  and  $t = T > 0$  and by a closed surface  $S$  lying between these hyperplanes. Let  $\Omega$  be the base of  $D$ , i.e.  $\Omega = \overline{D} \cap \{t = 0\}$ . We shall call the set  $\Gamma = S \cup \Omega$  the normal boundary of the domain. Following A. Friedman <sup>(1)</sup>, we introduce the following norms:

$$|v|_0^D = \sup_{(x,t) \in D} |v(x,t)|, \quad |v|_\alpha^D = |v|_0^D + H_\alpha^D[v],$$

$$H_\alpha^D[v] = \sup_{P_1, P_2 \in D} \frac{|v(P_1) - v(P_2)|}{[d(P_1, P_2)]^\alpha},$$

where the distance between two points  $P_1(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \dots, \bar{x}_n; \bar{t})$  and  $P_2(\bar{\bar{x}}_1, \bar{\bar{x}}_2, \dots, \bar{\bar{x}}_n; \bar{\bar{t}})$  is defined by

$$d(P_1, P_2) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (\bar{x}_i - \bar{\bar{x}}_i)^2 + |\bar{t} - \bar{\bar{t}}| \right)^{1/2}. \quad (2)$$

Further,

$$|v|_{1+\alpha}^D = |v|_\alpha^D + \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \right|_\alpha^D,$$

$$|v|_{2+\alpha}^D = |v|_{1+\alpha}^D + \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \right|_{1+\alpha}^D + \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right|_\alpha^D.$$

I. With respect to the lateral surface  $S$  it is assumed that it can be covered by a finite number of spheres  $W_j$ , and in each sphere  $W_j$  po-

the piece of the surface  $S_j$  falling into it admits, for some  $i$ , a representation of the form

$$x_i = h(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n; t),$$

$$(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n, t) \in \Sigma_j,$$

where the function  $h$  has on  $\Sigma_j$  derivatives with respect to  $x_k$  up to the second order inclusive, satisfying the Hölder condition (with exponent  $\alpha$ ;  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ) and a first derivative with respect to  $t$ , also satisfying the Hölder condition (with exponent  $\alpha$ ); here the distance between the points  $P_1(\bar{x}, \bar{t})$  and  $P_2(\bar{\bar{x}}, \bar{\bar{t}})$  in the Hölder condition is taken from (2). In addition it is assumed that  $\partial h / \partial x_k$  on  $\Sigma_j$  satisfies the Lipschitz condition with the usual distance

$$\rho(P_1, P_2) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (\bar{x}_i - \bar{\bar{x}}_i)^2 + (\bar{t} - \bar{\bar{t}})^2 \right)^{1/2}. \quad (3)$$

Let the quasilinear operator  $L$  in (1) be parabolic for  $(x, t) \in \bar{D}$ , i.e., for all real vectors  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x, t) \lambda_i \lambda_j \geq a_0 \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2. \quad (4)$$

Let the coefficients and the right-hand side of equation (1) satisfy the conditions:

II. For all  $(x, t) \in \bar{D}$ ,  $|u| < \infty$ ,  $\partial f(x, t, u, 0) / \partial u \geq b_0$  ( $b_0$  is a constant).

III. In the domain  $(x, t) \in \bar{D}$ ,  $|w| < \infty$  ( $|w|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^2$ ) and  $|u| \leq K \equiv$

$$\equiv \left( \sup_{\Gamma} |\psi| + \frac{\sup |f(x, t, 0, 0)|}{b_0 + \gamma} \right) e^{\gamma T}$$

( $\gamma > 0$  is a constant for which  $\gamma + b_0 > 0$ ), the following conditions are fulfilled: the functions  $a_{ij}(x, t), b_i(x, t, u), f(x, t, 0, 0), \partial f(x, t, u, 0)/\partial u$ , and  $\partial f(x, t, u, w)/\partial w_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) satisfy in  $(x, t)$  the Hölder condition (with exponent  $\alpha$ ;  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ), and in  $u$  and  $w_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) the Hölder conditions (with exponent  $\beta$ ,  $0 < \beta \leq 1$ ):

$$|a_{ij}(x, t) - a_{ij}(\bar{x}, \bar{t})| \leq A_1 [d(P_1, P_2)]^\alpha \quad (5)$$

(here and below  $P_1 = P_1(x, t)$ ;  $P_2 = P_2(\bar{x}, \bar{t})$  and  $d(P_1, P_2)$  is taken from (2));

$$|b_i(x, t, u) - b_i(\bar{x}, \bar{t}, \bar{u})| \leq B_1 [d(P_1, P_2)]^\alpha + B_2 |u - \bar{u}|^\beta, \quad (6)$$

$$|f(x, t, 0, 0) - f(\bar{x}, \bar{t}, 0, 0)| \leq C_1 [d(P_1, P_2)]^\alpha,$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial f(x, t, u, 0)}{\partial u} - \frac{\partial f(\bar{x}, \bar{t}, \bar{u}, 0)}{\partial u} \right| \leq C_2 [d(P_1, P_2)]^\alpha + C_3 |u - \bar{u}|^\beta; \quad (7)$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial f(x, t, u, w)}{\partial w_i} \right| \leq C_4 \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n);$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial f(x, t, u, w)}{\partial w_i} - \frac{\partial f(\bar{x}, \bar{t}, \bar{u}, \bar{w})}{\partial w_i} \right| \leq D_1 [d(P_1, P_2)]^\alpha +$$

$$+ D_2 |u - \bar{u}|^\beta + D_3 \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n (w_i - \bar{w}_i)^2 \right]^{\beta/2} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n). \quad (8)$$

IV. The functions  $a_{ij}(x, t)$  on  $\Sigma_j$  satisfy, with respect to  $(x, t)$ , the Lipschitz condition with the usual distance (3).

V. Let there exist in the domain  $\bar{D}$  a function  $\Psi(x, t)$ , coinciding on the normal boundary  $\Gamma$  of the domain  $D$  with the prescribed boundary function  $\psi(x, t)$ , and such that  $|\Psi|_{2+\alpha}^D < \infty$ .

**Theorem 1.** *If the lateral surface  $S$ , the coefficients of the quasilinear parabolic equation (1), and the boundary function  $\psi(x, t)$  satisfy all the conditions (4), I – V indicated above, then there exists a solution  $u(x, t)$ , continuous in  $\bar{D}$ , of equation (1) with the prescribed boundary conditions*

$$u|_{\Gamma} = \psi(x, t), \quad (9)$$

and constants  $M$  and  $\lambda$  ( $0 < \lambda \leq \alpha\beta < 1$ ) can be found such that in  $\bar{D}$  the inequality

$$|u|_{2+\lambda}^D \leq M(|f(x, t, 0, 0)|_\alpha + |\Psi|_{2+\alpha}), \quad (10)$$

holds, where  $M$  depends on the domain  $D$ , the surface  $S$ , and the constants  $\alpha, \beta, \lambda, K, a_0, A_1, B_1, B_2, C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4, D_1, D_2, D_3$ .

The proof of Theorem 1 uses an a priori estimate for the modulus of a solution of the problem (1), (9), A. Friedman's theorem on the  $(1 + \delta)$ -estimate for a solution of the first boundary-value problem for a linear parabolic equation (1), A. Friedman's theorem on the existence and the  $(2 + \alpha)$ -estimate for a solution of the first boundary-value problem for a linear parabolic equation (2), and Schauder's fixed-point theorem.

**Theorem 2 (A. Friedman ([1])).** *Let  $S$  be any closed surface and let the quasilinear operator  $L$  be parabolic in  $\bar{D}$ . If  $b_i(x, t, u)$  and  $f(x, t, u, w)$  are locally Lipschitz continuous with respect to  $u$ , i.e., for  $|u| \leq N$*

$$|b_i(x, t, u_1) - b_i(x, t, u_2)| \leq B_2(N)|u_1 - u_2|,$$

$$|f(x, t, u_1, w) - f(x, t, u_2, w)| \leq C_2(N)|u_1 - u_2|,$$

then in  $D$  there can exist at most one solution of the first boundary-value problem (1), (9), continuous in  $\bar{D}$ .

**Remark.** From Theorem 2, for  $\beta = 1$  it follows that the solution of the first boundary-value problem (1), (9), whose existence was proved in Theorem 1, is unique.

Let us note one generalization of Theorem 2 on uniqueness for a more general quasilinear parabolic equation.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $S$  be any closed surface and let the quasilinear operator*

$$\Delta u = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x, t, u, \nabla u) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$$

be parabolic in  $\bar{D}$ , i.e., for  $(x, t) \in \bar{D}$

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x, t, u, w) \lambda_i \lambda_j \geq a(u, w) \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2, \quad (11)$$

where  $a(u, w) > 0$  is a nonincreasing function of  $(|u| + |w|)$  for  $(|u| + |w|) < \infty$ .

If  $a_{ij}(x, t, u, w)$  and  $f(x, t, u, w)$  are locally Lipschitz continuous with respect to  $u$ , then there can exist at most one solution of the first boundary-value problem for the equation

$$\Delta u \equiv f(x, t, u, \nabla u) \quad (12)$$

with boundary condition (9), continuous in  $\bar{D}$  and having in  $\bar{D}$  bounded derivatives  $\partial u / \partial x_i$ ,  $\partial^2 u / \partial x_i \partial x_j$  ( $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ).

With the aid of Lemma 1, Theorem 4 is proved.

**Lemma 1.** If, for the function  $f(x, t, u, w)$ , continuous in all arguments for  $|u| < \infty$ , the inequality

$$|f(x, t, u, 0)| \leq C_5 + C_6|u|, \quad (13)$$

holds, then for every solution, continuous in  $\bar{D}$ , of problem (12), (9) (where  $\Delta$  from (12) with continuous coefficients  $a_{ij}(x, t, u, \nabla u)$  satisfies (11)), the following a priori estimate holds:

$$\sup_{\bar{D}} |u(x, t)| \leq K_1 \equiv \left( \sup_{\Gamma} |\psi| + \frac{C_5}{\gamma - C_6} \right) e^{\gamma T} \quad (14)$$

( $\gamma > 0$  is any constant for which  $\gamma - C_6 > 0$ ).

**Theorem 4.** Let the lateral surface  $S$  satisfy condition I; let the boundary function  $\psi(x, t)$  satisfy condition V; let  $a_{ij}(x, t)$ , continuous in the Hölder sense (5), satisfy in  $\bar{D}$  the parabolicity condition (4), as well as condition IV. Suppose that for all  $|u| < \infty$  (13) is fulfilled, and that in the domain  $(x, t) \in \bar{D}$ ,  $|w| < \infty$ ,  $|u| \leq K_1$  (where the constant  $K_1$  is taken from (14)) the functions  $b_i(x, t, u)$ ,  $f(x, t, u, 0)$ ,  $\partial f(x, t, u, w) / \partial w_i$  are Hölder continuous, i.e. (6), (8) are fulfilled, and

$$|f(x, t, u, 0) - f(\bar{x}, \bar{t}, \bar{u}, 0)| \leq C_7[d(P_1, P_2)]^\alpha + C_8|u - \bar{u}|^\beta,$$

and for  $\partial f(x, t, u, w) / \partial w_i$  inequality (7) holds. Then there exists a solution  $u(x, t)$ , continuous in  $\bar{D}$ , of the first boundary-value problem (1), (9), for which (10) is valid, where  $M$  depends on the domain  $D$ , the surface  $S$ , and on  $\alpha, \beta, \lambda, A_1, B_1, B_2, C_4, C_5, C_6, C_7, C_8, D_1, D_2, D_3$ .

**Remark.** In A. Friedman's paper <sup>(1)</sup>, for equation (1) ( $b_i(x, t, u) \equiv b_i(x, t)$ ) it is proved that: 1) if one does not require the existence of  $\partial f / \partial w_i$ , but imposes the condition

$$|f(x, t, u, w)| \leq C_5 + C_6|u| + C_9|w|^\delta, \quad (15)$$

where  $0 \leq \delta < 1$ , then the solution of problem (1), (9) exists globally; 2) if in (15)  $\delta = 1$  and one does not require the existence of  $\partial f / \partial w_i$ , then the solution of problem (1), (9) exists for small  $C_9$ ; 3) if  $f(x, t, u, w)$  is locally Hölder continuous, then the solution of problem (1), (9) exists locally (with respect to  $T$ ).

*Note added in proof.* The existence of a solution of problem (1), (9) was proved by the authors for a lateral surface  $S$  admitting a barrier at each point, and for a boundary function  $\psi$  continuous on  $\Gamma$ .

Mathematical Institute named after V. A. Steklov  
Academy of Sciences of the USSR

Received  
11 XI 1960

## REFERENCES

1. A. Friedman, *J. Math. and Mech.*, **9**, No. 4, 539 (1960).
2. A. Friedman, *J. Math. and Mech.*, **7**, No. 5, 771 (1958).

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*