



Soviet-era science, translated into English

A. S. BLAGOVESHCHENSKII

1961

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196101.92564>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

A. S. BLAGOVESHCHENSKII

ON SOME WELL-POSED PROBLEMS FOR ULTRAHYPERBOLIC AND WAVE EQUATIONS WITH DATA ON THE CHARACTERISTIC CONE

(Presented by Academician V. I. Smirnov on 23 V 1961)

Let us consider the following problem of integral geometry: the integrals of a certain function $G(z)/|z|^{n-2}$ over any sphere passing through the origin of coordinates are given; determine the function $G(z)$, i.e., solve the integral equation:

$$\psi(x) = \frac{1}{|x|} \int_{|z|^2=(x,z)} \frac{G(z)}{|z|^{n-2}} dS_z, \quad x = x_1 \dots x_n; \quad z = z_1 \dots z_n. \quad (1)$$

Let n be odd. Introduce the operator P , defined by the equality

$$P\varphi = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} \frac{1}{|z|^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} \frac{\partial^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}{\partial |z|^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} \int_{(x,z)=|z|^2} \varphi(x) dS_x. \quad (2)$$

From the Plancherel formula for the Radon transform (2) it follows that the operator P is isometric in L_2 . It turns out that in fact P is unitary. The proof can be carried out by constructing $S = P^*$ and establishing the unitary equivalence of the operators S and P .

It can be shown that the operator S has the form

$$S\psi = \frac{1}{(-2\pi)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} \frac{\partial^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}{\partial |x|^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} \left[|x|^{\frac{n-3}{2}} \int_{(z,x)=|z|^2} \frac{\psi(z)}{|z|^{n-2}} dS_z \right]. \quad (3)$$

Further, it is easy to obtain that

$$KSK = P, \quad (4)$$

where the operator K assigns to the function $\varphi(x)$ the function

$$f(\xi) = K\varphi = \frac{\varphi(\xi/|\xi|^2)}{|\xi|^n}.$$

Since the operator K is unitary and self-adjoint, equality (4) establishes the required unitary equivalence of P and S .

We note the curious fact that the operator $KP = SK$ is unitary and self-adjoint, and thus L_2 decomposes into two orthogonal subspaces, on each of which the operator P coincides, up to sign, with K .

The results given above allow us to formulate the following theorem:

Theorem. Let $\psi(x)$ ($x = x_1 \dots x_n$) be an arbitrary $n + 1$ times continuously differentiable function, defined for all x , and suppose that there exist—

there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\left| \frac{\partial^k \psi(x)}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n}} \right| \leq \frac{C}{1 + |x|^{\frac{3n+1}{2}}} \quad \left(0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = k \leq n + 1 \right). \quad (5)$$

Then there exists a unique function $G(z)$ of L_2 , continuous in the whole space and twice continuously differentiable everywhere except at the origin, satisfying equation (1). The function $G(z)$ is found by the formula

$$G(z) = \frac{(-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}{(2\pi)^{n-1}} \frac{\partial^{n-1}}{\partial |z|^{n-1}} \int_{(x,z)=|z|^2} \psi(x) dS_x. \quad (6)$$

Completely analogous results hold in the even-dimensional case. The theorem formulated makes it possible to solve several problems for the ultrahyperbolic and wave equations.

Problem I. Find a function of $2n$ variables $U(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n) \equiv U(x, y)$, satisfying, for $|x| < |y|$, the ultrahyperbolic equation

$$\Delta_x U = \Delta_y U \quad (7)$$

and the boundary condition

$$U|_{|x|=|y|} = \varphi(x, y) * . \quad (8)$$

Let $\varphi(x, y)$ have the property that

$$\left| \frac{\partial^j \varphi(x, y)}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n} \partial y_1^{\beta_1} \dots \partial y_n^{\beta_n}} \right| \leq \frac{C}{1 + |x|^{\frac{5n-1}{2}}} \quad \left(0 \leq \sum \alpha_i + \sum \beta_i = j \leq n + 1 \right). \quad (9)$$

Suppose that the solution $U(x, y)$ exists. Then Asgeirsson's theorem ⁽¹⁾ is applicable to it:

$$\int_{|z|^2=r^2} U(x+z, y) dS_z = \int_{|z|^2=r^2} U(x, y+z) dS_z.$$

Putting $x = 0$, $r = |y|$ in the last formula, we obtain:

$$\int_{|z|^2=|y|^2} U(0, y+z) dS_z = \int_{|z|^2=|y|^2} \varphi(z, y) dS_z. \quad (10)$$

But (10) is nothing other than an integral equation of the form (1) for the function $U(0, y)$. Solving it, we find the value of the function U at any point of the form $\{0, y\}$. To find U at an arbitrary point $\{x, y\}$ ($|x| < |y|$), we can, by means of ultrahyperbolic transformations, carry the point $\{x, y\}$ into a point of the form $\{0, y'\}$ and thus reduce the problem to the one already solved.

The solution obtained can be represented in the form

$$U(x, y) = \frac{C_n |y|^2 - |x|^2}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\lambda+1}{2}\right)} \int \frac{\varphi(\xi, \eta)}{|\xi|} \left| |y - \eta|^2 - |x - \xi|^2 \right|^\lambda dS_{\xi, \eta} \Big|_{\lambda=-n}. \quad (11)$$

The integral in formula (11) is taken over the whole cone $|\xi|^2 = |\eta|^2$ and is understood in the sense of analytic continuation in λ from sufficiently large values of λ ; C_n is a constant depending on the dimension of the space. The function $U(x, y)$ is unique in the class of functions satisfying the inequality

$$|U(x, y)| \leq \frac{A(x)}{(|y|^2 - |x|^2)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \left[1 + (|y|^2 - |x|^2)^{\frac{n+1}{4}} \right]},$$

* We note that Problem I was considered by N. S. Piskunov^(3,4). However, he restricted himself to the case $n = 2$ and assumed that the function $\varphi(x, y)$ was expandable in a Fourier series in the angular variables with Fourier coefficients that are analytic functions of $|x|$.

where $A(x)$ is a positive function bounded in bounded domains.

It can be shown that the function U constructed in this way is indeed a solution of Problem I.

It is also not hard to see that the solution (11) depends continuously on the function $\varphi(\xi, \eta)$: if $\varphi_m(\xi, \eta)$ satisfies the estimates (9) uniformly in m and $\varphi_m(\xi, \eta)$ converges to $\varphi_0(\xi, \eta)$ uniformly in (ξ, η) , together with its derivatives up to order $n + 1$ inclusive, then $U_m(x, y) \rightarrow U_0(x, y)$, together with the first and second

derivatives, uniformly in any closed, bounded interior subdomain, and $U_0(x, y)$ is a solution of Problem I with boundary condition

$$U_0|_{|x|=|y|} = \varphi_0(x, y).$$

Problem II. Find the function $U(x, t)$ outside the characteristic cone $|x|^2 = t^2$, satisfying the wave equation

$$U_{tt} = \Delta_x U \quad \text{for } t < |x|, \quad t > 0 \quad (12)$$

and the boundary conditions

$$U|_{t=|x|} = \varphi(x); \quad (13)$$

$$U|_{t=0} = 0. \quad (14)$$

With respect to $\varphi(x)$ we assume that it satisfies the conditions (5). Suppose that $U_t|_{t=0} = \theta(x)$. If we are able to find the function $\theta(x)$, then our problem reduces to the ordinary Cauchy problem, whose solution is

$$U(x, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \Gamma(\lambda + 1)} \int [t^2 - |x - \xi|^2]_+^\lambda \theta(\xi) d\xi \Big|_{\lambda = -\frac{n-1}{2}}.$$

Writing this solution for $|x| = t$, we obtain

$$U(x, |x|) \equiv \varphi(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \Gamma(\lambda + 1)} \int [2(x, \xi) - |\xi|^2]_+^\lambda \theta(\xi) d\xi \Big|_{\lambda = -\frac{n-1}{2}}.$$

The last equality can be transformed into the form

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{|x|} \int_{(x,z)=|z|^2} \frac{dS_z}{|z|^{n-2}} \left[\frac{1}{2\pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \Gamma(\lambda + 1)} \times \int_0^{2|z|} (2|z| - r)^{\lambda} r^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \theta\left(\frac{z}{|z|} r\right) dr \right] \Big|_{\lambda = -\frac{n-1}{2}}.$$

We have again arrived at the integral equation (1) for the function standing in square brackets. Let the number of spatial variables n be odd. Then our integral equation assumes the form

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{|x|} \int_{(x,z)=|z|^2} \frac{dS_z}{|z|^{n-2}} \left\{ \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} \frac{\partial^{\frac{n-3}{2}}}{\partial |z|^{\frac{n-3}{2}}} (|z|^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \theta(2z)) \right\}.$$

Solving it, we find

$$\frac{\partial^{\frac{n-3}{2}}}{\partial |z|^{\frac{n-3}{2}}} [|z|^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \theta(2z)] = \frac{1}{(-4\pi)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} \frac{\partial^{n-1}}{\partial |z|^{n-1}} \int_{(x,z)=|z|^2} \varphi(x) dS_x.$$

Fig. 1

Figure 1: Fig. 1

Hence

$$\theta(2z) = \frac{1}{(-4\pi)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} \frac{1}{|z|^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} \frac{\partial^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}{\partial |z|^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} \int_{(x,z)=|z|^2} \varphi(x) dS_x + \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-4}{2} \rfloor} C_k \left(\frac{z}{|z|} \right) |z|^{-2-k}, \quad (15)$$

where $C_k(\omega)$ are arbitrary functions of the unit vector ω .

For uniqueness of the solution of problem II one may require sufficiently rapid decay of $\theta(z)$ at infinity (for example, $\theta(z) \in L_2$ —then all $C_k(\omega) = 0$); or else maximal smoothness of $U(x, t)$ in a neighborhood of the cone $|x|^2 = t^2$, i.e. the least singularity of $\theta(z)$ at the origin—then as $C_k(z/|z|)$ we must take the first coefficients of the Taylor expansion of the integral

$$\frac{1}{(-4\pi)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} \frac{\partial^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}{\partial |z|^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} \int_{(x,z)=|z|^2} \varphi(x) dS_x$$

with respect to powers of $|z|$.

We indicate the domain of dependence in our problem: the best-decaying term (i.e. $\theta(z)$, if all $C_k = 0$) depends on the values of $\varphi(x)$ at those points that lie on the intersection of the initial characteristic cone with the cone having its vertex at the point z (see Fig. 1).

Fig. 1.

Solving problem II in the even-dimensional case in a completely analogous way, we find that

$$\theta(z) = \theta_0(z) + \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-4}{2}} C_k \left(\frac{z}{|z|} \right) |z|^{-k-2},$$

where $C_k(z/|z|)$ are arbitrary functions of the unit vector, and

$$\theta_0(z) = B_n \frac{1}{|z|} \int dy \varphi\left(\frac{y}{2}\right) \int_0^1 \left| \frac{(z, y)}{|z|} - \rho|z| \right|^\lambda (1 - \rho)^{\frac{n-5}{2}} d\rho \Big|_{\lambda=-n}.$$

The function $\theta_0(z)$ gives a solution possessing maximal smoothness in a neighborhood of the cone $|x|^2 = t^2$. It has the asymptotic form

$$\theta_0(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-4}{2}} D_k \left(\frac{z}{|z|} \right) |z|^{-k-2} + O\left(|z|^{-\frac{2-1n}{2}}\right).$$

Choosing $C_k(z/|z|) = -D_k(z/|z|)$, we obtain the solution that decreases at infinity most rapidly. We note that the domain of dependence for such a solution is the entire initial cone, and not the part of it lying inside the cone with vertex at the point z .

In exactly the same way one may consider the problems obtained from problem II if in it condition (14) is replaced by the conditions $U_t|_{t=0} = 0$ or $U|_{t=-|x|} = \varphi_1(x)$.

Leningrad State University
named after A. A. Zhdanov

Received
6 V 1961

REFERENCES

1. R. Courant, D. Hilbert, *Methods of Mathematical Physics*, 2, Moscow-Leningrad, 1951.
2. I. M. Gelfand, *UMN*, 15, 2 (92) (1960).
3. N. S. Piskunov, *DAN*, 59, No. 3 (1948).
4. N. S. Piskunov, *UMN*, 3, 3 (25), 152 (1948).
5. F. John, *Duke Math. J.*, 4, 300 (1938).

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.