



Soviet-era science, translated into English

V. V. Grushin

1961

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196101.87394>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

V. V. Grushin

ON ONE PROPERTY OF SOLUTIONS OF A HYPOELLIPTIC EQUATION

(Presented by Academician P. S. Aleksandrov, 25 X 1960)

Let $u(x)$ be a solution of the partial differential equation with constant coefficients

$$P\left(i\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)u(x) = 0. \quad (1)$$

In the present note we consider the question of the relation between the growth of the function $u(x)$ at infinity and its smoothness. In § 1 two theorems are proved which show what conditions must be imposed on the polynomial $P(s)$ in order that every solution $u(x)$ of equation (1), defined for all x , under one or another restriction on growth at infinity, be infinitely differentiable. In § 2 the smoothness of solutions of a hypoelliptic equation is discussed. Hypoelliptic equations were introduced by L. Hörmander in ⁽¹⁾, where it was proved that, if $u(x)$ is a solution of such an equation, then $u(x)$ is infinitely differentiable, and if W is a bounded domain, then

$$\max_{x \in W} |D^b u(x)| \leq c^b \Gamma\left(\frac{k}{\gamma}\right),$$

where $\Gamma(z)$ is Euler's function, and γ is the genus of the hypoelliptic equation. In § 2 it is shown that, under certain restrictions on the growth of $u(x)$ at infinity, this estimate of the smoothness of the function $u(x)$ can be substantially improved.

1. We first impose on $u(x)$ the strongest condition. Let $u(x)$, as $x \rightarrow \infty$, grow no faster than a polynomial. Under these assumptions it is proved in ⁽²⁾, p. 165, that if all real solutions of the algebraic equation

$$P(\sigma) = 0 \quad (2)$$

lie in a bounded part of the plane, then $u(x)$ is an entire analytic function of order of growth not higher than one. We formulate an assertion which, in a certain sense, is the converse of this.

Theorem 1. *If every continuous bounded solution of equation (1) has continuous first-order derivatives, then all real solutions of equation (2) lie in a bounded part of the plane.*

Proof. Consider the normed space B of continuous bounded functions, defined for all x , with norm

$$\|\varphi(x)\|_B = \sup |\varphi(x)|,$$

and the normed space D of continuous functions, defined on the whole real plane and having continuous first derivatives in the circle $|x| \leq 1$. Define the norm in the space D by

$$\|\varphi(x)\|_D = \sup |\varphi(x)| + \sum_{i=1}^n \max_{|x| \leq 1} \left| \frac{\partial \varphi(x)}{\partial x_i} \right|.$$

All functions from $B(D)$ that are solutions of equation (1) (in the generalized sense) form in the normed space $B(D)$ a linear subspace,

which is, obviously, closed. Denote this subspace by $\widetilde{B}(\widetilde{D})$. It is clear that $\widetilde{B}(\widetilde{D})$ itself is a complete normed space. Moreover, from the conditions of the theorem it follows that each function in \widetilde{B} has continuous first derivatives and, consequently, belongs to \widetilde{D} . Thus we have a natural continuous mapping of the space \widetilde{D} onto the whole space \widetilde{B} . Applying now the well-known Banach theorem, we obtain that the inverse mapping is continuous, and, consequently, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|u(x)\|_D \leq C \|(ux)\|_B$$

for every $u(x) \in \widetilde{B}$. Let σ be a real root of equation (2). It is easy to verify that $u(x) = e^{-i(x\sigma)}$ is, in this case, a solution of equation (1). Since

$$\|e^{-i(x\sigma)}\|_B = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|e^{-i(x\sigma)}\|_D = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n |\sigma_i|,$$

we obtain

$$1 + \sum_{i=1}^n |\sigma_i| \leq C.$$

Thus the theorem is proved.

Lemma. If every continuous solution $u(x)$ of equation (1) such that

$$|u(x)| \leq e^{a|x|}, \tag{3}$$

is a continuously differentiable function, then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that from $P(s) = 0$, $s = \sigma + i\tau$, $|\tau| \leq a$, it follows that $|\sigma| \leq C$.

The last condition means that the strip $|\tau| \leq a$ cuts out from the variety of all complex roots of the equation

$$P(s) = 0, \quad s = \sigma + i\tau, \quad (4)$$

a bounded set. The proof of this lemma can be obtained by an almost verbatim repetition of the preceding one, if as the spaces B and D one takes the spaces of continuous functions with the norms

$$\|\varphi\|_B = \sup |\varphi(x)|e^{-a|x|}, \quad \|\varphi(x)\|_D = \sup |\varphi(x)|e^{-a|x|} + \sum_{i=1}^n \max_{|x| \leq 1} \left| \frac{\partial \varphi(x)}{\partial x_i} \right|.$$

A direct consequence of the lemma is the following theorem:

Theorem 2. If every continuous solution of equation (1) which, for some $a > 0$, satisfies inequality (3) is a continuously differentiable function, then equation (1) is hypoelliptic.

Recall that equation (1) is called hypoelliptic if, for the roots of equation (4), from $|\sigma| \rightarrow \infty$ it follows that also $|\tau| \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, for nonhypoelliptic equations no information about the smoothness of the solution $u(x)$ can be obtained from estimate (3).

2. Suppose now that (1) is a hypoelliptic equation of type γ . This means that the variety of roots of equation (4) lies in the region

$$|\tau| \geq c|\sigma|^\gamma - c_1.$$

Theorem 3. If $u(x)$ is a solution of the hypoelliptic equation (1) and

$$|u(x)| \leq Ce^{a|x|^{1/\beta}}, \quad 0 < \beta \leq 1, \quad (5)$$

then in any bounded domain

$$|D^k u(x)| \leq C^k \Gamma \left[\frac{(1-\beta)}{\gamma} k \right]$$

with some constant $C > 0$.

Proof. As shown in (3), there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that for $l \leq p$, where p is the order of equation (1),

$$|D^l u(x)| \leq M \max_{|\xi| \leq 1} |u(x + \xi)|.$$

From this inequality and estimate (5) we obtain that

$$|D^l u(x)| \leq Ce^{a|x|^{1/\beta}}, \quad 0 \leq l \leq p, \quad (6)$$

with other constants C and a .

Let $\alpha(x)$ be an infinitely differentiable function such that $\alpha(x) = 1$ for $|x| \leq 1$ and $\alpha(x) = 0$ for $|x| \geq 2$. Consider the function

$$f_k(x) = P(D)u(x)\alpha(k^{-\beta}x).$$

It is clear that $f_k(x)$ is different from zero only when

$$k^\beta < |x| < 2k^\beta.$$

Moreover, from (6) it follows that $|f_k(x)| \leq A^k$. Let us now consider a fundamental solution $\mathcal{E}(x)$ of equation (1). Since $P(D)\mathcal{E}(x) = \delta(x)$, for $|x| < k^\beta$

$$D^k u(x) = P(D)u(x)\alpha(k^{-\beta}x) * D^k \mathcal{E}(x) = f_k(x) * D^k \mathcal{E}(x),$$

$$|D^k u(x)| = \left| \int_{k^\beta < |\xi| < 2k^\beta} f_k(\xi) D^k \mathcal{E}(x - \xi) d\xi \right| \leq C k^{n\beta} A^k \max_{k^\beta \leq |\xi| \leq 2k^\beta} |D^k \mathcal{E}(x - \xi)|. \quad (7)$$

If $x \in W$ lies in a bounded domain, then for sufficiently large k

$$\max_{k^\beta \leq |\xi| \leq 2k^\beta} |D^k \mathcal{E}(x - \xi)| \leq \max_{0.5k^\beta \leq |y| \leq 3k^\beta} |D^k \mathcal{E}(y)|. \quad (8)$$

Thus, in order to obtain an estimate for the growth of the derivatives $D^k u(x)$, $x \in W$, it is necessary to estimate $D^k \mathcal{E}(x)$. As was shown in (4), $\mathcal{E}(x)$ can be represented in the form

$$\mathcal{E}(x) = G(x) + F(x), \quad (9)$$

where $G(x)$ is an entire analytic function of order of growth not exceeding one, and

$$|D^k F(x)| \leq C^k e^{l|x|} \int_1^\infty e^{-L|x|r^\gamma} r^{k+n-1} dr \leq C^k e^{b|x|} \int_0^\infty e^{-L|x|r^\gamma} r^{k+n-1} dr. \quad (10)$$

Making the change of variable $L|x|r^\gamma = y$, $dr = \frac{1}{\gamma}(L|x|)^{-1/\gamma} y^{1/\gamma-1} dy$, we obtain

$$|D^k F(x)| \leq C_1^k e^{b_1|x|} |x|^{-k/\gamma} \int_0^\infty e^{-y} y^{\frac{k+n}{\gamma}-1} dy = C_1^k e^{b_1|x|} |x|^{-k/\gamma} \Gamma\left(\frac{k+n}{\gamma}\right).$$

Applying Stirling's formula, we shall have, for $|x| > 1$,

$$|D^k F(x)| \leq C_2^{k+|x|} \left(\frac{k}{|x|}\right)^{k/\gamma}. \quad (11)$$

From (11) we obtain that

$$\max_{0.5k^\beta \leq |x| \leq 3k^\beta} |D^k F(x)| \leq C_2^{4k} (2k)^{\frac{1-\beta}{\gamma}k} \leq C_3^k \Gamma\left[\frac{(1-\beta)k}{\gamma}\right]. \quad (12)$$

Let us now estimate $D^{kG}(x)$ for $|x| < 3k$. Since $G(x)$ is an entire function of order of growth not exceeding one, $|G(z)| \leq Ce^{a|z|}$. Applying Cauchy's formula with respect to one of the variables,

$$D^{kG}(x) = \frac{k!}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{G(z)}{(z_1 - x_1)^{k+1}} dz_1,$$

where as the contour L we take the circle $|z_1| = 4k$. In this case we obtain that for $|x| < 3k$

$$|D^{kG}(x)| \leq \frac{4Ck!}{k^k} e^{b_2k} \leq B^k. \quad (13)$$

Relations (7), (8), (9), (12), and (13) prove the theorem.

Let us derive several consequences from this theorem.

Corollary 1. If $u(x)$ satisfies the conditions of the preceding theorem and $\beta \geq 1 - \gamma$, then $u(x)$ is an analytic function of order of growth not exceeding

$$\frac{\gamma}{\gamma + \beta - 1}.$$

Corollary 2. If $u(x)$ is a solution of a hypoelliptic equation and satisfies inequality (3), then $u(x)$ is an entire analytic function of order of growth not exceeding one.

Corollary 3. If $u(x)$ is a solution of an elliptic equation that satisfies inequality (5), then $u(x)$ is an entire function whose order of growth does not exceed $1/\beta$.

This assertion follows from Corollary 1 if one notes that for elliptic equations $\gamma = 1$. In particular, for the Laplace equation we obtain that any harmonic function $u(x)$ which at infinity grows no faster than $Ce^{a|x|^\alpha}$ is an entire analytic function of several complex variables which in the complex plane satisfies the inequality $|u(z)| \leq C_1 e^{a_1|z|^{\alpha_1}}$, if $\alpha \geq 1$.

Corollary 4. If the hypoelliptic equation (1) has genus γ , then there exists no solution $u(x)$ of equation (1) which vanishes in some domain and satisfies the inequality

$$|u(x)| \leq Ce^{a|x|^{\frac{1}{1-\gamma}}}.$$

As an example let us consider the heat equation

$$\frac{\partial u(x_1, x_2)}{\partial x_1} = \frac{\partial^2 u(x_1, x_2)}{\partial x_2^2}.$$

It is not hard to compute that the genus of this equation is equal to $1/2$. Thus, every solution $u(x)$ of the heat equation which grows at infinity no faster than

$$Ce^{a(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}$$

is an analytic function in both variables.

3. An infinitely differentiable function $u(x)$, defined in a domain W , is called a function of class ρ in the direction y , if for every compact set $K \subset W$ there exists a constant C such that

$$\max_{x \in K} |\langle yD \rangle^k u(x)| \leq C^k \Gamma(\rho k)$$

(see ⁽¹⁾, p. 106). It is well known that all solutions of elliptic equations are analytic and, consequently, are functions of class 1 in all directions. We shall formulate an assertion which in a certain sense is converse to this.

Theorem 4. If every infinitely differentiable solution of equation (1) is a function of class 1 in the direction y , then the vector y is orthogonal to all real solutions of the equation $P_0(\xi) = 0$, where $P_0(s)$ is the principal part of the polynomial $P(s)$.

The proof of this theorem follows from the fact that for any characteristic ξ , $P_0(\xi) = 0$, there exists an infinitely differentiable solution $u(x)$ which is identically zero in the half-space $\langle x, \xi \rangle \geq 0$ (⁽¹⁾, p. 86). Such a solution $u(x)$ can be a function of class 1 only for those y for which $\langle y, \xi \rangle = 0$.

Theorem 5. Suppose that in some domain W every infinitely differentiable solution of equation (1) is a function of class ρ in the direction y . If y is not orthogonal to any real solution of the equation $P_0(\xi) = 0$, where $P_0(s)$ is the principal part of the polynomial $P(s)$, then $P(s)$ is a hypoelliptic polynomial and every solution of equation (1) is a function of class ρ in any other direction.

In conclusion I express my gratitude to Prof. G. E. Shilov for his great attention to this work and for a number of valuable comments.

Moscow State University
named after M. V. Lomonosov

Received
11 X 1960

References

1. L. Hörmander, *On the theory of general differential operators*, III, 1959.
2. I. M. Gelfand, G. E. Shilov, *Spaces of fundamental and generalized functions*, Moscow, 1958.
3. G. E. Shilov, UMN, 14, no. 5 (89) (1959).
4. V. V. Grushin, UMN, 16, no. 3 (1961).

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.