



Soviet-era science, translated into English

MATHEMATICS

1961

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196101.81256>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

MATHEMATICS

V. M. VOLOSOV

ON THE METHOD OF AVERAGING

(Presented by Academician I. G. Petrovskii on 14 X 1960)

§ 1. Formulation of the problem.

Consider the system

$$\dot{x} = \varepsilon X(x, y, t, \varepsilon), \quad \dot{y} = Y(x, y, t, \varepsilon); \quad (1)$$

x, X and y, Y are, respectively, n - and m -dimensional vectors; $\varepsilon > 0$ is a small parameter. For $\varepsilon = 0$, (1) turns into the degenerate system

$$\dot{y} = Y(x, y, t, 0) \equiv Y_0(x, y, t), \quad x = \text{const.} \quad (2)$$

The general solution of (2) is assumed known:

$$y = \varphi(x, y_0, t_0, t) \left(\varphi(x, y_0, t_0, t_0) \equiv y_0, \quad \text{rank} \left\| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y_0}, \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t_0} \right\| = m \right). \quad (3)$$

Suppose that along every solution of (2) the right-hand sides of (1) and the other functions that occur have mean values independent of y_0, t_0 (in § 4 it is shown that the assumption of independence of y_0, t_0 entails no loss of generality). We form the averaged system

$$\dot{\bar{x}} = \varepsilon \bar{X}_1(\bar{x}) \equiv \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_{t_0}^{t_0+T} X[\bar{x}, \varphi(\bar{x}, y_0, t_0, t), t, 0] dt. \quad (4)$$

Problem. Compare the solutions of (1) and (4) on a large interval $t \sim 1/\varepsilon$, and construct averaged systems of higher orders

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\bar{x}} &= \varepsilon \bar{X}_1(\bar{x}) + \varepsilon^2 A_2(\bar{x}) + \varepsilon^3 \dots, \\ \dot{\bar{y}} &= Y_0(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, t) + \varepsilon B_1(\bar{x}) + \varepsilon^2 B_2(\bar{x}) + \varepsilon^3 \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

which approximate the solutions of (1) with greater accuracy depending on the number of retained terms.

§ 2. Formal expansions.

Write (1) in the form

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= \varepsilon X_1(x, y, t) + \varepsilon^2 X_2(x, y, t) + \varepsilon^3 \dots, \\ \dot{y} &= Y_0(x, y, t) + \varepsilon Y_1(x, y, t) + \varepsilon^2 Y_2(x, y, t) + \varepsilon^3 \dots\end{aligned}\quad (6)$$

For (6) we seek a transformation

$$\begin{aligned}x &= \bar{x} + \varepsilon u_1(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, t) + \varepsilon^2 u_2(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, t) + \varepsilon^3 \dots, \\ y &= \bar{y} + \varepsilon v_1(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, t) + \varepsilon^2 v_2(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, t) + \varepsilon^3 \dots,\end{aligned}\quad (7)$$

leading to (5). Differentiating (7), using (5), and equating in (6) the coefficients of powers of ε , we obtain an infinite system of equalities for the terms of (5), (7). Their choice is not unique; the functions $A_2, A_3, \dots, B_1, B_2, \dots$ can, in general, be chosen arbitrarily.

For $u_1, v_1, u_2, v_2, \dots$ one obtains equations of the form

$$\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial t} + \left(Y_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) u_1 = X_1 - \bar{X}_1 \equiv S, \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial t} + \left(Y_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) v_1 - \left(v_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) Y_0 = R \quad (9)$$

(R is a certain known function, $\partial/\partial x = \{\partial/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial/\partial x_n\}$, $\partial/\partial y = \{\partial/\partial y_1, \dots, \partial/\partial y_m\}$), which are easily solved successively, since the solutions of the characteristic systems are known: for (8), $y = \varphi(x, y_0, t_0, t)$,

$$u = u_0 + \int_{t_0}^t S dt;$$

for (9), $y = \varphi$, $v = z(v_0, x_0, y_0, t_0, t)$, where z is the general solution of the linear system $\dot{z} = (z \partial/\partial y) Y_0 + R$, expressed in terms of the fundamental solutions of the homogeneous system $\dot{z} = (z \partial/\partial y) Y_0$, which are the elements of the matrix $\|\partial\varphi/\partial y_0, \partial\varphi/\partial t_0\|$. Thus, the formal expansions (5), (7) are found to arbitrary accuracy.

§ 3. Justification of the method. The domain of definition of (1) is $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$; $x, y, t \in G$; G is an open domain of the space x, y, t . We compare the solutions $x = x(x_0, y_0, t_0, t, \varepsilon)$, $y = y(x_0, y_0, t_0, t, \varepsilon)$ of system (1) and $\bar{x} = \bar{x}(x_0, t_0, t, \varepsilon)$ of system (4) with common fixed initial point $x_0, y_0, t_0 \in G$.

Let:

- 1) X, Y be continuous in ε uniformly with respect to x, y, t, ε .

- 2) $X_1 \equiv X|_{\varepsilon=0}$, $Y_0 \equiv Y|_{\varepsilon=0}$ be continuous and satisfy a Lipschitz condition in x ; X_1 is continuously differentiable in y ; $|\partial X/\partial y| \leq \text{const} < \infty$.
- 3) Through each point of G there passes a unique integral curve (3) of system (2), lying in G for $t_0 \leq t < \infty$, and extendable for $t \leq t_0$ up to the boundary of G or to $t > -\infty$.
- 4) The function (3) is continuous and continuously differentiable with respect to y_0, t_0 ;

$$|\partial\varphi/\partial y_0|, |\partial\varphi/\partial t_0| \leq \text{const} < \infty; \quad \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} D_i^2 \geq \sigma > 0;$$

D_i are the minors of order m of the matrix $\|\partial\varphi/\partial y_0, \partial\varphi/\partial t_0\|$.

- 5) In G there lies a manifold M , given parametrically: $x = a(\lambda)$, $y = b(\lambda)$, $t = c(\lambda)$ ($\lambda = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n+m}\} \in \Lambda$, Λ an open domain). The functions $a(\lambda)$, $b(\lambda)$, $c(\lambda)$ are continuous and continuously differentiable;

$$|\partial a/\partial \lambda|, |\partial b/\partial \lambda|, |\partial c/\partial \lambda| \leq \text{const} < \infty, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n+m+1} A_i^2 \geq \sigma > 0;$$

A_i are the minors of order $n + m$ of the matrix $\|\partial a/\partial \lambda, \partial b/\partial \lambda, \partial c/\partial \lambda\|$.

- 6) The absolute values of the angles of intersection of the curves (3) with M are bounded below by a positive number.
- 7) In G every curve (3) intersects M . If the point of intersection is not unique, then the projections of the curve (3) and M in the space x, y intersect no more than once, in a neighborhood of the curve $\partial X_1/\partial t \equiv \partial Y_0/\partial t \equiv 0$, $|Y_0| \neq 0$.
- 8) Uniformly with respect to $x_0, y_0, t_0 \in G$, there exists the limit (4). \bar{X}_1 is bounded, satisfies a Lipschitz condition, $|X_1 - \bar{X}_1| \leq \text{const} < \infty$; the derivatives of

$$\int_{t_0}^{t_0+T} X_1(x, \varphi, t) dt$$

with respect to y_0, t_0 ($0 \leq T < \infty$) are uniformly bounded.

- 9) For $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ there exist open bounded subdomains $G_0(\varepsilon) \subseteq G$, containing x_0, y_0, t_0 together with some ρ -neighborhood ($\rho = \text{const} > 0$). The transition time of the curve (3) from any point of G_0 to the nearest, in time, point of intersection with M does not exceed in absolute value K/ε ($K = \text{const} > 0$).

- 10) For $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ there exist open subdomains $G_1(\varepsilon) \subset G_0$, containing x_0, y_0, t_0 ; the distances from the points of G_1 to the boundary of G_0 are bounded below by a positive number. Introduce the interval $[t_0, t_1(\varepsilon)]$: $t_1 > t_0$, $t_1 - t_0 \leq K/\varepsilon$; for $t_0 \leq t \leq t_1$ the solutions $x(x_0, y_0, t_0, t, \varepsilon)$, $y(x_0, y_0, t_0, t, \varepsilon)$ do not leave G_1 .

Theorem. For any $K > 0$, $\delta > 0$ there exists an $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ ($\varepsilon_1 \leq \varepsilon_0$) such that, for $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_1$, $t \in [t_0, t_1(\varepsilon)]$, \bar{x} does not leave G and $|x - \bar{x}| \leq \delta$.

Remark 1. If one assumes that in G as a whole there exists a solution of (8), continuous in x , having continuous bounded derivatives with respect to y, t , then conditions 4), 5), 6), 7), 8) may be dispensed with, assuming only the existence of the uniform limit (4), boundedness, and the Lipschitz condition for \bar{X}_1 .

Remark 2. If one requires that the solution of (8) be bounded in G together with $\partial u/\partial x$, then one may assert that $|x - \bar{x}| = O(\varepsilon)$ for $t \sim 1/\varepsilon$.

The theorems on higher approximations of the method of §§ 1 and 2 are formulated and proved analogously. An analogue of the theorem from (1) has also been proved: namely, under certain conditions, in a neighborhood of an equilibrium point of system (4) there exists a solution of (1) that attracts or repels nearby solutions as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$. These theorems are not given here because of the cumbersomeness of their formulations.

§ 4. **More general systems.** Let a system of the type (1), (6) satisfy the conditions of §§ 1-3; let the mean values of the functions occurring exist, but be allowed to depend on y_0, t_0 . We describe this as follows: the general solution (2) has the form $y = \varphi(c, t)$ ($c = \{c_1, \dots, c_m\}$ are arbitrary constants); the mean values depend on c_1, \dots, c_k and do not depend on c_{k+1}, \dots, c_m ($k \leq m$); to the constants c_1, \dots, c_k there correspond the integrals

$$c = \Phi(x, y, t) \quad (c = \{c_1, \dots, c_k\}, \Phi = \{\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_k\}), \quad (10)$$

on the integral surfaces (10) the solutions of (2) admit the parametric representation

$$y = \psi(c_1, \dots, c_k, z, x, t) \quad (11)$$

($z = \{z_1, \dots, z_{m-k}\}$ is the set of parameters). (2) and (11) generate on the surfaces (10) the system $\dot{z} = Z(z, c, t, x) \equiv (Y_0 \partial/\partial y + \partial/\partial t)\theta$, where $\theta = \theta(x, y, t)$, $\psi[\Phi(x, y, t), \theta(x, y, t), x, t] \equiv y$, with general solution $z = z(z_0, t_0, c, x, t)$. Introduce in (1), (6) the new variables $x = x$, $c = \Phi(x, y, t)$, $z = \theta(x, y, t)$; we obtain the system

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dot{x} &= \varepsilon X \equiv \varepsilon P(x, c, z, t, \varepsilon), \\
 \dot{c} &= \varepsilon \left(X \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \Phi \equiv \varepsilon Q(x, c, z, t, \varepsilon), \\
 \dot{z} &= Z + \varepsilon \left(X \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \theta \equiv L(x, c, z, t, \varepsilon).
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

(12) belongs to type (1); the mean values do not depend on z_0, t_0 , and therefore the theory of §§ 1-3 is applicable. In this sense it was stated in § 1 that the assumption of independence of the mean values from y_0, t_0 does not restrict generality.

§ 5. Perturbed systems with slowly varying parameters. One may regard (2) as the unperturbed system, and (6) as a system perturbed by the functions $\varepsilon Y_1 + \varepsilon^2 Y_2 + \dots$, containing slowly varying parameters x . One seeks a representation of the solutions of (6) in terms of the solutions of (2). Under the conditions of § 4, averaging (12) by the method of §§ 1-3 and computing approximations for x, c, z , we find a representation of the solutions of (6) in the form $y = \psi(c, z, x, t)$ with any desired degree of accuracy. For systems of a special form this problem in the first approximation was consid-

was considered in ⁽³⁻⁵⁾; in ⁽⁵⁾ a similar problem was studied for the case when the surfaces (10) are bounded and closed, and the system (2) has an integral invariant. In ⁽⁶⁾ an asymptotic method is proposed, connected with an averaging scheme different from §§ 1-3 and having another domain of application.

§ 6. Canonical systems. Let the unperturbed system

$$\dot{q} = \frac{\partial}{\partial p} H(p, q, x), \quad \dot{p} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial q} H(p, q, x), \quad x = \text{const}, \tag{13}$$

correspond to the perturbed one:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dot{q} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial p} H + \varepsilon f^{(p)}(p, q, x, t, \varepsilon), & \dot{p} &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial q} H + \varepsilon f^{(q)}(p, q, x, t, \varepsilon), \\
 \dot{x} &= \varepsilon X(p, q, x, t, \varepsilon).
 \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

For (13) there exists an energy integral $E = H(p, q, x)$. If (13), (14) satisfy the conditions of § 5, then for E we find:

$$\dot{E} = \varepsilon \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_{t_0}^{t_0+T} \left(f^{(p)}(p, q, x, t, 0) \dot{p} + f^{(q)}(p, q, x, t, 0) \dot{q} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial x} X \right) dt. \tag{15}$$

According to (15), the rate of change of E is equal to the mean power of the forces $\varepsilon f^{(p)}$, $\varepsilon f^{(q)}$, εX . For systems of a special form, (15) was derived in ^(3,4).

§ 7. **Special cases.** Special cases of (1) are systems in standard form and systems with a rapidly rotating phase, studied in ^(1,2).

Summary. An averaging method has been developed and justified for systems of the general form (1), which is a generalization of the methods ^(1,2).

Moscow State University
named after M. V. Lomonosov

Received
13 X 1960

REFERENCES

1. N. N. Bogolyubov, On some statistical methods in mathematical physics, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 1945.
2. N. N. Bogolyubov, D. N. Zubarev, *Ukr. Mat. Zh.*, 7 (1955).
3. V. M. Volosov, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 121, No. 6 (1958); 123, No. 4 (1958); 133, No. 2 (1960).
4. G. S. Makaeva, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 121, No. 6 (1958).
5. D. V. Anosov, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat.*, 24, No. 5 (1960).
6. A. M. Molchanov, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 136, No. 6 (1961).

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.