



---

Soviet-era science, translated into English

# MATHEMATICS

1961

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196101.72992>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

## MATHEMATICS

O. A. LADYZHENSKAYA and N. N. URAL' TSEVA

# ON THE REGULARITY OF GENERALIZED SOLUTIONS OF QUASILINEAR ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS

*(Presented by Academician V. I. Smirnov on 15 IV 1961)*

In the papers <sup>(1)</sup> we proved the classical solvability "in the large" of the Dirichlet problem for elliptic equations of the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (a_i(x, u, u_{x_k})) + a(x, u, u_{x_k}) = 0, \quad n \geq 2, \quad (1)$$

and investigated the question of the smoothness of generalized solutions of linear and quasilinear elliptic equations of the form (1); in particular, we proved that any bounded generalized solution of the variational problem of finding functions for which the functional

$$I(u) = \int_{\Omega} F(x, u, u_{x_k}) dx, \quad u|_S = \varphi(s),$$

takes a stationary value belongs to the class  $C_{k,\alpha}(\Omega)$ , if  $F \in C_{k,\alpha}$ ,  $k \geq 3$ , and if certain "natural requirements" with respect to  $F$  are satisfied (see <sup>(1,a,6)</sup>). Here we strengthen these results, reducing the smoothness conditions on  $F$  and  $a_i$ , in a certain respect, to minimal ones. In addition, the method of proving the smoothness of generalized solutions is simpler than that given by us in <sup>(1)</sup>.

The main theorems of the present work are the following:

**Theorem 1.** Let  $a_i(x, u, p_k)$  and  $a(x, u, p_k)$ , as functions of their arguments, belong to the classes  $C_{1,\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ , and  $C_{1,0}$ , respectively, for  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $|u| \leq M^*$  and all finite  $p = (\sum_{k=1}^n p_k^2)^{1/2}$ . Suppose, moreover, that for the same values of  $x, u, p_k$  the conditions

$$|a_i|p + |a| \leq \mu(1 + p)^m, \quad a_i p_i \geq \nu_1 p^m - \mu_1, \quad m > 1, \nu_1 > 0, \quad (2)$$

$$\nu_2(1+p)^{m-2} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^2 \leq \frac{\partial a_i}{\partial p_j} \xi_i \xi_j \leq \mu(1+p)^{m-2} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^2, \quad \nu_2 > 0,$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial a_i}{\partial p_j} \right| p^2 + \left| \frac{\partial a_i}{\partial u} \right| p + \left| \frac{\partial a}{\partial p_i} \right| p + \left| \frac{\partial a}{\partial u} \right| + \left| \frac{\partial a_i}{\partial x_j} \right| p + \left| \frac{\partial a}{\partial x_i} \right| \leq \mu(1+p)^m \quad (3)$$

are fulfilled. Then every generalized solution  $u(x)$  of equation (1), i.e. a function from  $W_m^1(\Omega)$ , satisfying, for an arbitrary bounded  $\eta(x)$  from  $\overset{\circ}{W}_m^1(\Omega)$

---

\* Here and in <sup>(1,3)</sup>,  $u$  may be regarded as varying in any interval  $[M_1, M_2]$ , and not only in an interval of the form  $[-M, M]$ .

the identity

$$\int_{\Omega} [a_i(x, u, u_{x_k}) \eta_{x_i} - a(x, u, u_{x_k}) \eta] dx = 0, \quad (4)$$

with  $\text{vrai max}_{\Omega} |u| \leq M$ , belongs to the class  $C_{2,\alpha}(\Omega')$ , where  $\Omega'$  is any interior subdomain of the domain  $\Omega$ . If, in addition,  $a_i \in C_{l,\alpha}$ , and  $a \in C_{l-1,\alpha}$ ,  $l > 1$ , then  $u \in C_{l+1,\alpha}(\Omega')$ .

If  $S$  and  $u|_S$  belong to  $C_{l+1,\alpha}$ ,  $l \geq 1$ , then  $u(x)$  belongs to  $C_{l+1,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ .

A particular, but important, case of Theorem 1 is:

**Theorem 2.** Let  $F(x, u, p_k)$  be defined for  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $|u| \leq M$ , and  $|p_k| < \infty$ , and satisfy the following "natural" conditions:

- 1) On any compact set it belongs to the class  $C_{l,\alpha}$ ,  $l \geq 2$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ .
- 2)  $F$  has, with respect to

$$p = \left( \sum_{k=1}^n p_k^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

growth of order  $m > 1$ , more precisely,

$$\nu_1 p^m \leq F(x, u, p_k) \leq \mu'_1 (1+p)^m, \quad \nu_1 > 0,$$

and differentiating  $F$  and its derivatives with respect to  $p_k$  lowers the orders of their growth in  $p$  by at least one, while differentiating with respect to  $u$  and  $x_k$  does not increase them.

- 3) The Euler equation for it is uniformly elliptic:

$$\nu_2(1+p)^{m-2} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^2 \leq F_{p_i p_j}(x, u, p_k) \xi_i \xi_j \leq \mu_2(1+p)^{m-2} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^2, \quad \nu_2 > 0.$$

4) For sufficiently large  $p$ ,

$$F_{p_i}(x, u, p_k) p_i > \nu_3 p^m, \quad p \gg 1, \quad \nu_3 > 0.$$

Then any function  $u(x)$  from  $W_m^1(\Omega)$  with  $\text{vrai max}_\Omega |u| \leq M$ , satisfying the identity

$$\delta I(u) = \int_\Omega \left[ F_{u_{x_i}}(x, u, u_{x_k}) \eta_{x_i} + F_u(x, u, u_{x_k}) \eta \right] dx = 0$$

for arbitrary bounded  $\eta(x)$  from  $\overset{0}{W}_m^1(\Omega)$ , belongs to  $C_{l,\alpha}(\Omega')$ ,  $\Omega' \Subset \Omega$ . If  $u|_S$  and the boundary  $S$  belong to  $C_{l,\alpha}$ , then  $u(x) \in C_{l,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ .

Let us outline the general course of the proof of Theorems 1 and 2.

First we prove (see (1<sup>a,b</sup>)) that  $u(x)$  belongs to the class  $C_{0,\beta}$  with some  $\beta > 0$ . Then we establish (see (1<sup>c</sup>)) that  $u(x)$  has generalized second-order derivatives and satisfies equation (1) almost everywhere in  $\Omega$ , and that for  $u(x)$  the estimate

$$\int_{\Omega'} \left[ |\nabla u|^{m+2} + (1 + |\nabla u|)^{m-2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n u_{x_i x_j}^2 \right] dx \leq \text{const} \quad (5)$$

holds (if the boundary data are smooth, then (5) is true for  $\Omega' = \Omega$ ). It is now not difficult to verify, putting in (4)  $\eta = \xi_{x_k}$ , that  $u(x)$  satisfies the integral identity

$$\int_\Omega \left( \frac{da_i}{dx_k} \xi_{x_i} + a \xi_{x_k} \right) dx = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, n, \quad (6)$$

with any smooth finite function  $\xi(x)$ . Let  $b(x) = \min\{|\nabla u(x)|^2, N^2\}$ , and let  $\zeta(x)$  be a smooth nonnegative function equal to zero outside the ball  $K(\rho) \Subset \Omega$  of radius  $\rho$ . In view of conditions (2), (3) and estimate (5), in identity (6)

one may set  $\xi(x) = b^r u_{x_k} \zeta^2$ ,  $r \geq 1$ . Moreover, integration by parts easily verifies the inequality

$$\int_{K(\rho)} (1 + |\nabla u|)^m |\nabla u|^2 b^r \zeta^2 dx \leq C \text{osc}\{u, K(\rho)\} \int_{K(\rho)} \left[ (1 + |\nabla u|)^{m-2} \zeta^2 \sum_{i,j=1}^n u_{x_i x_j}^2 \right] dx$$

$$+ (1 + |\nabla u|)^{m+2} \zeta^2 + (1 + |\nabla u|)^m |\nabla \zeta|^2 b^r dx. \quad (7)$$

Using (6) with the indicated  $\xi$ , (7), and the fact that  $u$  belongs to the class  $C_{0,\beta}(\Omega)$ , we establish the boundedness of the integrals

$$\int_{\Omega'} \left[ |\nabla u|^{m+2r+2} + |\nabla u|^{m+2r-2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n u_{x_i x_j}^2 \right] dx \leq C(r) \quad (8)$$

successively for any  $r = 1, 2, \dots$

Let now  $\zeta(x)$  be a smooth nonnegative finite function in  $\Omega$ , and let  $w(x) = (|\nabla u|^2 \zeta^2)^{(m+2)/4}$ . We shall denote by  $A_\lambda$  the set of points of the domain  $\Omega$  for which  $w(x) > \lambda$ . Then, putting in (6)

$$\xi(x) = \begin{cases} (|\nabla u|^2 \zeta^2 - \lambda^{4/(m+2)}) / \zeta^m u_{x_k}, & |\nabla u|^2 \zeta^2 \geq \lambda^{4/(m+2)}, \\ 0, & |\nabla u|^2 \zeta^2 \leq \lambda^{4/(m+2)}, \end{cases} \quad k = 1, \dots, n,$$

and using condition (3), we obtain, for any  $\lambda \geq 0$ , the inequality

$$\int_{A_\lambda} |\nabla w|^2 dx \leq C \int_{A_\lambda} (1 + |\nabla u|)^{m+4} (1 + |\nabla \zeta|^2) dx. \quad (9)$$

Taking into account the boundedness of the integrals (8), one can estimate the right-hand side of (9) by Hölder's inequality, so that

$$\int_{A_\lambda} |\nabla w|^2 dx \leq C_1 \text{mes}^{1-\varepsilon} A_\lambda, \quad \varepsilon < \frac{2}{n}.$$

Hence (see (1<sup>b</sup>, 2)) the boundedness of  $w(x)$  follows, and therefore also that  $|\nabla u|$  in any  $\Omega' \Subset \Omega$ . Further, applying Morrey's theorem, which we proved for any  $n \geq 2$  (3, 1<sup>b</sup>), we are convinced of the validity of the first part of Theorem 1.

To prove the assertion of the theorem for a closed domain  $\bar{\Omega}$ , we first estimate  $M_1 = \text{vrai max}_S |\nabla u|$ , using the method of auxiliary functions of S. N. Bernstein and the fact that inside  $\Omega$ ,  $u'_{x_i}(x)$  is a smooth function. After this, in obtaining the estimates (8) and (9), instead of  $|\nabla u|$  one must take

$$z(x) = \begin{cases} |\nabla u| - M_1, & |\nabla u| \geq M_1, \\ 0, & |\nabla u| \leq M_1, \end{cases}$$

and regard  $\zeta(x)$  as not equal to zero on some part of the boundary  $S$ .

Leningrad Branch  
of the V. A. Steklov Mathematical Institute  
Academy of Sciences of the USSR

Received  
12 IV 1961

## REFERENCES CITED

1. O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, N. N. Ural' tseva, a) DAN, 135, No. 6 (1960); b) UMN, 16, issue 1 (97) (1961); c) DAN, 138, No. 1 (1961).
2. G. Stampacchia, Ann. Scuola Norm. Super. Pisa, Ser. 3, 12, Fasc. 3 (1958).
3. N. N. Ural' tseva, DAN, 130, No. 6 (1960).

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*