



---

Soviet-era science, translated into English

# MATHEMATICS

1961

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196101.68701>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

## MATHEMATICS

G. V. BADALYAN

### A CONDITION FOR THE EXPANDABILITY OF FUNCTIONS IN A QUASI-POWER SERIES IN THE BASIC INTERVAL

*(Presented by Academician M. V. Keldysh on 10 VII 1950)*

In the paper <sup>(1)</sup> a condition was established for the expandability of functions of certain quasi-analytic classes in the quasi-power series

$$\varphi(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \omega_n(u, t), \quad (1)$$

where  $t \in (0, u]$ ,

$$\omega_n(u, t) = \int_u^t t_1^{\gamma_1-1} dt_1 \int_u^{t_1} t_2^{\gamma_2-\gamma_1-1} dt_2 \cdots \int_u^{t_{n-1}} t_n^{\gamma_n-\gamma_{n-1}-1} dt_n;$$

$$0 = \gamma_0 < \gamma_1 \leq \gamma_2 \leq \cdots \rightarrow \infty, \quad \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma_\nu} = \infty;$$

$$a_0 = \varphi(u), \quad a_k = u^{-\gamma_k + \gamma_{k-1} + 1} \varphi_k(u), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots;$$

$$\varphi_1(u) = \varphi'(u), \quad \varphi_{k+1}(u) = \left( \frac{\varphi_k(u)}{u^{\gamma_k - \gamma_{k-1} - 1}} \right)', \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \quad (2)$$

In the particular case when  $\gamma_k = k$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , series (1) coincides with the ordinary Taylor series.

In the present paper theorem (2,7) of <sup>(1)</sup> is refined, and an analogous theorem is also proved for slow growth of the sequence  $\{\gamma_\nu\}$ —a case which was not considered in <sup>(1)</sup>.

**Definition 1.** We shall agree to say that a function  $\varphi(t)$  belongs to the class  $C_{\{\dot{m}_n\}}([0, 1])$  of analytic or quasi-analytic functions if the following conditions are satisfied:

1)  $|\varphi^{(n)}(t)| \leq \dot{m}_n$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ , where the sequence  $\{\dot{m}_n\}$  is convexly regularized with respect to logarithms:

$$2) \int_0^\infty \frac{\log \dot{T}(r)}{r^2} dr = \infty, \quad \dot{T}(r) = \sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{r^n}{\dot{m}_n};$$

3) the counting function  $n(r) = n(r, \beta)$  of the sequence

$$\left\{ \beta \frac{\dot{m}_n}{\dot{m}_{n-1}} \right\},$$

$0 < \beta < \infty$ , is such that

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r^2}{n(r)} \int_r^\infty \frac{n(t) dt}{t(t^2 + r^2)} < \Delta_1 = \log \Delta. \quad (3)$$

**Definition 2.** We shall agree to say that

$$\varphi(t) \in C_{\{\dot{m}_n\}, \varkappa}([0, 1]),$$

if

$$t^\varkappa \varphi(t) \in C_{\{\dot{m}_n\}}([0, 1]),$$

where  $\varkappa$  is an arbitrary number.

**Theorem 1.** Every function  $\varphi(t)$  belonging on  $[0, 1]$  to some class  $C_{\{\dot{m}_n\}, \varkappa}$  of analytic or quasi-analytic functions is expanded into the quasipower series, convergent on  $(0, u]$ ,  $0 < u < 1$ ,

$$\varphi(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \omega_n(u, t)$$

for the sequence  $\{\gamma_\nu\}$ , if the conditions

$$\gamma_0 = 0, \quad \gamma_\nu = \beta \frac{\dot{m}_\nu}{\dot{m}_{\nu-1}}, \quad \nu = 1, 2, \dots,$$

are satisfied, where  $\beta > 6ea\Delta$ ,  $a \geq 2 \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{1}{1-u}}\right)$ , and  $\Delta$  is defined in (3).

**Corollary.** Theorem 1 is valid, in particular, when condition (3) of Definition 1 is replaced by the condition

$$\sup_{t>r} \frac{n(t)}{t} < \frac{n(r)}{r} \log \Delta. \quad (3')$$

Theorem (2,7) of work <sup>1</sup> is a special case of the corollary of Theorem 1, since from the condition imposed there on  $\left\{\frac{m_n}{m_{n-1}}\right\}$  it follows that  $\frac{n(t)}{t} \downarrow$  monotonically, and moreover the number  $\beta$  obtained here is smaller than the corresponding coefficient of  $\frac{m_n}{m_{n-1}}$  in (1).

Let us note that Theorem 1 gives a solution of Carleman's problem, posed in 1926, on representing a quasi-analytic function by its element (the set of successive derivatives at one point) under an additional restriction, namely under condition (3).

We now consider the case when the counting function  $n(r)$  of the sequence  $\left\{\frac{m_n}{m_{n-1}}\right\}$  is such that

$$n(r)\Delta \geq r, \quad (4)$$

where  $\Delta > 0$  is some number. In the latter case we shall say that the sequence  $\left\{\frac{m_n}{m_{n-1}}\right\}$  grows slowly.

**Definition 1'.** A function  $\varphi(t)$  on  $[0, 1]$  belongs to the class  $C_{\{m_n\}}([0, 1])$  of analytic or quasi-analytic functions if the following conditions are satisfied:

- 1)  $|\varphi^{(n)}(t)| \leq m_n, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad t \in [0, 1]$ , where the sequence  $\{m_n\}$  is logarithmically convex regularized;
- 2)

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\log T(r)}{r^2} dr = \infty, \quad T(r) = \sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{r^n}{m_n}.$$

The class of functions  $C_{\{m_n\}}([0, 1])$  differs from the class  $C_{\{m_n\}}([0, 1])$  in that in the latter case the third condition (3) is omitted.

Analogously, the class

$$C_{\{m_n, \varkappa\}}([0, 1])$$

is defined.

**Theorem 2.** Every function

$$\varphi(t) \in C_{\{m_n, \varkappa\}}([0, 1])$$

can be expanded into a quasi-power series convergent on  $(0, u]$ ,  $0 < u < 1$ ,

$$\varphi(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \omega_n(u, t)$$

for the sequence  $\{\gamma_\nu\}$ , if the conditions

$$\gamma_0 = 0, \quad \gamma_\nu = \beta \frac{m_\nu}{m_{\nu-1}}, \quad \nu = 1, 2, \dots,$$

are satisfied, where  $\beta > 12 \cdot 3^\Delta e$ , and  $\Delta > 0$  is defined in (4).

In contrast to the proof of theorem (2,7) of paper <sup>1</sup>, here the function  $\varphi(t)$  is first expanded in a Fourier series in Legendre polynomials, then generalizedly differentiated in the sense of (2), after which  $|\varphi_{n+1}(t)|$  is estimated from above. The proof is completed by the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} R_n(u, t) &= \varphi(t) - \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \omega_k(u, t) = \\ &= \int_u^t \varphi_{n+1}(t_0) dt_0 \int_{t_0}^t t_1^{\gamma_1-1} dt_1 \int_{t_0}^{t_1} t_2^{\gamma_2-\gamma_1-1} dt_2 \dots \int_{t_0}^{t_{n-1}} t_n^{\gamma_n-\gamma_{n-1}-1} dt_n. \end{aligned}$$

Let us note that the estimate of  $|\varphi_{n+1}(t)|$  under the conditions of the second theorem differs in many respects from the same estimate in theorem 1.

Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics  
Academy of Sciences of the ArmSSR

Received  
21 V 1960

## REFERENCES

<sup>1</sup> G. V. Badalyan, *Izv. AN ArmSSR*, 6, No. 5–6 (1953).

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*