



---

Soviet-era science, translated into English

# MATHEMATICS

1961

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196101.60164>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

## MATHEMATICS

**M. B. KAPILEVICH**

### ON THE EFFECTIVE SOLUTION OF SINGULAR TRICOMI PROBLEMS FOR THE CHAPLYGIN EQUATION

*(Presented by Academician I. N. Vekua, 17 VII 1961)*

In the theory of transonic gas flows an important role is played by the equation of S. A. Chaplygin  $\eta z_{\theta\theta} + z_{\eta\eta} + b(\eta)z_\eta = 0$ , in which, near the line

$$\eta = 0 \quad b(\eta) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} b_m \eta^m.$$

In the domain  $\eta < 0$ , in the variables  $x = \theta - \frac{2}{3}(-\eta)^{3/2}$ ,  $y = \theta + \frac{2}{3}(-\eta)^{3/2}$ , it takes the form

$$G[z] = z_{xy} + A(z_x - z_y) = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$A = \frac{1}{6s} + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m s^{(2m-1)/3}, \quad s = y - x; \quad a_m = \frac{1}{4}(-1)^{m+1}(3/4)^{(2m-1)/3}b_m.$$

We shall call  $z(x, y)$  and  $\bar{z}(x, y)$  solutions of the first and second singular Tricomi problems if these functions, in a certain domain  $D$  ( $0 \leq x \leq y \leq x_0$ ), satisfy equation (1) and the boundary conditions

$$z(x, x) = \tau(x), \quad \bar{z}_\eta(x, x) = \nu(x), \quad z(0, y) = \bar{z}(0, y) = 0, \quad (2)$$

where  $\tau(0) = 0$ ,  $\tau(x)$  and  $\nu(x) \in C^2[0, x_0]$ . Problems of this type occur, for example, in the calculation of the characteristics of a wing profile<sup>(1-3)</sup>. To solve problems (2) it is sufficient to find their resolvents of Duhamel type  $U(x, y)$  and  $\bar{U}(x, y)$ —discontinuous integrals of equation (1) with boundary data (see<sup>(4)</sup>, formulas (5)):

$$U(x, x) = \bar{U}_\eta(x, x) = 1, \quad U(0, y) = \bar{U}(0, y) = 0. \quad (3)$$

For the purpose of constructing  $U(x, y)$ , make in (1) the substitution  $s = y - x$ ,  $t = x/y$ , and then, in the equation arising thereby,

$$Q[z] = s(1-t^2)z_{st} - t(1-t)^2z_{tt} - s^2z_{ss} + [As(1-t^2) - (1-t)^2]z_t - 2As^2z_s = 0 \quad (4)$$

put

$$z = U(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} U_n(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s^{n/3} f_n(t). \quad (5)$$

Then we obtain the recurrent system of ordinary differential equations

$$L_{m+2}[f] = t(1-t)^2 f''_{m+2}(t) - \frac{1}{6}(1-t)[2m-1 + (2m+11)t] f'_{m+2}(t) + \frac{1}{9}m(m+2) f_{m+2}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^m a_{n/2} \left[ (1-t^2) f'_{m-n}(t) - \frac{2}{3}(m-n) f_{m-n}(t) \right]. \quad (6)$$

Here  $m = -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ;  $f_{-2}(t) = f_{-1}(t) = 0$ ;  $a_{1/2} = a_{3/2} = a_{5/2} = \dots = 0$ . In addition, in order to ensure fulfillment of the boundary conditions (3), we shall assume that  $f_0(0) = 0$ ,  $f_0(1) = 1$ , and also  $f_n(0) = 0$ ,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} [(1-t)^{n/3} f_n(t)] = 0, \quad \text{if } n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (7)$$

For  $m = -1, 1, 3, 5, \dots$  the right-hand sides of formulas (7) contain only the functions  $f_k(t)$  with odd indices  $k = 1, 3, 5, \dots, m$ , i.e., these equations have the form  $L_{m+2}[f_{m+2}] = \varphi_{m+2}(f_1, f_3, f_5, \dots, f_m)$ , where  $\varphi_{m+2}(0, 0, 0, \dots, 0) = 0$ . In the first of these equations  $L_1[f_1] = 0$  ( $m = -1$ ) the right-hand side  $\varphi_1 \equiv 0$ , and, as follows from the known properties of Gauss functions, for its solution  $f_1(t) = B_1 \sqrt{t} F(4/3, 5/6, 3/2; t)$ , satisfying the requirement  $f_1(0) = 0$ , the second of conditions (7) can be fulfilled only for  $B_1 = 0$ , i.e., when  $f_1(t) \equiv 0$ . This, in turn, means that  $\varphi_3 \equiv 0$ , and  $f_3(t)$  is likewise determined from the homogeneous equation  $L_3[f] = 0$ . On the other hand, it is not difficult to see that for any values  $m = 1, 2, \dots$  the homogeneous equations  $L_{m+2}[f] = 0$  cannot yield nontrivial solutions  $f_{m+2}^{(0)}(t)$  satisfying both requirements (7) simultaneously. Indeed, in a neighborhood of the point  $t = 0$

$$f_{m+2}^{(0)}(t) = (1-t)^{-m/3} \left[ A_{m+2} F(5/6, -m/3, 1/6 - m/3; t) + B_{m+2} t^{5/6+m/3} F(5/3 + m/3, 5/6, 11/6 + m/3; t) \right]. \quad (8)$$

Putting here  $A_{m+2} = 0$ , we obtain the zero value  $f_{m+2}^{(0)}(0) = 0$ ; however, continuing the remaining hypergeometric function into a neighborhood of the point  $t = 1$ , we find that the limit

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} [(1-t)^{(m+2)/3} f_{m+2}^{(0)}(t)] = B_{m+2} \Gamma(2/3) \Gamma(11/6 + m/3) / \Gamma(5/6) \Gamma(5/3 + m/3)$$

turns to zero only when  $B_{m+2} = 0$ , i.e., if  $f_{m+2}^{(0)}(t) \equiv 0$ . Thus, step by step we arrive at the conclusion  $f_1(t) \equiv f_3(t) \equiv f_5(t) \equiv \dots \equiv 0$ , and, consequently, the summation in formula (5) must be carried out only over even indices  $n$ . In other words, the function  $U$  admits an expansion in a series of the form (5) in positive integral powers of the variable  $\eta = -(3/4s)^{2/3}$ . The integral of the first equation  $L_0[f] = 0$  ( $m = -2$ ) of system (6) with the boundary data  $f_0(0) = 0$ ,  $f_0(1) = 1$  is the Joukovsky resolvent  $U_0(x, y)$  of the Euler-Poisson equation (4):

$$U_0(x, y) = f_0(t) = B_0 t^{1/6} F(1/6, 1/3, 7/6; t) = I_t(1/6, 2/3), \quad (9)$$

where  $B_0 \Gamma(7/6) \Gamma(2/3) = \Gamma(5/6)$ . Further, in finding a suitable integral of the second ( $m = 0$ ) of equations (6),

$$L_2[f] = t(1-t)f_2''(t) + 1/6(1-11t)f_2'(t) = a_0(1+t)f_0'(t) \quad (10)$$

one may disregard the solution  $f_2^{(0)}(t)$  corresponding to the homogeneous equation  $L_2[f] = 0$ , since the function needed by us with boundary data (7) is contained among the particular solutions  $f_2^{(1)}(t)$  of equation (10) and has the form  $f_2(t) = -3/2 a_0 f_0(t)$ . In a similar way, from conditions (6), (7) the functions  $f_4(t), f_6(t), \dots$  are determined uniquely. Formula (9) shows that as  $t$  increases from  $t = 0$  to  $t = 1$ ,  $f_0(t)$  varies within the limits  $0 \leq f_0(t) \leq 1$ . Thus,  $|f_2(t)| \leq 3/2 |a_0|$ , when  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , and, consequently, in a neighborhood of the line  $\eta = 0$  the second term  $U_2 = s^{2/3} f_2(t)$  of expansion (5) will be a quantity of at least order  $|\eta|$ . In an analogous way, estimating  $f_n(t)$  for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , we arrive at the conclusion that near the sonic line  $\eta = 0$

$$U = U_0 + O(|\eta|).$$

Comparing the Riemann resolvents  $U$  and  $U_0$  makes it possible to give the found connection formulas, which transform the solutions  $z_0$  and  $\bar{z}_0$  of the Euler-Poisson equation into the integrals  $z, \bar{z}$  of the Chaplygin equation. Such connections, as in (5), are established for the case of transformed initial values  $\tau(x) = F(x)\tau_0(x)$ ,  $\nu(x) = P(x)\nu_0(x)$ . Let us also note that the well-known maximum principle for solutions  $z(x, y)$ , as well as the lemma proved in (6), characterizing the behavior of the function  $z(x, y)$  at its extremal points, are direct consequences of the corresponding inequalities  $0 \leq U(x, y) \leq 1$ ,  $U_n(x, x) > 0$ ,  $(x, y) \in \bar{D}$ , for the resolvent  $U(x, y)$ .

Let us turn, finally, to the construction of the Riemann kernel  $\bar{U}(x, y)$  of the second singular Tricomi problem. For this purpose we shall seek the solution of equation (4) in the form of the series

$$\bar{z} = \bar{U}(x, y) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} s^{n/3} f_n(t) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (4/3)^{n/3} (-\eta) n/2 f_n(t), \quad (11)$$

where, in order to satisfy the conditions (2), we require that ( $n = 3, 4, 5, \dots$ )

$$f_2(0) = 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} [3/2(1-t)f_2'(t) - f_2(t)] = (3/4)^{2/3}, \quad (12)$$

$$f_n(0) = 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} (1-t)^{(n-2)/3} [nf_n(t) - 3(1-t)f_n'(t)] = 0. \quad (13)$$

Then here too we arrive at the system (6), in which this time  $f_0(t) \equiv 0$ , so that  $f_2(t)$  satisfies the homogeneous equation  $L_2[f] = 0$ . Its solution with the boundary data (12) is the function  $f_2(t) = B_2 t^{5/6} F(5/3, 5/6, 11/6; t)$ , where  $2B_2 \Gamma(1/3) \Gamma(11/6) = (4/3)^{1/3} \Gamma(1/6)$ . Further it is not difficult to see that in the present case as well  $f_3 = f_5 = f_7 = \dots = 0$ . On the other hand, in computing the function  $f_4(t)$  we can no longer confine ourselves to the particular solution  $f_4^{(1)}(t) = -3/2 a_0 f_2(t)$  of the nonhomogeneous equation

$$L_4[f] = t(1-t)^2 f_4''(t) - 1/2(1-t)(1+5t)f_4'(t) + 8/9 f_4(t) = a_0 [(1-t^2)f_2'(t) - 4/3 f_2(t)],$$

so that now  $f_4(t)$  must be constructed in the form of the sum  $f_4(t) = f_4^{(0)}(t) + f_4^{(1)}(t)$ , where  $f_4^{(0)}(t)$  is that integral of the equation  $L_4[f] = 0$  for which  $f_4^{(0)}(0) = 0$ , namely

$$f_4^{(0)}(t) = B_4 t^{7/2} (1-t)^{-2/3} F(7/3, 5/6, 5/2; t),$$

and the second of the conditions (13) gives  $3^{4/3} \Gamma(5/6) B_4 = -8\sqrt{\pi} a_0$ . Continuing this process further, in an analogous way we uniquely determine  $f_6(t), f_8(t), \dots$ , and thereby prove the regularity of the expansion (11). In the case of infinitely differentiable initial functions  $\tau(x)$  and  $\nu(x)$  for the Euler-Poisson equation ( $A = 1/6s$ ), there hold the symbolic expansions (5):

$$z_0 = B_0 t^{1/6} (1-t)^{2/3} \Xi_1(5/6, 1/6, 1, 7/6; t, -\delta_x) \tau(x),$$

$$\bar{z}_0 = \bar{B}_0 \eta t^{5/6} (1-t)^{-2/3} \Xi_1(1/6, 5/6, 1, 11/6; t, -\delta_x) \nu(x),$$

where  $\Xi_1$  is Humbert's confluent hypergeometric function,  $\delta_x = xD_x$ , and  $\bar{B}_0 = -(4/3)^{2/3} B_2$ . In constructing analogous resolving operators  $z = V(x, y, D_x) \tau(x)$  and  $z = V(x, y, D_x) \nu(x)$  in the case (1), one may use the following device (4).

By the substitution  $z(x, y) = e^{kx} V(x, y)$  we transform (1) to the form

$$G[V] + k(V_y + AV) = 0. \quad (14)$$

Then, in order to find the function  $V(x, y, D_x)$ , it is enough to determine the solution  $V(x, y, k)$  ( $k = D_x = \text{const}$ ) of equation (14) under the boundary conditions  $V(x, x) = 1$ ,  $V(0, y) = 0$ , i.e. to find the Riemann resolvent of the first singular Tricomi problem for equation (14). The operator  $V(x, y, k)$  will be ...

where it should be sought in the form of the series  $V(x, y, k) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} k^n W_n(x, y)$ , substitution of which into (14) gives the system

$$G[W_0] = 0; \quad G[W_{m+1}] + W_{my} + AW_m = 0 \quad (m = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots). \quad (15)$$

To this system we adjoin the equalities  $W_0(0, y) = W_m(0, y) = W_m(x, x) = 0$ ,  $W_0(x, x) = 1$  for  $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Then it is clear that  $W_0$  coincides with the resolvent  $U(x, y)$  computed above. The subsequent functions  $W_m(x, y)$ , naturally, like  $W_0$ , should be sought in the form of expansions  $W_m = s^m \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s^{n/3} \Phi_n^{(m)}(t)$  from the equations ( $s = y - x$ ,  $t = x/y$ ):

$$Q[W_{m+1}] = st(1-t)W_{mt} - s^2W_{ms} - As^2W_m \quad (m = 0, 1, 2, \dots). \quad (16)$$

This leads to ordinary differential equations for the functions  $\Phi_n^{(m)}(t)$ . Thus, for example, in the case when  $A = 1/6s$ , one may put  $W_m = s^m \Phi_m(t)$ , and then (16) gives

$$\Delta_{m+1}[\Phi] = t(1-t)^2 \Phi_{m+1}'' - (1-t) \cdot [m+1/6 + (m+13/6)t] \Phi_{m+1}' - (m+1/3)(m+1) \Phi_{m+1} = (m+1/6) \Phi_m - t(1-t) \Phi_m'$$

where  $m = -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , with  $\Phi_{-1}(t) \equiv 0$ . At the same time the boundary values for  $W_m$  are ensured by the equalities  $\Phi_0(0) = \Phi_m(0) = 0$ ,  $\Phi_m(1) = 1$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} [(1-t)^m \Phi_m(t)] = 0$ , if  $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Hence, first of all, it follows that  $\Phi_0(t) = f_0(t)$ . Next we establish that for  $m = 0$  and  $m = 1$  the inhomogeneous equations and the required boundary conditions can be satisfied by setting

$$\Phi_1(t) = \frac{1}{2} \Phi_0(t) - 3t \Phi_0'(t)$$

and

$$\Phi_2(t) = \frac{7}{16} \Phi_1(t) - \frac{3}{8} t \Phi_1'(t).$$

Finally, by induction we find the recurrence formula

$$m(m-2/3) \Phi_m(t) = (m-5/6) \Phi_{m-1}(t) - t \Phi_{m-1}'(t) \quad (m = 1, 2, 3, \dots),$$

from which, taking into account the known Gauss relations for contiguous hypergeometric functions, we obtain

$$\Phi_m(t) = \mu_m t^{m+1/6} F(m+1/6, m+1/3, m+7/6; t) = \bar{\mu}_m B_t(m+1/6, 2/3-m),$$

where  $\mu_m(m + 1/6) = (-1)^m \bar{\mu}_m$ ;  $m! \Gamma(1/6) \Gamma(2/3) \bar{\mu}_m = \Gamma(5/6)$ , and  $B_t(p, q)$  is the incomplete Euler beta-function. Analogous constructions are also carried out in constructing the resolving operator  $V(x, y, D_x)$ .

Received  
11 VII 1961

## REFERENCES

1. W. G. Vinceti, C. B. Wagoner, NACA Techn. Note, Nos. 2339, 2588, 2832, (1951-1952).
2. G. N. Kopylov, *Prikl. matem. i mekh.*, **22**, issue 1, 133 (1958).
3. S. V. Fal' kovich, *Prikl. matem. i mekh.*, **25**, issue 2, 218 (1961).
4. M. B. Kapilevich, *DAN*, **137**, No. 5, 1053 (1961).
5. M. B. Kapilevich, *DAN*, **132**, No. 5, 1005 (1960).
6. S. Agmon, L. Nirenberg, M. H. Protter, *Comm. on Pure and Appl. Math.*, **4**, No. 4, 455 (1953).

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*