



---

Soviet-era science, translated into English

# On a Class of Completely Continuous Operators

V. I. Matsaev

1961

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196101.32370>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

**On a Class of Completely Continuous Operators**

**V. I. Matsaev**

*(Presented by Academician A. N. Kolmogorov on 16 III 1961)*

In the present note we adhere to the terminology and notation of <sup>(1)</sup>. In particular, by  $\mathfrak{S}_\infty$  is meant the Banach space of all completely continuous operators acting in a separable Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}$  with the usual norm  $|A|_\infty = \sup_{f \in \mathfrak{H}} (|Af|/|f|)$ ; by  $\mathfrak{S}_p$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ), the Banach space of all operators  $A \in \mathfrak{S}_\infty$  for which  $(|A|_p)^p = \sum s_n^p(A) = \text{Sp}((A^*A)^{p/2}) < \infty$ , where  $s_n(A)$  is the sequence, numbered in decreasing order with multiplicities taken into account, of the eigenvalues of the operator  $(A^*A)^{1/2}$ ; and by  $\mathfrak{S}_\omega$ , the Banach space of operators  $A \in \mathfrak{S}_\infty$  for which  $|A|_\omega = \sum (2n-1)^{-1} s_n(A) < \infty$ . It is obvious that  $\mathfrak{S}_p \subset \mathfrak{S}_\omega$  for any  $p$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ).

The set  $\hat{\mathfrak{S}}_\omega$  of all self-adjoint operators in  $\mathfrak{S}_\omega$  is a real subspace of the space  $\mathfrak{S}_\omega$ . In the space  $\hat{\mathfrak{S}}_\omega$  we introduce a new norm  $|H|_{\omega, K^*}$ , topologically equivalent to the original one, by setting  $|H|_{\omega, K^*} = |H^+|_\omega + |H^-|_\omega$ , where  $H^+$  and  $H^-$  are mutually orthogonal nonnegative operators whose difference is equal to  $H$ .

M. S. Brodskii <sup>(2)</sup> showed that every Volterra operator  $A$  (i.e. every completely continuous operator  $A$  with the single spectral point  $\lambda = 0$ ) admits a representation convergent in the norm of  $\mathfrak{S}_\infty$  \*

$$A = 2i \int_{\mathfrak{P}} PX dP \tag{1}$$

through its imaginary Hermitian component  $X$  and a maximal eigenchain  $\mathfrak{P}$ . Conversely, if for some  $X = X^* \in \mathfrak{S}_\infty$  the integral (1) converges in the norm of  $\mathfrak{S}_\infty$ , then  $A$  is the unique Volterra operator possessing the eigenchain  $\mathfrak{P}$  and imaginary component  $X$ . We shall denote the real component of the integral (1) by  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{P}, X)$ . A necessary condition for convergence of the integral (1) is the condition

$$(P - Q)X(P - Q) = 0, \tag{2}$$

where  $(P, Q)$  is an arbitrary break of the chain  $\mathfrak{P}$ . The sufficiency of this condition for  $X \in \mathfrak{S}_1$  was proved by M. S. Brodskii <sup>(2)</sup>, and for  $X \in \mathfrak{S}_p$  ( $p > 1$ ) by I. Ts. Gohberg and M. G. Krein (see <sup>(1, 3)</sup>).

**Theorem 1.** *For every operator  $X \in \mathfrak{S}_\omega$  and every chain  $\mathfrak{P}$  satisfying condition (2), the integral (1) converges. Moreover, the relation holds*

$$\sup |G|_\infty = \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{s_j(H^+) + s_j(H^-)}{2j-1} = \frac{2}{\pi} |H|_{\omega, K^*}, \quad (3)$$

\* Here we use the definition and notation for the integral of triangular truncation proposed by I. Ts. Gohberg and M. G. Krein <sup>(1)</sup>.

where the supremum on the left is taken over all Volterra operators  $A = G + iH$  with fixed imaginary component  $H = H^* \in \mathfrak{S}_\omega$ .

On the basis of the Fan-Tzye inequalities (Ky Fan <sup>(4)</sup>) one first establishes

**Lemma 1.** Let  $H = H^* \in \mathfrak{S}_\omega$ ; then

$$\sup \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (2n-1)^{-1} (H\varphi_n, \varphi_n) = |H|_{\omega, K^*}, \quad (4)$$

where the least upper bound is taken over all possible orthonormal systems  $\{\varphi_j\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$  from  $\mathfrak{H}$ .

Proceeding to the proof of Theorem 1, we note that it is enough to prove its first assertion for self-adjoint operators.

Without loss of generality, we shall suppose that the chain  $\mathfrak{P}$  is continuous. First assume that  $H = H^*$  is a finite-dimensional operator. For  $X = H$ , the integral (1) converges to some Volterra operator  $A = G + iH$ , which belongs to  $\mathfrak{S}_2$ . Using the integral (1), construct a Volterra operator  $B = K + iL$  with its own chain  $\mathfrak{P}$  and real component  $K = (\cdot, \varphi)\varphi$ , where  $\varphi$  is a given unit vector from  $\mathfrak{H}$ . The completely continuous operator  $AB$  has the continuous proper chain  $\mathfrak{P}$  and, consequently, is also Volterra. Since  $A, B \in \mathfrak{S}_2$ , it follows that  $AB \in \mathfrak{S}_1$ , and by a theorem of V. B. Lidskii <sup>(4)</sup>,  $\text{Sp}(AB) = 0$ . Taking the real components of both sides of this equality, we obtain  $\text{Sp}(GK - HL) = 0$ , or

$$\text{Sp}(GK) = \text{Sp}(HL). \quad (5)$$

By a theorem of M. S. Livshits (see, for example, <sup>(3)</sup>) the operator  $B$  is unitarily equivalent to an inessential extension of the integration operator  $I$  in  $\mathcal{L}^2(0, 1)$ :

$$If = 2 \int_0^x f(t) dt.$$

It follows that the nonzero eigenvalues of the operator  $L$  are equal to  $2/\pi(2j-1)$  ( $j = 0, \pm 1, \dots$ ).

Now (5) can be rewritten in the form

$$(G\varphi, \varphi) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} (2j-1)^{-1} (H\varphi_j, \varphi_j), \quad (6)$$

where  $\{\varphi_j\}$  are the eigenvectors of the operator  $L$ . Applying Lemma 1 and taking on the left in (5) the least upper bound with respect to  $\varphi$ , we obtain

$$|G|_{\infty} \leq \frac{2}{\pi} |H|_{\omega, K^*}. \quad (7)$$

Let now  $H \in \mathfrak{S}_{\omega}$ . Take a sequence of finite-dimensional operators  $H_n = H_n^*$  such that  $|H_n - H|_{\omega} \rightarrow 0$ . By virtue of (7) we have

$$|\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{P}, H_n) - \mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{P}, H_m)| \rightarrow 0;$$

therefore the operators  $\mathfrak{S}(H_n) + iH_n$  converge to some Volterra operator  $A = G + iH$ , which has the proper chain  $\mathfrak{P}$  and, by the above-mentioned theorem of M. S. Brodskii, admits a representation in the form of an integral (1) convergent in the norm of  $\mathfrak{S}_{\infty}$ . Passing in the inequality

$$|\mathfrak{S}(H_n)| \leq \frac{2}{\pi} |H_n|_{\omega, K^*}$$

to the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain inequality (7). Since  $A$  is the unique operator with imaginary component  $H$  and proper chain  $\mathfrak{P}$ , this inequality may be regarded as established for any Volterra operator  $A = G + iH$  with  $H \in \mathfrak{S}_{\omega}$ . In exactly the same way one can prove for  $A$  the validity of relation (6).

It remains to verify that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a Volterra operator  $A = G + iH$  with given imaginary component  $H$  ( $\in \mathfrak{S}_{\omega}$ ), such that

$|G| > \frac{2}{\pi} |H|_{\omega, K^*} - \varepsilon$ . By Lemma 1 there exists an orthonormal basis  $\{\varphi_j\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$  for which (4) is fulfilled. Take an operator  $B = K + iL$ , unitarily equivalent to the integration operator and such that  $L\varphi_n = (2/\pi(2n-1))\varphi_n$  ( $n = 0, \pm 1, \dots$ ). Let  $\mathfrak{P}$  be its proper chain. Putting  $G = \mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{P}, H)$  and using (6), we obtain

$$|G| \geq (G\varphi, \varphi) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} (2j-1)^{-1} (H\varphi_j, \varphi_j) > \frac{2}{\pi} (|H|_{\omega, K^*} - \varepsilon).$$

Theorem 1 is completely proved.

Equality (3) led the author to suppose that the belonging of the operator  $X \in \mathfrak{S}_{\infty}$  to the class  $\mathfrak{S}_{\omega}$  is not only a sufficient but also a necessary condition for the integral (1) to converge for any continuous chain  $\mathfrak{P}$ . The validity of this supposition was proved by I. Ts. Gokhberg and M. G. Krein, who also communicated to the author the following proposition.

Let  $\{\varphi_j\}_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}$  be some orthonormal system. Then there exists a continuous chain  $\mathfrak{P}$  such that, for any completely continuous self-adjoint operator

$$X = \sum_j \lambda_j(\cdot, \varphi_j)\varphi_j \quad \left( (2j-1)\lambda_j > 0; j = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots; \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_j}{2j-1} = \infty \right)$$

the integral (1) diverges (even in the sense of weak convergence). Conversely, for any continuous chain  $\mathfrak{P}$  one can construct an orthonormal system  $\{\varphi_j\}_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}$  such that the integral (1) diverges for any operator  $X$  only of the indicated type.

The possibility of divergence of the integral (1) for some  $X \in \mathfrak{S}_{\infty}$ , under a special choice of the chain  $\mathfrak{P}$ , was discovered by M. S. Brodskii <sup>(2)</sup>.

It can be proved that for every operator  $X = X^* \in \mathfrak{S}_{\infty}$  there is always a continuous chain  $\mathfrak{P}$  for which the integral (1) converges.

2. L. A. Sakhnovich <sup>(6)</sup> showed that if, for a bounded operator with real spectrum  $A = G + iH$ , the imaginary component  $H \in \mathfrak{S}_2$ , then this operator has a sufficiently rich supply of invariant subspaces. I. Ts. Gokhberg and M. G. Krein, and independently of them the author, showed that this fact holds for  $H \in \mathfrak{S}_p$ .

There is the following more general and complete proposition:

**Theorem 2.** An operator  $A = G + iH$ ,  $H \in \mathfrak{S}_{\omega}$ , having real spectrum, is an  $S$ -operator in the sense of <sup>(7)</sup>.

Let us explain that an operator  $A$  with real spectrum is called an  $S$ -operator if it possesses the following properties. For every finite interval  $\Delta$  of the real axis there exists a subspace  $L(\Delta)$ , invariant with respect to the operator  $A$ , such that: a) on  $L(\Delta)$  the operator  $A$  is everywhere defined and bounded; b) the spectrum of the part of the operator  $A$  induced on  $L(\Delta)$  consists of the intersection of the spectrum of the operator  $A$  with the interval  $\Delta$  and, possibly, the endpoints of the interval  $\Delta$ ; c) every invariant subspace on which the operator  $A$  is everywhere defined, bounded, and has as spectrum a part of the segment  $\Delta$ , is contained in  $L(\Delta)$ ; d) the system of invariant subspaces corresponding to any covering of the real axis by intervals is complete in  $\mathfrak{H}$ .

Apparently, Theorem 2 admits the following converse: for every operator  $H = H^* \in \mathfrak{S}_{\omega}$  there is an operator  $G = G^*$  such that the operator  $A = G + iH$ , on each of its invariant subspaces, has a spectrum coinciding with the spectrum of the whole operator  $A$ , which in this case is real and consists of more than one point.

Theorem 2 is proved on the basis of Theorem 3 from <sup>(7)</sup> and the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.** The resolvent of an operator with real spectrum  $A = G + iH$ , where  $G = G^*$  (in general, unbounded), and  $H = H^* \in \mathfrak{S}_\infty$ , satisfies the following estimate:

$$\ln |R_\lambda| = \ln |(A - \lambda I)^{-1}| \leq C [1 + |\operatorname{Im} \lambda|^{-2n} (2 |\operatorname{Im} \lambda|^{-1})],$$

where  $n(t)$  denotes the number of  $1/s_k(H)$  not exceeding  $t$ .

3. Using other estimates of the resolvent, one can prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.** The system of eigenvectors and associated vectors of the operator  $A = H(I + T)$ , where  $H = H^* \in \mathfrak{S}_\infty$ , and  $T \in \mathfrak{S}_\omega$ , is complete in its range.

This theorem can easily be given a form similar to that of the theorem of M. V. Keldysh from <sup>(8)</sup>, namely:

If the equation  $y = Ay + \lambda Hy$  has a discrete spectrum,  $H = H^* \in \mathfrak{S}_\infty$  is a complete operator (see <sup>(8)</sup>),  $A \in \mathfrak{S}_\omega$ , then the system of eigenvectors and associated vectors of this equation is complete in  $\mathfrak{H}$ .

Physical-Technical Institute of Low Temperatures  
of the Academy of Sciences of the Ukrainian SSR

Received  
16 III 1961

## REFERENCES

1. I. Ts. Gokhberg, M. G. Krein, DAN, **137**, No. 5 (1961).
2. M. S. Brodskii, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, **16**, issue 1 (1961).
3. Fan Ky, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., **1**, 35 (1949); **2**, 36 (1950).
4. V. B. Lidskii, DAN, **125**, No. 3 (1958).
5. I. Ts. Gokhberg, M. G. Krein, DAN, **128**, No. 2 (1959).
6. L. A. Sakhnovich, Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved., Mathematics, No. 4, (11) (1959).
7. Yu. I. Lyubich, V. I. Matsaev, DAN, **13**, No. 1 (1960).
8. M. V. Keldysh, DAN, **77**, No. 1 (1951).

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*