



Soviet-era science, translated into English

Mathematics

1961

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196101.25468>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

Mathematics

L. A. Skornyakov

On Compact Topological Spaces

(Presented by Academician A. I. Mal' tsev on 26 V 1961)

It is well known that compact spaces can be represented (the terminology of Bourbaki, ⁽³⁾, pp. 108-109, is used) by inverse spectra of finite discrete spaces (see, for example, ⁽¹⁾, pp. 50 and 51). In the present note a construction is described which makes it possible to obtain arbitrary compact spaces as direct spectra of finite discrete spaces.

Let Ω be a directed set. To each $\alpha \in \Omega$ there is assigned a set M_α (the intersection $M_\alpha \cap M_\beta$, for $\alpha \neq \beta$, is regarded as empty). On the set $M = \bigcup M_\alpha$ a reflexive and symmetric relation Δ is given. If $x \in M_\alpha$, then α will be called the **index** of the element x and will be denoted by Ix . We shall say that $x \equiv y$ (α) ($x, y \in M$, $\alpha \in \Omega$) if there exists an element $z \in M$ such that $Iz \geq \alpha$, $x\Delta z$, and $y\Delta z$.

The aggregate $\mathfrak{S} = (\Omega, M, \Delta)$ will be called a **spectrum** if property C holds:

Property C. For every $\alpha \in \Omega$ there exists an index $\beta \in \Omega$ such that from the relations $x \equiv y$ (β), $y \equiv z$ (β), and $Iy > \beta$ it follows that $x \equiv z$ (α) (this index β will be denoted by $\alpha/2$).

Remark 1. For every $\alpha \in \Omega$ there exists an index $\beta > \alpha$ (we shall denote it by $\alpha/4$) such that from the relations $x\Delta y$, $y \equiv z$ (β), $z\Delta u$, $Iy, Iz > \beta$, it follows that $x \equiv u$ (α).

Indeed, since $x \equiv y$ (β) and $z \equiv u$ (β), one may take as $\alpha/4$ any index exceeding α , $\alpha/2$, and $(\alpha/2)/2$.

We shall call a **thread** such a nonempty subset S of the set M that:

B1. The intersection $S \cap M_\alpha$ is either empty or contains exactly one element s_α .

B2. If $\beta > \alpha$ and s_α exists, then s_β also exists.

B3. If s_α and s_β exist, then $s_\alpha \Delta s_\beta$.

We shall say that the threads S and T are close of order α (in notation $S \equiv T$ (α)) if $s_\beta \equiv t_\gamma$ (α) for all β and γ for which s_β and t_γ exist.

From this and from Remark 1 it follows:

Remark 2. If $s_\beta \equiv t_\gamma (\alpha/4)$ for some $\beta, \gamma > \alpha/4$, then $S \equiv T (\alpha)$.

Theorem 1. *The relation $S \equiv T (\alpha)$ defines a uniform structure on the set of threads.*

For the proof it is enough to verify the validity of conditions 1°–4°, indicated in (3) on p. 151. The first three of them are obvious. To prove the last, choose $\beta > (\alpha/4)/2$ and suppose that $R \equiv S (\beta)$ and $S \equiv T (\beta)$. Then $r_\beta \equiv t_\beta (\alpha/4)$ and, in view of Remark 2, $R \equiv T (\alpha)$.

In view of (3), Ch. II, § 1 and 4, with the constructed uniform structure there is associated a separated uniform structure, and hence also a separated uniform space ((3), p. 162, Proposition 1). This uniform pro-

space will be called the **limit of the spectrum** \mathfrak{S} and denoted by $\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{S})$, or by $\mathfrak{A}(\Omega, M, \Delta)$. The elements of the space $\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{S})$ will be called **pencils**.

Theorem 2. *If all M_α are finite, then for the precompactness of the space $\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{S})$ it is sufficient that the following hold:*

Condition II. *For every $\alpha \in \Omega$ and every pencil \mathfrak{f} there is a fan $S \in \mathfrak{f}$ such that s_α exists.*

Indeed, let $\alpha \in \Omega$ and $M_\alpha = \{x^1, \dots, x^n\}$. Denote by U^i the set of those pencils each of which contains a fan S for which $s_\alpha = x^i$. If $\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{t} \in U^i$, then for suitable fans $S \in \mathfrak{f}$ and $T \in \mathfrak{t}$ we shall have $s_\alpha = t_\alpha = x^i$. Applying B3, we see that $S \equiv T (\alpha)$, i.e., that $\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{t} (\alpha)$. Consequently, the set U^i is small of order α . In view of condition II, the system U^1, \dots, U^n forms a covering of the space. It remains to apply Theorem 4 from (3) (p. 182).

Let now E be a uniform space and α a certain entourage (3), p. 159). A subset G of the space E will be called an α -**net** if for every $a \in E$ there is an element $b \in G$ such that $[a, b]\alpha$.* If Ω is a filter of entourages of the space E , then every set of the form $G = \bigcup G_\alpha$, where G_α is some fixed α -net, will be called a **net space** of the space E . It is clear that $\overline{G} = E$.**

We next consider a spectrum $\mathfrak{S} = (\Omega, M, \Delta)$. We shall call this spectrum **proper** if for every $x \in M$ there is a fan S containing x . Thus, in a proper spectrum, for every $x \in M$ one may choose a fan S containing x , and denote by $\varphi(x)$ the pencil containing the fan S . The mapping φ thus obtained from the set M into the space $\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{S})$ will be called **injective**. It is easy to verify that $\varphi(M)$ is a net space.

Taking Remark 2 into account, it is not difficult to verify the validity of the following properties:

1. If $x \equiv y (\alpha/4)$, $lx, ly > \alpha/4$, then $[\varphi(x), \varphi(y)]\alpha$.
2. If $[\varphi(x), \varphi(y)]\alpha$, then $x \equiv y (\alpha)$.

Theorem 3. *Every separable compact space is isomorphic to some $\mathfrak{A}(\Omega, M, \Delta)$, where all M_α are finite, while the spectrum itself is proper and satisfies condition*

II.

Proof. Let E be an arbitrary compact space. It may be regarded as uniform ⁽³⁾, p. 178, Theorem 2). Denote by Ω the collection of symmetric open entourages of the corresponding uniform structure. If $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega$, put $\alpha \geq \beta$ if from $[a, b]\alpha$ it follows that $[a, b]\beta$. It is easy to see that Ω becomes a directed set. Let M_α be an α -net of the space E . We may assume that all M_α are finite ⁽³⁾, p. 181, Theorem 3). Let $M = \bigcup M_\alpha$ (points coinciding in E , but belonging to different M_α , are regarded as distinct). If $x \in M_\alpha$, $y \in M_\beta$, then put $x\Delta y$ in the case when the intersection $\alpha(x) \cap \beta(y)$ ^{***} is nonempty.

(a) For every $\alpha \in \Omega$ there exists $\beta \in \Omega$ such that for any two points $a, b \in E$ close of order β , there exists $x \in M_\alpha$ such that $a, b \in \alpha(x)$.

Indeed, suppose that for every $\beta \in \Omega$ there are points a_β and b_β , close of order β , such that for every $x \in M_\alpha$ either $a_\beta \notin \alpha(x)$ or $b_\beta \notin \alpha(x)$. Let $A_\beta = \{a_\gamma; \gamma > \beta\}$. It is easy to understand that the system $\{A\}$ is centered. Let $c \in \bigcap A_\beta$. But $c \in \alpha(x)$ for some $x \in M_\alpha$. Find λ such that $\lambda(c) \subset \alpha(x)$. Let $\mu > \lambda$ ^{****}. For some $\nu > \mu$

* The notation $[a, b]\alpha$ means that a and b are close of order α .

** By \bar{X} is denoted the closure of the set X .

*** $\lambda(c) = \{x; x \in E; x \equiv c(\lambda)\}$ (cf. ⁽³⁾, p. 158).

**** We shall say that $[a, b]\alpha \circ \beta$ if there exists a point c such that $[a, c]\alpha$ and $[c, b]\beta$; put ${}^2\alpha = \alpha \circ \alpha$ (cf. ⁽³⁾, p. 152).

find $a_\nu \in \mu(c)$. Then b_ν and c turn out to be close of order λ , i.e. $b_\nu \in \lambda(c) \subset \alpha(x)$. But $a_\nu \in \mu(c) \subset \lambda(c) \subset \alpha(x)$. A contradiction.

It is not hard to verify that as $\alpha/2$ one may take such a γ that $\gamma > \beta$ ⁶, where β is the index found in assertion (a). Thus, $\mathfrak{S}(\Omega, M, \Delta)$ turns out to be a spectrum. It is easy to verify that this spectrum is proper.

Let $a \in E$. For each $\alpha \in \Omega$ choose $s_\alpha \in M_\alpha$ so that $a \in \alpha(s_\alpha)$. Then $S = \{s_\alpha\}$ turns out to be a fan. We shall denote the corresponding bunch by $\mathfrak{f}(a)$. If S is an arbitrary fan, put $S^\alpha = \{s_\beta; \beta > \alpha\}$. The system $\{S^\alpha\}$ turns out to be a base of a Cauchy filter in E . In view of Theorem 1 from ⁽³⁾ (p. 178), this filter has a limit $e(S)$. It is easy to see that $S \in \mathfrak{f}(e(S))$. This proves that the spectrum \mathfrak{S} satisfies condition II. Hence it is also clear that the mapping $a \rightarrow \mathfrak{f}(a)$ is a mapping of E onto $\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{S})$. It is easy to verify that it is one-to-one. From assertion (a) it follows that it is uniformly continuous. Application of Theorem 2 and Corollary 2 from ⁽³⁾ (pp. 181 and 114) shows that the spaces E and $\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{S})$ are isomorphic.

Construction. Let a spectrum $\mathfrak{S} = (\Omega, M, \Delta)$ satisfy condition II, and let the

spectrum $\mathfrak{S}' = (\Omega, M', \Delta')$ be proper. Suppose that a not necessarily single-valued mapping f of the set M into the set M' is given, with:

1. $Ix = Ix'$ for all $x' \in f(x)$.
2. For every $\alpha \in \Omega$ there exists an index $\beta \in \Omega$ such that $Ix, Iy > \beta$ and $x \equiv y(\beta)$ imply $x' \equiv y'(\alpha)$ for any $x' \in f(x)$ and $y' \in f(y)$.

Let, further, \mathfrak{f} be a bunch from $\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{S})$ and $K' = \bigcup_{S \in \mathfrak{f}} f(S)$. If $x' \in K'$, then, in view of the properness of the spectrum \mathfrak{S}' , there exists a fan X' containing x' . We shall denote the corresponding bunch by \mathfrak{r}' . Put $\mathfrak{F}^\alpha = \{\mathfrak{r}'; Ix' > \alpha\}$. Since \mathfrak{S} satisfies condition II, $\{\mathfrak{F}^\alpha\}$ turns out to be a base of a filter. From 01 and 02 it is not hard to derive that this filter is a Cauchy filter. In view of ⁽³⁾ (p. 172, Theorem 2),

$$\lim \mathfrak{F}^\alpha = F(\mathfrak{f})$$

belongs to the completion $\overline{\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{S}')}$ of the space $\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{S}')$.

Remark 3. For every $\alpha \in \Omega$ there exists an index $\beta \in \Omega$ such that, for all $x \in M$ for which $Ix > \beta$, one has $[F(\mathfrak{f}), \varphi'(x')]\alpha$, where x' is any element of $f(x)$; \mathfrak{f} is any bunch containing such a fan S that $x \in S$; φ' is an arbitrary injection mapping.

Indeed, let $\gamma \stackrel{2}{>} \alpha$ and $\beta > \gamma$ be such that $[F(\mathfrak{f}), \mathfrak{r}']\gamma$ for all $\mathfrak{r}' \in \mathfrak{F}^\beta$. If $Ix > \beta$, then, in view of 01, $Ix' > \beta$. Therefore $[\mathfrak{r}', \varphi'(x')]\beta$. Since $\mathfrak{r}' \in \mathfrak{F}^\beta$, it follows that $[F(\mathfrak{f}), \varphi'(x')]\alpha$.

Theorem 4. *The mapping F constructed in the construction described above is a uniformly continuous mapping of the space $\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{S})$ into $\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{S}')$. Every uniformly continuous mapping Φ of the space $\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{S})$ into $\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{S}')$ can be obtained in this way by using a single-valued mapping f .*

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \Omega$. Denote by β an index exceeding the indices indicated for $\alpha/4$ in Remark 3 and in condition 02. If $[\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{t}]\beta$, $S \in \mathfrak{f}$, $T \in \mathfrak{t}$, then for $\lambda, \mu > \beta$ we shall have $s_\lambda \equiv t_\mu(\beta)$, and hence $x' \equiv y'(\alpha/4)$ for any $x' \in f(s_\lambda)$, $y' \in f(t_\mu)$. Moreover, by Remark 3, $[F(\mathfrak{f}), \varphi'(x')]\alpha$ and $[F(\mathfrak{t}), \varphi'(y')]\alpha$. Taking I1 into account, we obtain $[F(\mathfrak{f}), F(\mathfrak{t})]\alpha$. This proves the uniform continuity of the mapping F .

Now suppose a mapping Φ is given. Denote by φ and φ' some injection mappings in the spectra \mathfrak{S} and \mathfrak{S}' , respectively. If $x \in M$, then $\Phi(\varphi(x)) \in \mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{S}')$. Denote by $f(x)$ some element of M'_{Ix} satisfying the relation $[\varphi'(f(x)), \Phi(\varphi(x))]\overset{2}{Ix}$. It is clear that condition 01 is fulfilled for the mapping f . Let, further, $\alpha \in \Omega$ and $\gamma \stackrel{5}{>} \alpha$. Choose-

choose $\beta > \gamma$ so that from $[a, b]\beta$ it follows that $[\Phi(a), \Phi(b)]\gamma$. If $Ix, Iy > \beta/4$ and $x \equiv y(\beta/4)$, then, by II, $[\Phi(\varphi(x)), \Phi(\varphi(y))]\gamma$. Therefore $[\varphi'(x'), \varphi'(y')]\gamma$,

and I2 gives $x' \equiv y'(\alpha)$. Thus the mapping f has property 02. Using the construction, we shall build the mapping F . Since $\varphi(\overline{M}) = \mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{S})$, then, in view of ⁽³⁾ (p. 171, Theorem 1; p. 72, corollary), in order to prove the coincidence of the mappings F and Φ it is enough to establish that

$$F(\varphi(x)) = \Phi(\varphi(x))$$

for all $x \in M$. To verify this, take in the bundle $\varphi(x)$ some fan S . Let $\beta \in \Omega$ and let $\gamma > \beta$ be such that from $[a, b] \gamma$ there follow the relations $[F(a), F(b)] \beta$ and $[\Phi(a), \Phi(b)] \beta$. Suppose further that the index δ exceeds $\beta, \gamma/4$ and the index indicated for γ in Remark 3. From Remarks 2 and 3 it follows that $[\varphi(x), \varphi(s_\delta)] \gamma$ and $[F(\varphi(s_\delta)), \varphi'(f(s_\delta))] \gamma$. Hence, taking into account that, by the construction of the mapping f , one has

$$[\varphi'(f(s_\delta)), \Phi(\varphi(s_\delta))] \delta,$$

we obtain

$$[F(\varphi(x)), \Phi(\varphi(x))] \beta \circ \gamma \circ \delta \circ \beta.$$

Since $\beta \circ \gamma \circ \delta \circ \beta > \beta$, and β is arbitrary, everything is proved.

Theorem 5. Let $\mathfrak{S} = (\Omega, M, \Delta)$ be a regular spectrum satisfying condition II, and let all M_α be abstract algebras (⁽²⁾, p. 7) with one and the same system \mathfrak{G} of operations; moreover, for each $g \in \mathfrak{G}$ one has

$$g(x_1, \dots, x_m) \Delta g(y_1, \dots, y_m),$$

if $x_i \Delta y_i$ for all i . Then the space $\overline{\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{S})}$ is an abstract algebra with the same system of operations.

For the proof it should be noted that every $g \in \mathfrak{G}$, as a mapping of

$$\underbrace{M \times \dots \times M}_{m \text{ times}}$$

into M , satisfies conditions 01 and 02. After this it remains to apply Theorem 4.

Moscow State University
named after M. V. Lomonosov

Received
9 V 1961

REFERENCES

- ¹ P. S. Aleksandrov, *UMN*, 2, No. 1 (17) (1947). ² G. Birkhoff, *Theory of structures*, Moscow, 1952. ³ N. Bourbaki, *General Topology (Basic Structures)*, Moscow, 1958.

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.