



Soviet-era science, translated into English

MATHEMATICS

1961

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196101.16272>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

MATHEMATICS

GU LIAN-KUN

ON THE BEHAVIOR OF THE SOLUTION OF THE STEFAN PROBLEM UNDER UNBOUNDED INCREASE OF TIME

(Presented by Academician I. G. Petrovskii, 2 I 1961)

In the present note the behavior of the solution of the Stefan problem with a Cauchy condition for a parabolic equation as $t \rightarrow \infty$ is studied. For the heat-conduction equation, the asymptotic behavior as $t \rightarrow \infty$ of the solution of the Stefan problem with boundary conditions was considered in ⁽¹⁾.

We formulate the Stefan problem with a Cauchy condition as follows: find functions $s(t)$ and $u_i(x, t)$ such that $u_i(x, t)$ ($i = 1, 2$) are bounded and continuous in the domains \overline{D}_i ($D_1 = \{x < s(t), t > 0\}$ and $D_2 = \{x > s(t), t > 0\}$) everywhere, except possibly at the point $(0, 0)$, have derivatives $\partial u_i / \partial x$, $\partial^2 u_i / \partial x^2$, $\partial u_i / \partial t$ in D_i , and satisfy the equation

$$L_i(u_i) \equiv \frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial x^2} + B_i(x, t) \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x} + C_i(x, t) u_i - A_i(x, t) \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} = 0 \quad \text{in } D_i \quad (1)$$

and the conditions

$$u_1(x, 0) = \varphi_1(x) \leq 0 \quad \text{for } x < 0; \quad u_2(x, 0) = \varphi_2(x) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } x > 0; \quad (2)$$

$$u_i(s(t), t) = 0; \quad \left(\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} \right)_{x=s(t)} = \frac{ds(t)}{dt}, \quad (3)$$

where $A_i(x, t) \geq a > 0$; $C_i(x, t) \leq 0$; A_i, B_i, C_i, φ_i are sufficiently smooth and bounded functions; $s(t)$ is a differentiable function, $s(0) = 0$.

Using the maximum principle, it is easy to prove the lemma (see ⁽¹⁾):

Lemma. Let $y(t)$ be a differentiable function, and let $V_i(x, t)$ ($i = 1, 2$) be bounded and continuous in the domains $\overline{\Omega}_i$ ($\Omega_1 = \{x < y(t), t > 0\}$, $\Omega_2 = \{x > y(t), t > 0\}$) everywhere except possibly at the point $(y(0), 0)$, and suppose that $V_i(x, t)$ have derivatives $\partial V_i / \partial x$, $\partial^2 V_i / \partial x^2$, $\partial V_i / \partial t$ in Ω_i . Let, further, $u_i(x, t)$, $s(t)$ be a solution of problem (1)–(3), and let $L_i(V_i) \leq 0$ in Ω_i ($i = 1, 2$),

$$u_1(x, 0) \leq V_1(x, 0) \quad \text{for } x < y(0); \quad u_2(x, 0) \leq V_2(x, 0) \quad \text{for } x > s(0);$$

$$V_i(y(t), t) = 0; \quad \frac{dy(t)}{dt} < \left(\frac{\partial V_1}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial V_2}{\partial x} \right)_{x=y(t)} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq T,$$

and let there exist $t_0 > 0$ such that $y(t) \leq s(t)$ for $0 < t \leq t_0$. Then for all $t \in (0, T)$ the inequality $y(t) \leq s(t)$ holds.

It is clear that if one changes the sign of the inequalities in all conditions of the lemma, then one obtains the relation $y(t) \geq s(t)$ for $t \in (0, T)$.

Using the similarity method (see ⁽²⁾), one can prove the following proposition:

Theorem 1. The solution of the equation $\partial^2 u_i / \partial x^2 = a_i^2 \partial u_i / \partial t$ with the conditions $u_1(x, 0) = u_- < 0$ for $x < 0$, $u_2(x, 0) = u_+ > 0$ for $x > 0$ and the conditions (3) has the form $s(t) = \alpha_0 \sqrt{t}$ and

$$u_1(x, t) = u_- [\operatorname{erf}(a_1 \alpha_0 / 2) - \operatorname{erf}(a_1 x / 2\sqrt{t})] / [1 + \operatorname{erf}(a_1 \alpha_0 / 2)] \quad \text{for } x < s(t),$$

$$u_2(x, t) = u_+ [\operatorname{erf}(a_2 x / 2\sqrt{t}) - \operatorname{erf}(a_2 \alpha_0 / 2)] / [1 - \operatorname{erf}(a_2 \alpha_0 / 2)] \quad \text{for } x > s(t),$$

where

$$\operatorname{erf}(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^x e^{-\xi^2} d\xi;$$

α_0 is the root of the equation

$$F(\alpha; u_-, u_+; a_1, a_2) \equiv \frac{a_1 u_- e^{-a_1^2 \alpha^2 / 4}}{1 + \operatorname{erf}(a_1 \alpha / 2)} + \frac{a_2 u_+ e^{-a_2^2 \alpha^2 / 4}}{1 - \operatorname{erf}(a_2 \alpha / 2)} + \frac{\alpha \sqrt{\pi}}{2} = 0.$$

It is easy to see that $\dot{F}_\alpha > 0$ and $\alpha_0 < 0$ when $a_1 u_- + a_2 u_+ > 0$; $\alpha_0 > 0$ when $a_1 u_- + a_2 u_+ < 0$.

Theorem 2. Let $u_i(x, t)$ be a solution of the equation

$$L_i(u_i) \equiv \partial^2 u_i / \partial x^2 + B_i(x, t) \partial u_i / \partial x - A_i(x, t) \partial u_i / \partial t = 0$$

with conditions (2) and (3), and let the coefficients $A_i(x, t) \rightarrow a_i^2$, $B_i(x, t) = o(1/\sqrt{t})$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in x . Suppose $x B_i(x, t) \geq 0$ for $|x| \geq x_0$, where

$x_0 > 0$ is a certain constant. Then, if $\varphi_1(x) \rightarrow u_- < 0$ as $x \rightarrow -\infty$ and $\varphi_2(x) \rightarrow u_+ > 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, with $a_1 u_- + a_2 u_+ > 0$, then $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} s(t) = -\infty$ and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u_2(x, t) = u_+ \operatorname{erf}(a_2 |\alpha_0|/2) / [1 + \operatorname{erf}(a_2 |\alpha_0|/2)] \equiv U_+.$$

Proof. Since $a_1 u_- + a_2 u_+ > 0$, we have $\alpha_0 < 0$ and $F(\alpha_1; u_-, u_+; a_1, a_2) < 0$, where $\alpha_1 = \alpha_0 - \varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Construct the functions $y(t) = \alpha_1 \sqrt{t} - N$ and

$$V_1(x, t) = (u_- + 3\varepsilon_1) \frac{\operatorname{erf}(e_1 \alpha_1/2) - \operatorname{erf}(e_1(x + N)/2\sqrt{t})}{1 + \operatorname{erf}(e_1 \alpha_1/2)} + \varepsilon_2 v(x, t)$$

for $x < y(t)$,

$$V_2(x, t) = (u_+ + 3\varepsilon_1) \frac{\operatorname{erf}(e_2(x + N)/2\sqrt{t}) - \operatorname{erf}(e_2 \alpha_1/2)}{1 - \operatorname{erf}(e_2 \alpha_1/2)} + \varepsilon_2 v(x, t)$$

for $x > y(t)$, where $e_i^2 = a_i^2 - \varepsilon_3$ and $v(x, t) = e^{-\theta(x+N)^2/t} - e^{-\theta\alpha_1^2}$. Choose θ so small that

$$L_i(v) \leq -[\gamma + 2\theta(x + N)B_i] \exp(-\theta(x + N)^2/t)/t,$$

where $\gamma > 0$ is a constant and $\theta < \min(a_1^2/8, a_2^2/8)$. In this case

$$\left(\frac{\partial V_1}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial V_2}{\partial x} \right)_{x=y(t)} = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{\pi t}} \left[\frac{e_1(u_- + 3\varepsilon_1)e^{-e_1^2 \alpha_1^2/4}}{1 + \operatorname{erf}(e_1 \alpha_1/2)} + \frac{e_2(u_+ + 3\varepsilon_1)e^{-e_2^2 \alpha_1^2/4}}{1 - \operatorname{erf}(e_2 \alpha_1/2)} \right],$$

$$L_1(V_1) =$$

$$= \frac{-e_1(u_- + 3\varepsilon_1)}{\sqrt{\pi}[1 + \operatorname{erf}(e_1 \alpha_1/2)]} \left[(A_1(x, t) - e_1^2) \frac{x + N}{2t\sqrt{t}} + \frac{B_1(x, t)}{\sqrt{t}} \right] e^{-e_1^2(x+N)^2/4t} + \varepsilon_2 L_1(v),$$

$$L_2(V_2) =$$

$$= \frac{e_2(u_+ + 3\varepsilon_1)}{\sqrt{\pi}[1 - \operatorname{erf}(e_2 \alpha_1/2)]} \left[(A_2(x, t) - e_2^2) \frac{x + N}{2t\sqrt{t}} + \frac{B_2(x, t)}{\sqrt{t}} \right] e^{-e_2^2(x+N)^2/4t} + \varepsilon_2 L_2(v).$$

First choose $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ and $\varepsilon_3 > 0$ such that $u_- + 3\varepsilon_1 < 0$, $F(\alpha_1; u_- + 3\varepsilon_1, u_+ + 3\varepsilon_1; e_1, e_2) < 0$, and $\varepsilon_3 < \min(a_1^2/2, a_2^2/2)$. Then choose $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ sufficiently small and $k > 0$ large so that $2\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 e^{-\theta\alpha_1^2} > 0$ and

$$(u_+ + 3\varepsilon_1) \frac{\operatorname{erf}(e_2 k/2) - \operatorname{erf}(e_2 \alpha_1/2)}{1 - \operatorname{erf}(e_2 \alpha_1/2)} + \varepsilon_2 (e^{-\theta k^2} - e^{-\theta \alpha_1^2}) \geq u_+ + \varepsilon_1.$$

For such $\varepsilon, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \theta$, and k , decrease ε_3 so that

$$\frac{e_2(u_+ + 3\varepsilon_1)(1+k)\varepsilon_3}{\sqrt{\pi}[1 - \operatorname{erf}(e_2 \alpha_1/2)]} - \frac{e_1(u_- + 3\varepsilon_1)\varepsilon_3}{\sqrt{\pi}[1 + \operatorname{erf}(e_1 \alpha_1/2)]} + 2\varepsilon_2 \theta k \varepsilon_3 \leq \varepsilon_2 \gamma.$$

Moreover, take all ε_i so that $\varepsilon_i \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. For any ...

for arbitrary ε_1 and ε_3 , in view of the condition $x B_i(x, t) \geq 0$ for $|x| \geq x_0$, as in (3), one can find such a $T > 0$ that $u_2(x, t) \leq u_+ + \varepsilon_1$, $|A_i(x, t) - a_i^2| \leq \varepsilon_3$, and $|B_i(x, t)| \leq \varepsilon_3/\sqrt{t}$ for $t \geq T$. For such a T we have: $u_1(x, T) \leq u_- + \varepsilon_1$ for $x \leq -N$, where $N > 0$ is sufficiently large and such that $-N < s(T)$ and $N > x_0$ (see (4)). For simplicity we assume that $T = 0$. Then it is easy to verify that $V_1(x, 0) \geq u_1(x, 0)$, $V_2(x, t)|_{x=k\sqrt{t}-N} \geq u_2(x, t)|_{x=k\sqrt{t}-N}$, $V_i(y(t), t) = 0$, $(\partial V_1/\partial x - \partial V_2/\partial x)_{x=y(t)} > dy(t)/dt$, and $L_1(V_1) \leq 0$ for $x < y(t)$, $L_2(V_2) \leq 0$ for $y(t) < x \leq k\sqrt{t} - N$. Hence it is easy to see that $s(t) \geq y(t)$. Consequently,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u_2(x, t) \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} V_2(x, t) = (u_+ + 3\varepsilon_1)$$

$$\times \operatorname{erf}(e_2 |\alpha_1|/2) / [1 + \operatorname{erf}(e_2 |\alpha_1|/2)] + \varepsilon_2 (1 - e^{-\theta \alpha_1^2}).$$

Since ε is arbitrary and $\varepsilon_i \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, it follows that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u_2(x, t) \leq U_+$.

Analogously one can prove that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u_2(x, t) \geq U_+$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} s(t) = -\infty$.

If $B_i(x, t) = O(1/\sqrt{t})$, then Theorem 2 may turn out to be false. Indeed, take $A_i \equiv 1$, $B_1 \equiv 0$, $B_2 = f(x/2\sqrt{t})/\sqrt{t}$, $\varphi_1 \equiv 0$ and $\varphi_2 \equiv 1$, where $f(z) = 0$ for $z \geq 1$; $f(z) = 2z - 2$ for $0 \leq z \leq 1$; $f(z) = -2$ for $z \leq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} s(t) &= \alpha_1 \sqrt{t}, \quad u_1(x, t) = 0, \quad u_2(x, t) = [\Phi(x/2\sqrt{t}) - \\ & - \Phi(\alpha_1/2)] / [\Phi(\infty) - \Phi(\alpha_1/2)], \quad \text{where } \Phi(x) = \int_0^x \exp(-z^2 - G(z)) dz, \quad G(z) = \\ & = -2 \int_0^x f(x) dx, \quad \alpha_1 \text{ is the root of the equation } \exp(-\alpha^2/4 - G(\alpha/2)) / [\Phi(\infty) - \\ & - \Phi(\alpha/2)] + \alpha = 0. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u_2(x, t) \neq U_+$.

Theorem 3. Let $u_i(x, t)$ be the solution of problem (1)–(3), and let the coefficients $A_i(x, t) \rightarrow a_i^2$, $B_i(x, t) = o(1/\sqrt{t})$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in x , with

$x B_1(x, t) \geq 0$ for $|x| \geq x_0$, $C_1(x, t) \equiv 0$, $C_2(x, t) \leq C < 0$. Then, if $\varphi_1(x) \rightarrow u_- < 0$ as $x \rightarrow -\infty$ and $\varphi_2(x)$ is bounded, then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} s(t) = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u_1(x, t) = u_- \operatorname{erf}(a_1 \alpha_1 / 2) / [1 + \operatorname{erf}(a_1 \alpha_1 / 2)] \equiv U_-,$$

where α_1 is the root of the equation $F(\alpha; u_-, 0; a_1, a_2) = 0$.

It remains for us to prove that $u_2(x, t) \leq \varepsilon_1$ for $x > s(t)$ and $t \geq T$, since the rest of the proof can be carried out in the same way as in Theorem 2. For this purpose construct the functions $w_{\pm}(x, t) = M e^{-\theta t} \pm u_2(x, t)$. Then $L_2(w_{\pm}) = M(A_2(x, t)\theta + C_2(x, t))e^{-\theta t} \leq 0$ for $x > s(t)$, $w_{\pm}(x, 0) = M \pm \varphi_2(x) \geq 0$, and $w_{\pm}(x, t)|_{x=s(t)} \geq 0$ for sufficiently small θ and large M . By the maximum principle it follows that $|u_2(x, t)| \leq M e^{-\theta t}$, whence $u_2(x, t) \leq \varepsilon_1$ for $x > s(t)$ and $t \geq T$.

Theorem 3 is also valid in the case when $A_2(x, t)$ and $B_2(x, t)$ are only bounded.

Theorem 4. Let $u_i(x, t)$ be the solution of problem (1)–(3), and let the coefficients $A_i(x, t) \rightarrow a_i^2$, $B_i(x, t) = o(1/\sqrt{t})$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in x , with $B_2(x, t) \leq -b < 0$, $x B_1(x, t) \geq 0$ for $|x| \geq x_0$, $C_1(x, t) \equiv 0$, $C_2(x, t) \leq 0$. Then, if $\varphi_1(x) \rightarrow u_- < 0$ as $x \rightarrow -\infty$ and $\varphi_2(x)$ is bounded, then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} s(t) = +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u_1(x, t) = U_-.$$

As in Theorem 3, it is enough to prove that for any $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ the inequality $u_2(x, t) \leq \varepsilon_1$ holds for $s(t) < x < k\sqrt{t} + N$ and $t \geq T$, where $k > 0$ is a sufficiently large number and $N > 0$. For this purpose construct the functions $w_{\pm}(x, t) = M e^{(\theta x - \gamma t)} \pm u_2(x, t)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} L_2(w_{\pm}) &= M(\theta^2 + \gamma A_2 + B_2 \theta + C_2) \times \\ &\times e^{(\theta x - \gamma t)} \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

$w_{\pm}(x, 0) = M e^{\theta x} \pm \varphi_2(x) \geq 0$ for $x \geq 0$, and $w_{\pm}(x, t)|_{x=s(t)} \geq 0$ for sufficiently small θ, γ and large M . Hence we obtain $|u_2(x, t)| \leq M e^{(\theta x - \gamma t)}$. Consequently, $u_2(x, t) \leq \varepsilon_1$ for $s(t) < x < k\sqrt{t} + N$ and $t \geq T$. This theorem is also valid in the case when $A_2(x, t)$ is only bounded.

Theorem 5. Let $u_i(x, t)$ be a solution of problem (1)–(3), and let the coefficients $A_1(x, t) \rightarrow a_1^2$, $B_1(x, t) = o(1/\sqrt{t})$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in x , with $x B_i(x, t) \geq 0$ for $|x| \geq x_0$, $C_1 = 0$, $C_2 \leq 0$. Then, if $\varphi_1(x) \rightarrow u_- < 0$ as $x \rightarrow -\infty$ and $\varphi_2(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow +\infty$, then $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} s(t) = \infty$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u_1(x, t) = U_-$.

Theorem 6. For the solution of problem (1)–(3) the following assertions are valid: 1) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} s(t) = +\infty$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u_1(x, t) = u_-$, if $C_1 = 0$, $B_i(x, t) \leq -b < 0$, $\varphi_1(x) \rightarrow u_-$ as $x \rightarrow -\infty$; 2) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} s(t) = -\infty$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u_2(x, t) = u_+$, if $C_2 = 0$, $B_i(x, t) \geq b > 0$, $\varphi_2(x) \rightarrow u_+$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$; 3) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u_i(x, t) = 0$, if $C_i(x, t) \leq -C < 0$ or $B_1(x, t) \geq b_1 > 0$, $B_2(x, t) \leq -b_2 < 0$.

The proofs of Theorems 5 and 6 are similar to the proofs of the preceding theorems.

One may consider a generalized solution of problem (1)–(3). To this end we write (1)–(2) in the form $u_{xx} + B(x, t, u)u_x + C(x, t, u) = A(x, t, u)u_t$ and $u(x, 0) = u_0(x)$. A continuous bounded function $u(x, t)$ will be called a generalized solution of problem (1)–(3) if, for every continuously differentiable function $f(x, t)$ equal to zero outside some finite domain, $u(x, t)$ satisfies the equality

$$\iint_{t \geq 0} \left[u \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} - \bar{B}(x, t, u) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + G(x, t, u)f + \Phi(x, t, u) \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \right] dx dt - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(x, 0, u_0(x))f(x, 0) dx = 0,$$

where

$$\Phi = \int_0^u A(x, t, u) du \quad \text{for } u < 0, \quad \Phi = \int_0^u A du + 1 \quad \text{for } u > 0,$$

$$\bar{B} = \int_0^u B(x, t, u) du \quad \text{and} \quad G(x, t, u) = C(x, t, u) + \int_0^u \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} du - \int_0^u \frac{\partial B}{\partial x} du.$$

If one assumes that $u_0(x)$ has a generalized derivative summable with its square, and that $C_1(x, t) = C_2(x, t) = C(x, t)$, then, as in work (5), one can prove that there exists a unique generalized solution of problem (1)–(3). This solution can be obtained as the limit, as $h \rightarrow 0$, of a uniformly convergent sequence of solutions of the Cauchy problem for the equation $u_{xx} + B^h(x, t, u^h)u_x^h + C(x, t)u^h = \Phi_u^h(x, t, u^h)u_t^h$ with the condition $u^h(x, 0) = u_0^h(x)$, where B^h and Φ^h are the mean functions of B and Φ , respectively, and $u_0^h(x)$ is the mean function of $u_0(x)$ (see (6)). It can be proved that Theorem 2 for $A_i(x, t) \equiv a_i^2$, $B_i \equiv 0$, Theorems 4 and 5 for $C_2(x, t) \equiv 0$, and Theorem 6 for $C_1(x, t) = C_2(x, t)$ are valid also for the generalized solution of problem (1)–(3).

I express my sincere gratitude to O. A. Oleinik and A. M. Il'in for the formulation of the problem and for systematic assistance in this work.

Received
28 XII 1960

References

1. L. I. Rubinshtein, DAN, 77, No. 1 (1958).
2. A. N. Tikhonov, A. A. Samarskii, *Equations of Mathematical Physics*, Moscow, 1953, p. 262.

3. A. M. Il' in, UMN, 16, issue 2 (1961).
4. A. M. Il' in, O. A. Oleinik, DAN, 120, No. 1 (1958).
5. O. A. Oleinik, DAN, 135, No. 5 (1960).
6. S. L. Sobolev, *Some Applications of Functional Analysis in Mathematical Physics*, Leningrad, 1950, p. 19.

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.