



---

Soviet-era science, translated into English

# Reports of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR

1961

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196101.14152>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

## Reports of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR

1961. Volume 138, No. 4

**MATHEMATICS**

**S. P. NOVIKOV**

### ON THE EMBEDDING OF SIMPLY CONNECTED MANIFOLDS IN EUCLIDEAN SPACE

*(Presented by Academician P. S. Aleksandrov on 23 I 1961)*

We shall consider smooth closed manifolds and their smooth mappings. As usual, we shall call a mapping  $f : M^n \rightarrow W^m$  **regular** if its Jacobian matrix has rank  $n$  at every point, and **completely regular** if the preimage of any point  $f^{-1}(w)$ , where  $w \in W^m$ , contains no more than two points. Our aim is to investigate the possibility of a smooth embedding  $M^n \subset E^{2n-1}$ . The method generalizes the method of Whitney's work <sup>(2)</sup>, devoted to embeddings  $M^n \subset E^{2n}$ , on the basis of ideas of L. S. Pontryagin concerning the homotopy groups of spheres <sup>(1)</sup>.

**Theorem 1.** *Every simply connected odd-dimensional manifold  $M^n$  with  $n > 6$  can be smoothly embedded in Euclidean space  $E^{2n-1}$ .*

The proof of this theorem is based on a series of lemmas devoted to the study of regular mappings as a whole. With the help of well-known techniques (developed by Whitney) it is easily proved:

**Lemma 1\*.** *For every regular mapping  $f : M^n \rightarrow E^{2n-k}$  with  $k < \left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$ , there exists a  $C^1$ -close regular mapping  $g : M^n \rightarrow E^{2n-k}$  such that:*

- 1) *the equation  $g(x) = g(y)$  defines a closed submanifold  $\widetilde{M}_g^k \subset M^n \times M^n \setminus \Delta(M^n)$ , where  $\Delta$  is the diagonal mapping;*
- 2) *the projection  $p : M^n \times M^n \rightarrow M^n$ , considered on the submanifold  $\widetilde{M}_g^k$ , is a smooth homeomorphism;*
- 3) *the mapping  $g$  is completely regular; on the special submanifold  $M_g^k = p(\widetilde{M}_g^k) \subset M^n$  it is a two-sheeted covering.*

It follows from the lemma that the special manifold  $M_g^k$  decomposes into some

number  $s$  of special pairs of mutually homeomorphic connected components

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^s (M_{g,1}^{k,i} \cup M_{g,2}^{k,i})$$

such that  $g(M_{g,1}^{k,i}) = g(M_{g,2}^{k,i})$ , and some number  $t$  of connected manifolds

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^t M_g^{k,j},$$

on which the mapping  $g$  is a nontrivial 2-covering. Thus,

$$M_g^k = \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^t M_g^{k,j} \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^s (M_{g,1}^{k,i} \cup M_{g,2}^{k,i}) \right).$$

**Definition.** We shall call a manifold  $M^n$   **$k$ -parallelizable** if the  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood  $U_\varepsilon^{(k)}$  of the  $k$ -dimensional skeleton of a smooth triangulation of the manifold  $M^n$  is parallelizable for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$ .

---

\* For  $k = 1$  this lemma is contained in Whitney's works; see, for example, (3).

Obviously, for  $n > 2k + 2$  our definition does not depend on the triangulation, and a  $k$ -connected manifold is  $k$ -parallelizable. For  $k = 1$  this definition means simply orientability. We also note that a  $k$ -parallelizable manifold is  $(k - 1)$ -parallelizable. In what follows we assume that  $n \geq 2k + 3$ .

**Lemma 2.** *If the manifold  $M^n$  is  $k$ -parallelizable, then the singular submanifold  $M_g^k \subset M^n$  has a trivial normal bundle in the manifold  $M^n$  and is a  $\pi$ -manifold (i.e., the normal bundle under the embedding  $M_g^k \subset E^m$  is trivial for  $m \geq 2k + 3$ ).*

The proof of this lemma is based on the fact that the manifold  $M^n \times M^n \setminus \Delta(M^n)$  is also  $k$ -parallelizable and that the normal bundle of a manifold of dimension at most  $k$  in a  $k$ -parallelizable manifold of large dimension is arranged in the same way as in a Euclidean space of the same dimension.

Let  $n$  be even,  $k = 1$ , and let the manifold  $M^n$  be orientable.

**Lemma 3.** *The singular submanifold  $M_g^1 \subset M^n$  consists only of singular pairs of circles.*

Suppose, to the contrary, that the manifold  $M_g^1$  contains a circle  $S_g^1 \subset M_g^1$  on which the mapping  $g$  is a connected 2-fold covering. Obviously,  $g(S_g^1) = S^1 \subset E^{2n-1}$ . Choose a system  $(W_1, \dots, W_{n-1})$  of independent vector fields, transversal to  $S_g^1 \subset M^n$  and tangent to the manifold  $M^n$ .

Then, roughly speaking, there is defined a decomposition of the normal bundle of  $g(S_g^1) \subset E^{2n-1}$  into the sum of plane bundles  $\mu_i^{(2)}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ , generated

by the vectors  $W_i$ . Each plane bundle  $\mu_i^{(2)}$  is transversal to the circle  $g(S_g^1)$  and has the monodromy matrix  $A_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , i.e., each bundle  $\mu_i^{(2)}$  is nonorientable. The Whitney sum of an odd number of such bundles is also nonorientable and, consequently, nontrivial. Since  $n - 1$  is odd, whereas the normal bundle to a circle in Euclidean space must be trivial, we arrive at a contradiction. The lemma is proved.

For the general case, when  $k = 1$ , we have:

**Lemma 4.** *The number  $t(g)$  of connected singular coverings is always even. The mapping  $g$  is regularly homotopic to a mapping  $g_1$  that has no singular nontrivial 2-fold coverings.*

The proof of this lemma is of a somewhat different nature and is based on the study of the projection

$$M^n \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}} E^{2n} \xrightarrow{\pi} E^{2n-1},$$

where  $g = \pi \circ \tilde{g}$ , and the mapping  $\tilde{g}$  is completely regular (one can always easily reduce it to this form by a small  $C^1$ -perturbation of the mapping in the space  $E^{2n}$ , projecting a small perturbation into  $E^{2n-1}$ , which, obviously, preserves the properties of Lemma 1).

The behavior of the projection is described more fully by the following trivial lemmas:

**Lemma 4a.** *If the mapping  $\tilde{g} : M^n \rightarrow E^{2n}$  and  $\pi\tilde{g} : M^n \rightarrow E^{2n-1}$  are regular, then the mapping  $\tilde{g}$  is regularly homotopic to an embedding and has an even number of pairs of singular points.*

**Lemma 4b.** *A connected singular covering of the mapping  $g$  can arise under projection only from an odd number of pairs of singular points of the mapping  $\tilde{g}$ .*

*A singular pair can arise under projection only from an even number of pairs of singular points of the mapping  $\tilde{g}$ .*

**Lemma 4c.** There exists a regular homotopy  $\tilde{g}_t$  of the map  $\tilde{g} = \tilde{g}_0$  such that:

- 1) the maps  $\tilde{g}_t$  and  $\pi\tilde{g}_t$  are regular for  $t \leq 1$  and are completely regular for  $t = 1$ ; the map  $\pi\tilde{g}_1$  satisfies Lemma 1;
- 2) under the projection  $\pi$ , from one pair of singular points there arise double points, and from nothing—singular pairs of circles of the map  $\pi\tilde{g}_1$ .

In what follows we shall consider only such maps

$$g : M^n \rightarrow E^{2n-1}$$

that have no linked singular double points. We shall also assume that  $\pi_1(M^n) = 0$ . Following Pontryagin <sup>(1)</sup>, we shall define the invariant of a singular pair and the invariant of a map  $g$ .

**Definition of the invariant of a singular pair.** Let  $S_1^1$  and  $S_2^1 \subset M^n$ ,  $g(S_1^1) = g(S_2^1)$ . Consider a pair of disks  $\sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2 \subset M^n$  such that  $\sigma_1^2 \cap \sigma_2^2 = \emptyset$  and  $\partial\sigma_1^2 = S_1^1$ ,  $\partial\sigma_2^2 = S_2^1$ . Choose a system of vector fields  $W_j^{(i)}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ;  $j = 1, \dots, n-2$ , tangent to  $M^n$  and orthogonal to  $\sigma_i^2$ . Put  $W_{n-1}^{(i)} = \partial\sigma_i^2/\partial t$ , where  $t$  are the radii of the films (i.e., transverse to  $S_i^1$  and to  $W_j^{(i)}$ ,  $j \leq n-2$ ). Obviously, the vectors  $g(W_j^{(i)}) = V_{j+(i-1)i}$ , transverse to  $g(S_i^1)$ , are defined and independent. They determine an element

$$\alpha \in \pi_1(GL(2n-2)) = Z_2.$$

**Lemma 5.** If the generating element of the group  $H^n(M^n, Z_2)$  has the form  $Sq^2(x)$ ,  $x \in H^{n-2}(M^n, Z_2)$ , then the disks  $\sigma_i^2$  and the fields  $W_j^{(i)}$  can be chosen so that  $\alpha = 0$ .

In the case when

$$H^n(M^n, Z_2)/\text{Im } Sq^2 = Z_2,$$

the invariant  $\alpha$  of a singular pair does not depend on the choice of the disks  $\sigma_i^2$ . In this case we shall regard the sum of the invariants

$$\sum_k \alpha_k$$

over all singular pairs  $S_k = (S_{g,1}^{1,k} \cup S_{g,2}^{1,k})$  as the invariant of the map

$$g : M^n \rightarrow E^{2n-1},$$

if it has no linked singular double points.

**Lemma 6.** For a simply connected odd-dimensional manifold  $M^n$ ,  $n = 4l + 3$ , the invariant

$$\sum_k \alpha_k = 0$$

for any regular map

$$g : M^n \rightarrow E^{2n-1},$$

possessing all the properties of Lemma 1\*.

This lemma is an important step, and its direct geometric proof is quite difficult. But from the recent works of Hirsch <sup>(4)</sup>, devoted to regular maps, it is extracted more simply.

Let  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  be two singular pairs of the map

$$g : M^n \rightarrow E^{2n-1}$$

such that  $\alpha(S_1) = \alpha(S_2)$ .

**Lemma 7.** There exists a regular homotopy  $g_t$  of the map  $g = g_0$  such that the map  $g_1$  satisfies Lemma 1 and has two fewer singular pairs than the map  $g = g_0$ .

The proof generalizes the well-known proof of Whitney <sup>(2)</sup> for pairs of singular points. We glue into the manifold  $M^n$  the rings

$$B_1 = S_1^1 \times I \quad \text{and} \quad B_2 = S_2^1 \times I$$

so that  $S_i^1 \times \varepsilon$  form the pair  $S_1$ , and  $S_i^1 \times (1 - \varepsilon)$  form the pair  $S_2$ . It is necessary that  $B_1 \cap B_2 = \emptyset$ . On the rings we prescribe vector fields  $W_j^{(i)}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ;  $j = 1, \dots, n - 2$ , extended from the disks  $\sigma_i^2$ , determining the invariants  $\alpha(S_i)$ . One can easily arrange that the frames

$$(\tau_i, g(W_j^{(1)}), g(W_j^{(2)})),$$

where  $\tau_i$  are vector fields tangent to  $g(S_i)$ , determine opposite orientations for  $i = 1, 2$ . Next we glue in a “Whitney cell”

$$\psi : \sigma^2 \times S^1 \rightarrow E^{2n-1}$$

such that

$$\psi(\sigma^2 \times S^1) \cap g(M^n) = g(B_1) \cup g(B_2).$$

It is also necessary that on the boundaries

---

\* If  $n = 4l + 1$ , one can assert the existence of maps  $g : M^n \rightarrow E^{2n-1}$  with zero invariant, since there exists an immersion  $M^n \rightarrow E^{2n-2}$  <sup>(4)</sup>.

the mapping  $\psi$  has certain compatibility properties. After this, by virtue of the coincidence of the invariants of the pairs  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ , one can specify, in a small neighborhood  $U(\psi(\sigma^2 \times S^1))$ , a suitable coordinate system, one of whose coordinates is a point of the circle, two others are a standard 2-frame on  $\sigma^2$ , and the remaining ones satisfy our boundary conditions and are independent of these. Having specified the coordinates, we perform in the neighborhood  $U(\psi(\sigma^2 \times S^1))$  a Whitney deformation with the coordinate of the circle held fixed.

Iterating this construction and using Lemma 6, we arrive at a mapping

$$g_S : M^n \rightarrow E^{2n-1},$$

which has special pairs only with zero invariant.

After this, with the mapping  $g_S$  one can proceed in two ways: either, following <sup>(2)</sup>, glue in additional pairs with zero invariants and apply Lemma 7, or else

carry out the direct separation of a pair with zero invariant.\* In either case we arrive at an embedding. Thus, the theorem follows from the preceding lemmas.

I note that the lemmas imply the following conditional

**Theorem 2.** \*Let  $n = 2l$ ,  $n \geq 6$ , and  $\pi_1(M^n) = 0$ . An embedding  $M^n \subset E^{2n-1}$  exists if and only if there exists an immersion  $M^n \rightarrow E^{2n-2}$  (see (4)).\*\*

Mathematical Institute named after V. A. Steklov  
Academy of Sciences of the USSR

Received  
20 I 1961

## REFERENCES CITED

1. L. S. Pontryagin, *Tr. Matem. inst. im. V. A. Steklova Akademii nauk SSSR*, **45** (1955).
2. H. Whitney, *Ann. of Math.*, **37**, No. 2 (1944).
3. H. Whitney, *Ann. of Math.*, **37**, No. 3 (1944).
4. M. Hirsh, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, **93**, No. 2 (1959).

\* The method of separating one special pair with zero invariant was suggested to me by D. B. Fuks, who kindly read the present work.

\*\* A more precise investigation shows that, for  $n = 4l + 2$ , the invariant  $\alpha$  defined above is a homotopy invariant of the manifold; it does not depend on the immersion

$$g : M^n \rightarrow E^{2n-1}$$

when  $n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*