

# AN ITERATIVE METHOD FOR SOLVING A LINEAR PROGRAMMING PROBLEM

1961

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196101.07609>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

**MATHEMATICS**

**V. A. BULAVSKII**

## **AN ITERATIVE METHOD FOR SOLVING A LINEAR PROGRAMMING PROBLEM**

*(Presented by Academician V. I. Smirnov, 28 XI 1960)*

Let  $R_n$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space consisting of vectors  $h = (h^{(1)}, h^{(2)}, \dots, h^{(n)})$ . We formulate the linear programming problem as follows. A system of linear inequalities is given

$$\sum_{j=1}^n a_j^{(i)} h^{(j)} \geq p^{(i)}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m;$$

$$h^{(j)} \geq 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \tag{1}$$

where  $a_j^{(i)}, p^{(i)}$  are given numbers. In addition, a vector  $c \in R_n$  is given. The system (1) defines a closed convex set  $Q \subset R_n$ . It is required to find a vector  $h_0 \in Q$  such that  $(c, h_0) \leq (c, h)$  for all  $h \in Q$ . We shall call this problem problem I.

In addition to it, we pose another problem II: find a vector  $h_\sigma \in Q$  such that  $(c + \sigma B h_\sigma, h_\sigma) \leq (c + \sigma B h_\sigma, h)$  for all  $h \in Q$ . Here  $B$  is a given matrix and  $\sigma > 0$  is a number.

The proposed method for solving problem I consists in replacing it by problem II for some small  $\sigma$  and a specially chosen matrix  $B$ . To solve the latter problem an iterative process is constructed.

**Lemma.** If  $Q'$  is a nonempty, bounded, convex, closed set in  $R_n$ , and  $F$  is a continuous operation from  $Q'$  into  $R_n$ , then there exists a vector  $h' \in Q'$  such that  $(Fh', h') \leq (Fh', h)$  for all  $h \in Q'$ .

The proof of this assertion can be obtained by slightly modifying the arguments in Theorem 3 of (3).

**Theorem 1.** If there exists a vector  $h_0$  solving problem I, and the matrix  $B$  is positive definite:  $(Bh, h) \geq \gamma \|h\|^2$ , then for any  $\sigma > 0$  there exists a vector  $h_\sigma$  solving problem II, and moreover

$$\|h_\sigma\| \leq \frac{\|B\|}{\gamma} \|h_0\|.$$

**Proof.** Adjoin to (1) the inequality

$$\sum_{j=1}^n h^{(j)} \leq \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\gamma} \|B\| \cdot \|h_0\| + 1.$$

The system of inequalities thus obtained defines a domain  $Q'$ . By the lemma, there is a vector  $h_\sigma \in Q'$  such that  $(c + \sigma B h_\sigma, h_\sigma) \leq (c + \sigma B h_\sigma, h)$  for all  $h \in Q'$ . Since  $h_0 \in Q'$ , we have  $(c + \sigma B h_\sigma, h_\sigma) \leq (c + \sigma B h_\sigma, h_0)$ . Taking into account that  $(c, h_0) \leq (c, h_\sigma)$ , we obtain  $\sigma \gamma \|h_\sigma\|^2 \leq \sigma (B h_\sigma, h_\sigma) \leq \sigma (B h_\sigma, h_0) \leq \sigma \|B\| \|h_\sigma\| \|h_0\|$ ,

$$\|h_\sigma\| \leq \frac{\|B\|}{\gamma} \|h_0\|. \quad (2)$$

We shall show that  $h_\sigma$  solves problem II. If  $h \in Q'$ , then, by the construction of  $h_\sigma$ , we have  $(c + \sigma B h_\sigma, h_\sigma) \leq (c + \sigma B h_\sigma, h)$ . Let  $h \in Q \setminus Q'$ . From (2) we obtain

$$\sum_j h_\sigma^{(j)} \leq \sqrt{n} \|h_\sigma\| \leq \sqrt{n} \frac{\|B\|}{\gamma} \|h_0\|.$$

Consequently,

$$\Delta = \sum_i h^{(i)} - \sum_j h_\sigma^{(j)} > 1.$$

Put  $\lambda = 1 : \Delta$  and  $h' = \lambda h + (1 - \lambda) h_\sigma$ . It is not hard to verify that  $h' \in Q'$ , and consequently  $(c + \sigma B h_\sigma, h_\sigma) \leq (c + \sigma B h_\sigma, h')$ . Substituting in place of  $h'$  its expression, we obtain  $(c + \sigma B h_\sigma, h_\sigma) \leq (c + \sigma B h_\sigma, h)$ . This proves the theorem.

**Corollary.** Since the vector  $h_\sigma$  solves the problem of minimizing the functional  $L(h) = (c + \sigma B h_\sigma, h)$ , it follows from (1, 2), under the conditions of Theorem 1, that there exists a vector  $v_\sigma = (v_\sigma^{(1)}, v_\sigma^{(2)}, \dots, v_\sigma^{(m)})$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^m a_j^{(i)} v_\sigma^{(i)} &\leq c^{(j)} + \sigma (B h_\sigma)^{(j)}, & \text{if } h_\sigma^{(j)} = 0; \\ \sum_{i=1}^m a_j^{(i)} v_\sigma^{(i)} &= c^{(j)} + \sigma (B h_\sigma)^{(j)}, & \text{if } h_\sigma^{(j)} > 0; \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

$$v_\sigma^{(i)} \geq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m;$$

$$v_\sigma^{(i)} = 0, \quad \text{if } \sum_{j=1}^n a_j^{(i)} h_\sigma^{(j)} > p^{(i)}.$$

**Theorem 2.** The following assertions are valid:

- a) the solution of problem II is unique;
- b) for  $\sigma > 0$ ,  $h_\sigma$  depends continuously on  $\sigma$ ;
- c) if  $\sigma < \sigma'$ , then  $(c, h_\sigma) \leq (c, h_{\sigma'})$ ;
- d) there exists  $\sigma_0 > 0$  such that for  $\sigma \leq \sigma_0$  the vector  $h_\sigma$  is a solution of problem I.

We omit the proof.

Denote  $a_j = (a_j^{(1)}, a_j^{(2)}, \dots, a_j^{(m)})$ ,  $p = (p^{(1)}, p^{(2)}, \dots, p^{(m)})$ , and assume that all  $a_j \neq 0$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . As  $B$  take the triangular matrix in which zeros stand above the main diagonal, and the  $(\mu, \nu)$ -th element ( $\nu \leq \mu$ ) is equal to  $(a_\mu, a_\nu)$ . For this matrix  $(Bh, h) \geq \gamma \|h\|^2$ , where  $2\gamma = \min \|a_j\|^2$ .

From the first two relations (3) we find

$$h_\sigma^{(j)} = \begin{cases} \frac{(v_{\sigma, j-1}, a_j) - c^{(j)}}{\sigma \|a_j\|^2}, & \text{if } (v_{\sigma, j-1}, a_j) \geq c^{(j)}, \\ 0, & \text{if } (v_{\sigma, j-1}, a_j) < c^{(j)}, \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

where

$$v_{\sigma, j-1} = v_\sigma - \sigma \sum_{\nu=1}^{j-1} h_\sigma^{(\nu)} a_\nu.$$

Put  $v'_\sigma = v_{\sigma, n} + \sigma p$ . From (1) and the last two relations (3) we conclude that

$$v_\sigma^{(i)} = \begin{cases} v_\sigma a'^{(i)}, & \text{if } v_\sigma a'^{(i)} \geq 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } v_\sigma a'^{(i)} < 0. \end{cases}$$

We now construct the following iterative process:

$$\text{I. } v_{k,0} = v_k.$$

$$\text{II. } h_k^{(j)} = \begin{cases} \frac{(v_{k, j-1}, a_j) - c^{(j)}}{\sigma \|a_j\|^2}, & \text{if } (v_{k, j-1}, a_j) \geq c^{(j)}, \\ 0, & \text{if } (v_{k, j-1}, a_j) < c^{(j)}; \end{cases} \quad (4')$$

$$v_{k,j} = v_{k, j-1} - \sigma h_k^{(j)} a_j.$$

III.

$$v'_k = v_{k,n} + \sigma p.$$

IV.

$$v_{k+1}^{(i)} = \begin{cases} v'_k, & \text{if } v'_k \geq 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } v'_k < 0. \end{cases}$$

V.

$$(v_{k+1}^{(1)}, v_{k+1}^{(2)}, \dots, v_{k+1}^{(m)}) = v_{k+1}, \quad (h_k^{(1)}, h_k^{(2)}, \dots, h_k^{(n)}) = h_k.$$

Put

$$\Delta_\sigma^{(j)} = \sigma \|a_j\|^2 h_\sigma^{(j)} - (v_{\sigma, j-1}, a_j) + c^{(j)}; \quad \Delta_k^{(j)} = \sigma \|a_j\|^2 h_k^{(j)} - (v_{k, j-1}, a) + c^{(j)}.$$

From (4) and (4') we conclude that

$$\Delta_\sigma^{(j)} \geq 0, \Delta_k^{(j)} \geq 0, \\ h_\sigma^{(j)} \Delta_\sigma^{(j)} = h_k^{(j)} \Delta_k^{(j)} = 0. \text{ Consequently,}$$

$$(h_k^{(j)} - h_\sigma^{(j)}) (\Delta_k^{(j)} - \Delta_\sigma^{(j)}) = - (\Delta_k^{(j)} h_\sigma^{(j)} + \Delta_\sigma^{(j)} h_k^{(j)}) \leq 0.$$

Next,

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_{k,j} - v_{\sigma,j}\|^2 &= \|v_{k,j-1} - v_{\sigma,j-1} - \sigma h_k^{(j)} a_j + \sigma h_\sigma^{(j)} a_j\|^2 \\ &= \|v_{k,j-1} - v_{\sigma,j-1}\|^2 - \sigma^2 \|a_j\|^2 (h_k^{(j)} - h_\sigma^{(j)})^2 \\ &\quad + 2\sigma (h_k^{(j)} - h_\sigma^{(j)}) (\Delta_k^{(j)} - \Delta_\sigma^{(j)}). \end{aligned}$$

Denoting  $\tau = \sigma^2 \min \|a_j\|^2$ , we obtain

$$\|v_{k,j} - v_{\sigma,j}\|^2 \leq \|v_{k,j-1} - v_{\sigma,j-1}\|^2 - \tau (h_k^{(j)} - h_\sigma^{(j)})^2.$$

Adding these inequalities for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , we obtain

$$\|v_{k,n} - v_{\sigma,n}\|^2 \leq \|v_{k,0} - v_{\sigma,0}\|^2 - \tau \|h_k - h_\sigma\|^2.$$

Next,

$$\|v_{k+1} - v_\sigma\|^2 \leq \|v'_k - v'_\sigma\|^2 = \|v_{k,n} - v_{\sigma,n}\|^2 \leq \|v_{k,0} - v_{\sigma,0}\|^2 - \tau \|h_k - h_\sigma\|^2 = \|v_k - v_\sigma\|^2 - \tau \|h_k - h_\sigma\|^2.$$

Applying the obtained inequality for smaller  $k$ , we obtain

$$\|v_{k+1} - v_\sigma\|^2 \leq \|v_0 - v_\sigma\|^2 - \tau \sum_{\nu=0}^k \|h_\nu - h_\sigma\|^2.$$

Since  $\tau > 0$ , it follows from this that  $h_\nu \rightarrow h_\sigma$  as  $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ .

Thus, we have shown that the proposed iterative process gives, in the limit, the solution of problem II, which in turn approximates problem I, as follows from Theorems 1 and 2. It can be shown that the sequence  $\{v_k\}$  also converges to some vector  $v_\sigma$  satisfying a system of inequalities analogous to (3).

Leningrad Branch  
of the V. A. Steklov Mathematical Institute  
Academy of Sciences of the USSR

Received  
19 XI 1960

## CITED LITERATURE

1. L. V. Kantorovich, *DAN*, **115**, No. 3, 441 (1957).
2. L. V. Kantorovich, *The Economic Calculation of the Best Use of Resources*, Publishing House of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR, 1959.
3. G. U. Kuhn, in the collection of translations *Linear Inequalities*, IL, 1959, pp. 363-371.

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*