

# ON SOLUTIONS OF PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH CONSTANT COEFFICIENTS

1961

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196101.03466>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

**MATHEMATICS**

**V. V. GRUSHIN**

**ON SOLUTIONS OF PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH CONSTANT COEFFICIENTS**

*(Presented by Academician P. S. Aleksandrov on 20 II 1961)*

Let  $u(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be a solution of the partial differential equation with constant coefficients

$$P \left( i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \right) u(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0. \quad (1)$$

If  $u(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is an infinitely differentiable function in some domain  $V$ , then it sometimes follows from this that  $u(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is an infinitely differentiable function in some larger domain. Thus, M. S. Agranovich proved <sup>(1, 3)</sup> that if  $u(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is infinitely differentiable in some neighborhood of the boundary of the domain  $V$  and the domain  $V$  is bounded, then  $u(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is infinitely differentiable in the whole domain  $V$ .

In the present paper several more cases are indicated in which the infinite differentiability of solutions of equation (1) extends from a smaller domain to a larger one.

**Theorem 1.** *Let there be a bounded domain  $V$ , whose boundary contains a piece of a hyperplane  $\omega$  of dimension  $n - 1$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be that part of the boundary of the domain  $V$  which is not contained in  $\omega$ , and let  $\Gamma_\varepsilon$  be the  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood of the set  $\Gamma$ . If some generalized function  $u(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  in the domain  $V$  is a solution of equation (1) and, for some  $\varepsilon$ ,  $u(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is an ordinary infinitely differentiable function in  $\Gamma_\varepsilon \cap V$ , then  $u(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is an ordinary infinitely differentiable function throughout the domain  $V$ .*

**Corollary.** Let a generalized function of two variables  $u(x_1, x_2)$  be a solution of a differential equation with constant coefficients in some convex domain  $W$ . If  $u(x_1, x_2)$  is an ordinary infinitely differentiable function in some connected domain  $V \subset W$ , then  $u(x_1, x_2)$  is an ordinary infinitely differentiable function in the convex hull of the domain  $V$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $V$  be a bounded domain with boundary  $\Gamma$ . Let  $W$  be a closed convex set. If a generalized function  $u(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  in the domain  $V \setminus W$  is a solution of equation (1) and in some neighborhood of the set  $\Gamma \setminus W$ ,  $u(x_1, \dots, x_n)$*

is an ordinary infinitely differentiable function, then  $u(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is an ordinary infinitely differentiable function throughout the domain  $V \setminus W$ .

These two theorems can easily be obtained from the following assertion.

**Theorem 3.** Suppose that in  $n$ -dimensional space there is a hyperplane  $(x, \eta) = 0$ , where  $\eta$  is the normal vector. For any integer  $k > 0$  there exists a fundamental solution  $\mathcal{E}(x)$  of equation (1) which in the half-space  $(x, \eta) > 0$  is an ordinary  $k$ -times continuously differentiable function.

**Proof.** Choose the coordinate system so that the vector  $\eta$  has the form  $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$ . We shall now prove the assertion of the theorem for the case when the hyperplane  $x_1 = 0$  is not characteristic for equation (1). The latter means that the polynomial  $P(s_1, \dots, s_n)$  corresponding to the differential equation (1) can be written in the form

$$P(s_1, \dots, s_n) = as_1^p + s_1^{p-1}P(s_2, \dots, s_n) + \dots + P_n(s_2, \dots, s_n),$$

where  $a \neq 0$ .

The fundamental solution of equation (1) that we need can be constructed by integration by the ‘‘Hörmander staircase’’ method, which is described in detail in paper <sup>(3)</sup> (see also <sup>(2)</sup>, p. 131). The further arguments differ only slightly from the construction carried out in <sup>(3)</sup>.

Denote by  $f_k(\xi)$  the function

$$f_k(\xi) = \begin{cases} (k+n+1) \ln |\xi|, & \text{for } |\xi| \geq 1, \\ 0, & \text{for } |\xi| \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

For fixed  $\sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n$ , the equation  $P^*(\lambda, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n) = 0$  has  $p$  roots  $\lambda_1(\sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n), \dots, \lambda_p(\sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n)$ , which depend continuously on  $\sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n$ .

Consider in the complex plane  $s_1 = \sigma_1 + i\tau_1$  the curvilinear strip

$$f_k(\sigma_1) + 2l + 2 \geq \tau_1 \geq f_k(\sigma_1) + 2l. \quad (2)$$

For  $l = f_k(\sigma_2^2 + \dots + \sigma_n^2) + j$ , where  $j = 1, 2, \dots, 2p+1$ , we obtain  $2p+1$  strips. Since the equation  $P^*(\lambda, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n) = 0$  has only  $p$  roots, in one of these strips, for some  $0 < j \leq 2p+1$ , the roots will not fall. Denote the  $l$  corresponding to this  $j$  by  $l(\sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n)$ . Since the roots depend continuously on  $\sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n$ ,  $l(\sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n)$  may be regarded as constant in some neighborhood of the point  $(\sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n)$ . We now divide the whole space  $(\sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n)$  into ‘‘cubes’’ so that in each of them  $l(\sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n)$  can be chosen constant. The curve  $\tau_1 = f_k(\sigma_1) + 2l(\sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n) + 1$  in the complex space  $s_1$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{L}(\sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n)$ .

Since the roots of the equation  $P^*(\lambda, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n) = 0$  are separated from the contour  $\mathcal{L}(\sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n)$  by at least  $\rho_k$ , we have

$$|P^*(s_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n)| > \rho_k^p |a|$$

for  $s_1 \in \mathcal{L}(\sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n)$ .

As in paper <sup>(3)</sup>, one can now construct a functional on the space  $Z$ .

$$(E(\sigma), \psi(\sigma)) = \int \dots \int \left[ \int_{L(\sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n)} \frac{\psi(s_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n)}{P^*(s_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n)} ds_1 \right] d\sigma_2 \dots d\sigma_n. \quad (3)$$

Just as in <sup>(3)</sup>, one can show that the Fourier transform of this functional is a fundamental solution of equation (1), which for  $x_1 > 0$  is an ordinary function defined by means of the expression

$$\mathcal{E}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \int \dots \int \left[ \int_{L(\sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n)} \frac{e^{-x_1 \tau_1} \exp(i \sum x_j \sigma_j)}{P^*(s_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n)} ds_1 \right] d\sigma_2 \dots d\sigma_n.$$

But for  $x_1 > 0$  this integral is a  $k$ -times continuously differentiable function.

In the general case the polynomial  $P(s_1, \dots, s_n)$  can be written in the form

$$P(s_1, \dots, s_n) = s_1^q P_0(s_2, \dots, s_n) + s_1^{q-1} P_1(s_2, \dots, s_n) + \dots + P_q(s_2, \dots, s_n).$$

In the complex space  $s_2, \dots, s_n$  we can construct a ‘‘Hörmander staircase’’  $H$ , on which  $|P_0(s_2, \dots, s_n)| > C > 0$  (see <sup>(3)</sup> and <sup>(2)</sup>, p. 131). In this case, for any  $\psi(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) \in Z$  we shall have

$$\int_H \psi(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) ds_2 \dots ds_n = \int \psi(s_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n) d\sigma_2 \dots d\sigma_n.$$

Now we can repeat all our arguments, replacing everywhere the points of the space  $(\sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n)$  by points of the manifold  $H$ . In particular, instead of (3) we shall have that

$$(E(\sigma), \psi(\sigma)) = \int_H \dots \int \left[ \int_{L(s_2, \dots, s_n)} \frac{\psi(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)}{P^*(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)} ds_1 \right] ds_2 \dots ds_n.$$

**Proof of Theorem 2.** Let  $\varphi(x)$  be a finite, infinitely differentiable function which is equal to zero outside the domain  $V \setminus W$  and, for  $x \in V \setminus W$ , is equal to one whenever the distance from  $x$  to the boundary of the domain  $V \setminus W$

is greater than  $\varepsilon$ . Consider the product  $\varphi(x)u(x)$ . Since  $u(x)$  in  $V \setminus W$  is a solution of equation (1), we have

$$P \left( i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \right) \varphi(x)u(x) = f_1(x) + f_2(x),$$

where  $f_1(x)$  is an infinitely differentiable finite function, and the support of  $f_2(x)$  is situated in the  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood of the set  $W$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}(x)$  be an arbitrary fundamental solution of equation (1). In this case

$$\varphi(x)u(x) = (f_1(x) + f_2(x)) * \mathcal{E}(x) = f_1(x) * \mathcal{E}(x) + f_2(x) * \mathcal{E}(x). \quad (4)$$

The term  $f_1(x) * \mathcal{E}(x)$  is an ordinary infinitely differentiable function (see (2), p. 174). Consider

$$f_2(x) * \mathcal{E}(x) = \int_{W+\varepsilon} f_2(\xi) \mathcal{E}(x - \xi) d\xi.$$

If  $x \notin W + \varepsilon$ , then  $x - \xi$  lies on one side of some hyperplane  $\omega$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}(x)$  be a fundamental solution which, in the half-space formed by the hyperplane  $\omega$ , is an ordinary  $k$ -times continuously differentiable function. In this case  $f_2(x) * \mathcal{E}(x)$  is a  $k - q$  times continuously differentiable function, where  $q$  is the order of the generalized function  $f_2(x)$ . Since  $k$  can be chosen arbitrarily,  $f_2(x) * \mathcal{E}(x)$  is a sufficiently smooth function. From equality (4) we obtain that  $u(x)$  is an infinitely differentiable function for  $x \in V \setminus W$ .

The assertion of Theorem 1 follows from Theorem 2 and the lemma of M. S. Agranovich.

In conclusion, the author expresses gratitude to Prof. G. E. Shilov for posing the problem and for a number of valuable suggestions.

Moscow State University  
named after M. V. Lomonosov

Received  
14 II 1961

## REFERENCES

1. M. S. Agranovich, *DAN*, **128**, No. 3 (1959).
2. I. M. Gelfand, G. E. Shilov, *Spaces of Basic and Generalized Functions*, Moscow, 1958.
3. G. E. Shilov, *UMN*, **14**, issue 5 (89) (1959).

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*