



---

Soviet-era science, translated into English

# A. I. PEROV

1960

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196001.93234>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

**A. I. PEROV**

**PERIODIC, ALMOST-PERIODIC, AND BOUNDED SOLUTIONS OF THE DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION  $\frac{dx}{dt} = f(t, x)$**

*(Presented by Academician N. N. Bogolyubov, 28 I 1960)*

In this note conditions are given for the existence of a unique bounded solution  $x^*(t)$  ( $-\infty < t < +\infty$ ),  $|x^*(t)| \leq c$ , of the equation

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = f(t, x), \quad (1)$$

considered in a real Hilbert space  $H$ , and the behavior of the remaining solutions is studied. It is shown that this bounded solution is periodic (almost-periodic) if  $f(t, x)$  is periodic (almost-periodic) in  $t$ . The precise formulation of the theorems is given below. Here and in what follows the norm of an element  $x \in H$  is denoted by  $|x|$ . The results appear to be new also for the case of finite systems of ordinary differential equations.

By  $U$  we denote the Banach space of all continuous bounded functions  $x(t)$  ( $-\infty < t < +\infty$ ) with values in  $H$  and norm  $\|x(t)\|_0 = \sup_t |x(t)|$ , and by  $W$  the Banach space of all  $x(t) \in U$  possessing a continuous and bounded derivative, with norm  $\|x(t)\| = \max\{\|x(t)\|_0, \|x'(t)\|_0\}$ .

1. Let us first consider the linear differential equation

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = Ax + y(t), \quad (2)$$

in which  $A$  is a bounded self-adjoint invertible operator, and  $y(t) \in U$ . Let  $H_- \oplus H_+ = H$  be the decomposition of  $H$  into invariant subspaces of the operator  $A$  corresponding to the negative and positive parts of its spectrum, and let  $A_-$ ,  $A_+$  be the parts of the operator  $A$ . Then

$$(A_-x, x) \leq -m_1(x, x) \quad (x \in H_-), \quad (A_+x, x) \geq m_2(x, x) \quad (x \in H_+), \quad (3)$$

where  $m_1, m_2$  are certain positive numbers. Equation (2) has no more than one bounded solution.

Let us introduce the family of self-adjoint operators  $\mathcal{K}(\sigma)$ , defining it on the subspaces  $H_-$  and  $H_+$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{K}(\sigma) = \begin{cases} \text{on } H_- : & e^{A-\sigma} (\sigma \geq 0); \quad 0 (\sigma < 0), \\ \text{on } H_+ : & -e^{A+\sigma} (\sigma \leq 0); \quad 0 (\sigma > 0). \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

It is not difficult to see that the operator

$$\mathcal{K}y(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathcal{K}(t-s)y(s) ds \quad (5)$$

acts from  $U$  into  $W$ , and  $x^*(t) = \mathcal{K}y(t)$  is a bounded solution of equation (2). Equation (2) has no other bounded solutions. By direct calculation one can verify that the operator

operator  $\mathcal{K}$  takes an  $\omega$ -periodic (almost-periodic) function  $y(t) \in U$  into a function  $\mathcal{K}y(t) \in W$  having the same property.

It is convenient for us to formulate these simple (and, apparently, known) facts in the form of a theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $A$  be a self-adjoint invertible operator, and let the function  $y(t)$  be continuous and bounded. Then equation (2) has a unique bounded solution, which will be  $\omega$ -periodic or almost-periodic if the function  $y(t)$  has this property.*

The behavior of unbounded solutions of equation (2) is described by the following theorem, whose proof is easily obtained by using differential inequalities and inequalities (3).

**Theorem 2.** *If  $A$  is a negative-(positive-) definite self-adjoint operator, then every solution  $x(t)$  of equation (2), as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  ( $-\infty$ ), tends exponentially to the bounded solution  $x^*(t)$ , and as  $t \rightarrow -\infty$  ( $+\infty$ ) moves exponentially away from it.*

*If  $A$  is a sign-changing operator, then for  $x(0) - x^*(0) \in H_-$  the solution  $x(t)$  tends exponentially to  $x^*(t)$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  and moves exponentially away from it as  $t \rightarrow -\infty$ . The same statement, only in the reverse direction, holds if  $x(0) - x^*(0) \in H_+$ . And, finally, if  $x(0) - x^*(0) \notin H_- \cup H_+$ , then the solution  $x(t)$  moves exponentially away from  $x^*(t)$  as  $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$ .*

Our aim is to carry over Theorems 1 and 2 to the nonlinear case.

2. In what follows we shall need two lemmas.

We shall agree to call a nonlinear operator acting in a Banach space **invertible** if it realizes a one-to-one and bicontinuous mapping of this Banach space onto itself.

By means of the contraction mapping principle one can prove

**Lemma 1.** Let a family of operators  $F_\mu(x)$ ,  $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$ , mapping the Banach space  $E$  into itself, be given and satisfy the conditions:

- 1) the operator  $F_0(x)$  is invertible;
- 2) for all  $\mu \in [0, 1]$  and  $x_1, x_2 \in E$  the inequality holds

$$\|F_\mu(x_1) - F_\mu(x_2)\| \geq \varepsilon \|x_1 - x_2\|; \quad (6)$$

- 3) for all  $\mu, \mu_0 \in [0, 1]$  and  $x_1, x_2 \in E$  the inequality holds

$$\|F_\mu(x_1) - F_\mu(x_2) - F_{\mu_0}(x_1) + F_{\mu_0}(x_2)\| \leq \delta |\mu - \mu_0| \|x_1 - x_2\|, \quad (7)$$

where  $\varepsilon$  and  $\delta$  are some positive constants.

Then the operator  $F_\mu(x)$  is invertible for every  $\mu \in [0, 1]$ , and, consequently, the equation  $F_\mu(x) = 0$  has a unique solution for every  $\mu \in [0, 1]$ .

By means of differential inequalities one proves

**Lemma 2.** Let continuous bounded functions  $a(t)$ ,  $b(t)$ ,  $u(t) \in H$  ( $-\infty < t < +\infty$ ) satisfy the conditions:  $|a(t)| \leq L|u(t)|$ ,  $(a(t), Au(t)) \geq \varepsilon|u(t)|^2$  ( $A$  is a self-adjoint operator;  $L, \varepsilon > 0$ ), and  $u'(t) = a(t) + b(t)$ . Then the estimate holds

$$\|u(t)\|_0 < \alpha e^{L\alpha^2} \|b(t)\|_0, \quad (8)$$

where

$$\alpha = \left( \frac{\|A\|}{\varepsilon} + 1 + L \right).$$

3. We now give a theorem generalizing Theorem 1.

**Theorem 3.** Let the operator  $f(t, x)$  with values in  $H$  be continuous in  $t$  ( $-\infty < t < +\infty$ ) and satisfy the Lipschitz condition in  $x$

$$|f(t, x_1) - f(t, x_2)| \leq L|x_1 - x_2|. \quad (9)$$

Suppose the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} (f(t, x_1) - f(t, x_2), A(x_1 - x_2)) &\geq \varepsilon|x_1 - x_2|^2 \\ (-\infty < t < +\infty; x_1, x_2 \in H), \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

holds, where  $A$  is a self-adjoint operator and  $\varepsilon > 0$  is constant.

Then, if  $|f(t, 0)|$  is bounded, equation (1) has a unique bounded solution. If, moreover,  $f(t, x)$  is periodic in  $t$  ( $f(t + \omega, x) \equiv f(t, x)$ ) or uniformly almost-periodic in  $t$  on every compact  $K \subset H^*$ , then this bounded solution will be, respectively,  $\omega$ -periodic or almost-periodic.

**Proof.** Consider in the Banach space  $W$  the equation

$$x - P(x) = 0, \quad (11)$$

where the operator  $P$  is defined by the right-hand side of the equation

$$x(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathcal{K}(t-s) \{f(s, x(s)) - Ax(s)\} ds. \quad (12)$$

It is not difficult to see that the operator  $P$  acts in  $W$ , satisfies the Lipschitz condition

$$\|Px_1 - Px_2\| \leq \tilde{L}\|x_1 - x_2\| \quad (13)$$

and that the bounded solutions of equation (1), and only they, are solutions of equation (11).

To prove the existence of a unique solution of equation (11), we proceed as follows. In the Banach space  $W$  consider the family of operators  $F_\mu(x) = x - \mu P(x)$  and verify that all the conditions of Lemma 1 are fulfilled. Condition 1) is fulfilled, since  $F_0(x) \equiv x$ . From Lemma 2, after some calculations, we obtain that condition 2) is fulfilled with some constant  $\varepsilon$ , and, finally, from (13) we obtain that condition 3) is fulfilled with the constant  $\tilde{L}$ . Consequently, by Lemma 1, equation (11) has a unique solution, i.e. equation (1) has a unique bounded solution  $x^*(t)$ .

Let us now note that, in order to prove that the solution  $x^*(t)$  belongs to some subspace  $\widetilde{W}$  of the space  $W$  (in what follows the role of  $\widetilde{W}$  will be played by the subspace of  $\omega$ -periodic functions or the subspace of almost-periodic functions), it is enough to show that the subspace  $\widetilde{W}$  is invariant for the operator  $P$ . Indeed, in this case the family of operators  $F_\mu(x) = x - \mu P(x)$ , considered only on  $\widetilde{W}$ , satisfies all the conditions of Lemma 1, and since the operator  $P$  has only one fixed point in  $W \supset \widetilde{W}$ , this fixed point lies in  $\widetilde{W}$ .

Let  $\widetilde{W} \subset W$  be the subspace consisting of all almost-periodic functions. Since the operator  $\mathcal{K}$  carries every almost-periodic function  $x(t) \in U$  into an almost-periodic function  $\mathcal{K}x(t) \in \widetilde{W}$ , in order to prove the invariance of  $\widetilde{W}$  with respect to the operator  $P$  it is enough to show that the function  $f(t, x(t))$  is almost-periodic if  $x(t) \in \widetilde{W}$ . It is easy to show that the set  $K$  of values of the almost-periodic function  $x(t)$  is compact. Consider in the Banach space of continuous mappings of the compact set  $K$  into  $H$  the function  $f(t) : f(t)x = f(t, x)$  ( $x \in K$ ). Since, by assumption, the operator  $f(t, x)$  is continuous and almost-periodic in  $t$  uniformly with respect to  $x \in K$ , the function  $f(t)$  is continuous and almost-periodic. Therefore, for a given  $\varepsilon > 0$  one can indicate an  $l > 0$  such that in every interval of length  $l$  there exists at least one value  $\tau$  which is an  $\varepsilon$ -period simultaneously for both functions  $x(t)$

\* That is, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  one can indicate an  $l > 0$  such that in each interval of length  $l$  there exists at least

and  $f(t)$ :  $|x(t+\tau) - x(t)| \leq \varepsilon$ ,  $|f(t+\tau, x) - f(t, x)| \leq \varepsilon$  ( $-\infty < t < +\infty$ ,  $x \in K$ ), whence it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(t + \tau, x(t + \tau)) - f(t, x(t))| &\leq |f(t + \tau, x(t + \tau)) - f(t + \tau, x(t))| \\ &\quad + |f(t + \tau, x(t)) - f(t, x(t))| \\ &\leq L|x(t + \tau) - x(t)| + \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon(1 + L), \end{aligned}$$

i.e., the number  $\tau$  is an  $\varepsilon(1 + L)$ -period of the function  $f(t, x(t))$ . Consequently, the function  $f(t, x(t))$  is almost periodic and  $P\widetilde{W} \subseteq \widetilde{W}$ .

In the case when the operator  $f(t, x)$  is periodic in  $t$ :  $f(t + \omega, x) \equiv f(t, x)$ , the invariance of the subspace  $\widetilde{W} \subseteq W$ , consisting of all  $\omega$ -periodic functions, is obvious.

The theorem is proved.

4. As a simple application of this theorem we give the following result for the second-order equation

$$\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} = f\left(t, x, \frac{dx}{dt}\right), \quad (14)$$

considered in the Hilbert space  $H$ . If  $f(t, x, y)$  is continuous and continuously differentiable with respect to  $x, y$ , and  $f'_x(t, x, y)$  and  $f'_y(t, x, y)$  are bounded and satisfy the inequalities

$$(f'_x(t, x, y)h, h) \geq m(h, h), \quad |(f'_y(t, x, y)h, k)| \leq \delta|h||k|, \quad (15)$$

where  $m - (\delta/2)^2 > 0$ , then, provided  $|f(t, 0, 0)|$  is bounded, the assertions of Theorem 3 hold for equation (15).

5. Let the conditions of Theorem 3 be fulfilled. Then, if  $|f(t, 0)|$  is bounded, equation (1) has a unique bounded solution  $x^*(t)$ . The behavior of unbounded solutions of equation (1) is characterized by the following theorem, which generalizes Theorem 2.

**Theorem 4.** In the space  $H$  one can specify two manifolds  $\mathfrak{M}_-$  and  $\mathfrak{M}_+$  possessing the following properties. Both  $\mathfrak{M}_-$  and  $\mathfrak{M}_+$  are graphs of certain continuous mappings  $H_- (H_+)$  into  $H_+ (H_-)$ . The manifold  $\mathfrak{M}_-$  lies in the region  $I_- : (Ax, x) \leq 0$ , and the manifold  $\mathfrak{M}_+$  in the region  $I_+ : (Ax, x) \geq 0$  (in the case when  $f(t, x) = A(t)x + y(t)$ , both manifolds are subspaces whose direct sum is equal to  $H$ ). If  $x(0) - x^*(0) \in \mathfrak{M}_-$  ( $\mathfrak{M}_+$ ), then the difference  $x(t) - x^*(t)$

tends exponentially to zero (to infinity) as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  and tends exponentially to infinity (to zero) as  $t \rightarrow -\infty$ . Finally, if  $x(0) - x^*(0) \notin \mathfrak{M}_- \cup \mathfrak{M}_+$ , then the difference  $x(t) - x^*(t)$  tends exponentially to infinity as  $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$ .

Theorem 4, in the formulation of which we have restricted ourselves only to the case of a sign-changing operator  $A$ , generalizes a number of theorems on conditional stability from [4] to the case when equation (1) is considered as a whole.

The author expresses his gratitude to M. A. Krasnosel'skii for a number of suggestions.

Voronezh State University

Received  
27 I 1960

## References

1. B. M. Levitan, *Almost-periodic functions*, Moscow, 1953.
2. B. P. Demidovich, *Matem. sbornik*, **40** (82), 73 (1956).
3. M. A. Krasnosel'skii, A. I. Perov, *DAN*, **123**, No. 2 (1958).
4. E. A. Coddington, N. Levinson, *Theory of Ordinary Differential Equations*, II, 1958.

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*