



---

Soviet-era science, translated into English

# MATHEMATICS

O. V. SARMANOV and V. K. ZAKHAROV

1960

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196001.74511>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

## Abstract

## Full Text

MATHEMATICS

O. V. SARMANOV and V. K. ZAKHAROV

# MAXIMAL COEFFICIENTS OF MULTIPLE CORRELATION

(Presented by Academician S. N. Bernstein on 8 X 1959)

In the present paper the concept of the maximal coefficient of correlation between two random variables, considered in works <sup>(1, 2)</sup>, is extended to multiple correlation between  $n$  random variables having a density.

1. Let the random variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  take values in the domain  $\Omega : \{a_i \leq x_i \leq b_i; i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . In particular,  $\Omega$  may coincide with the whole space  $R_n$ .

Consider a subspace  $R_m$  of the space  $R_n$ ,  $m \leq n$ . Let  $R_m$  be divided into two nonintersecting subspaces  $R_k$  and  $R_{m-k}$ , of dimensions  $k$  and  $m-k$ , respectively. Denote by  $\Omega_1$  the intersection of  $\Omega$  with  $R_k$ , and by  $\Omega_2$  the intersection of  $\Omega$  with  $R_{m-k}$ , and by  $Q, Q_1$ , and  $Q_2$  arbitrary vectors of the subspaces  $R_m, R_k$ , and  $R_{m-k}$ , respectively.

Let  $p(Q)$  be the density of the distribution in the domain  $\Omega_1 + \Omega_2$ ; then the distribution densities in  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  are determined, respectively, by the integrals

$$p_1(Q_1) = \int_{\Omega_2} p(Q) dQ_2, \quad p_2(Q_2) = \int_{\Omega_1} p(Q) dQ_1. \quad (1)$$

It is always assumed that the restriction

$$\int_{\Omega_1 + \Omega_2} \frac{p^2(Q)}{p_1(Q_1)p_2(Q_2)} dQ < \infty \quad (2)$$

is satisfied.

**Definition 1.** The **maximal coefficient of correlation**  $\bar{r}(Q_1, Q_2)$  between the random vectors  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  will be called the greatest, in absolute value, value of the integral

$$I(\varphi, \psi) = \int_{\Omega_1 + \Omega_2} p(Q) \varphi(Q_1) \psi(Q_2) dQ \quad (3)$$

in the class of functions  $\varphi, \psi$  satisfying the conditions

$$\int_{\Omega_1} p_1(Q_1)\varphi(Q_1) dQ_1 = \int_{\Omega_2} p_2(Q_2)\psi(Q_2) dQ_2 = 0, \quad (4)$$

$$\int_{\Omega_1} p_1(Q_1)\varphi^2(Q_1) dQ_1 = \int_{\Omega_2} p_2(Q_2)\psi^2(Q_2) dQ_2 = 1. \quad (5)$$

**Remark.** From the extremal meaning of the eigenvalues of the kernel

$$\frac{p(Q)}{\sqrt{p_1(Q_1)p_2(Q_2)}}$$

it follows that the maximal coefficient of correlation between  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  is equal to the first eigenvalue  $\frac{1}{\lambda_1}$  of this kernel <sup>(1, 2)</sup>.

**2. Main theorem.** *For the complete independence of the random variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ , it is necessary and sufficient that all possible maximal correlation coefficients vanish, each of which is computed for a pair of vectors taken from nonintersecting subspaces of the space  $R_n$  (the sum of the dimensions of these vectors ranges from 2 to  $n$ ).*

**Proof.** The necessity is obvious, since in the case of independence of the random variables any two vectors  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  will be independent,  $p(Q) = p_1(Q_1)p_2(Q_2)$ , and the integral (3) is identically equal to zero, since the admissible functions satisfy condition (4).

On the other hand, it is easy to show that from the vanishing of  $\bar{r}(Q_1, Q_2)$  there follows the independence of  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$ . Indeed, the bilinear expansion of the kernel

$$\frac{p(Q)}{\sqrt{p_1(Q_1)p_2(Q_2)}} \sim \sqrt{p_1(Q_1)p_2(Q_2)} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_i(Q_1)\sqrt{p_1(Q_1)}\psi_i(Q_2)\sqrt{p_2(Q_2)}}{\lambda_i} \quad (6)$$

converges to it in the mean by virtue of condition (2).

The vanishing of  $\bar{r}(Q_1, Q_2)$ , equivalent to the vanishing of  $\frac{1}{\lambda_1}$  and, consequently, of all the other eigenvalues  $\frac{1}{\lambda_i}$ ,  $i = 2, 3, \dots$ , leads to the equality

$$\int_{\Omega_1+\Omega_2} \left[ \frac{p(Q)}{\sqrt{p_1(Q_1)p_2(Q_2)}} - \sqrt{p_1(Q_1)p_2(Q_2)} \right]^2 dQ = 0, \quad (7)$$

whence the independence of  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  follows.

If, however, any two vectors with different components from  $R_n$  are independent, then all  $n$  random variables are jointly independent, as was required to prove.

**Remark 1.** It is clear that the number of conditions ensuring independence can be greatly reduced; for example, it is sufficient to ensure the independence of  $n - 1$  pairs of vectors:

$$x_1 \text{ and } x_2; \quad (x_1, x_2) \text{ and } x_3; \dots; \quad (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}) \text{ and } x_n,$$

i.e., it is sufficient to consider only  $n - 1$  coefficients

$$\bar{r}_{(1,2,\dots,i-1);i} = \bar{r}\{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}), x_i\}, \quad i = 2, 3, \dots, n. \quad (8)$$

**Remark 2.** If the density is symmetric with respect to  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  (up to now symmetry has nowhere been assumed), then the number of conditions ensuring independence can be reduced still further; thus, for  $n = 2^k$ , instead of the  $n - 1$  coefficients (8), it is sufficient to consider only  $k$  coefficients

$$\bar{r}\{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i), (x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}, \dots, x_{2i})\}, \quad i = 1, 2, 2^2, \dots, 2^{k-1}. \quad (9)$$

From the vanishing of all coefficients (9) there follows the complete independence of  $n = 2^k$  random variables in the symmetric case.

3. The dependence between  $n$  random variables can also be characterized by a single number

$$\bar{r}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \frac{\sum_{i=2}^n \bar{r}_{(1,2,\dots,i-1);i}^2}{\sum_{i=2}^n |\bar{r}_{(1,2,\dots,i-1);i}|}, \quad (10)$$

which we shall call the **maximal summary correlation coefficient** between  $n$  random variables.

From the main theorem and formula (10) there follows the

**Corollary.** *For complete independence of  $n$  random variables it is necessary and sufficient that the reduced maximal correlation coefficient (10) vanish.*

4. In the case of normal correlation the eigenfunctions  $\varphi_i(Q_1)$ ,  $\psi_i(Q_2)$  in the expansion (6) will be multidimensional Hermite polynomials, and the first eigenfunctions will be polynomials of the first degree; therefore the maximum of the modulus of the functional (3) is attained in this case on the linear functions  $\varphi_1(Q_1)$  and  $\psi_1(Q_2)$ . A. N. Kolmogorov drew our attention to the latter circumstance.

5. Let us consider, as an example, the normal correlation among three variables  $x, y, z$  with means 0 and variances 1; it is known that in this case the density of the joint distribution is completely determined by specifying the three ordinary correlation coefficients  $r_{12}, r_{13}, r_{23}$ .

From the expansion (6) in this case we find that

$$\bar{r}_{(1,2),3} = \sqrt{\frac{r_{13}^2 + r_{23}^2 - 2r_{12}r_{13}r_{23}}{1 - r_{12}^2}} = r_{(1,2),3}, \quad (11)$$

i.e. it coincides with the ordinary partial correlation coefficient between  $z$  and the pair  $(x, y)$ ; the expression for the latter coefficient is given, for example, on p. 392 of the book <sup>(3)</sup> (formula 114).

Moreover, in this case

$$\varphi_1(x, y) = \frac{\alpha x + \beta y}{\sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + 2\alpha\beta r_{12}}}, \quad \psi_1(z) = z, \quad (12)$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{r_{13} - r_{12}r_{23}}{1 - r_{12}^2}, \quad \beta = \frac{r_{23} - r_{12}r_{13}}{1 - r_{12}^2}, \quad (13)$$

with  $\bar{r}_{12} = r_{12}$ , and

$$\bar{r}(x, y, z) = \frac{r_{12}^2 + r_{(1,2);3}^2}{|r_{12}| + |r_{(1,2);3}|}. \quad (14)$$

In the symmetric case, when  $r_{12} = r_{13} = r_{23} = r$ , formula (14) takes the form

$$\bar{r}(x, y, z) = |r| \frac{3 + |r|}{1 + |r| + \sqrt{2(1 + |r|)}}; \quad (15)$$

thus, for normal variables the fact that the necessary and sufficient conditions for independence are the conditions  $r_{12} = r_{13} = r_{23} = 0$  follows from formulas (11) and (14).

Mathematical Institute named after V. A. Steklov  
Academy of Sciences of the USSR

Received  
6 X 1959

## References

1. O. V. Sarmanov, DAN, **120**, No. 4 (1958).
2. O. V. Sarmanov, DAN, **121**, No. 1 (1958).
3. S. N. Bernstein, *Probability Theory*, 4th ed., 1946.

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*