



Soviet-era science, translated into English

Reports of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR

1960

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196001.67097>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

Reports of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR

1960. Vol. 132, No. 5

MATHEMATICS

G. V. VIRABYAN

**ON THE SPECTRUM OF AN OPERATOR
AND ON THE DIRICHLET PROBLEM FOR
THE EQUATION**

$$\square^2 u + 4 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \square u + 2 \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial t^4} = f(x, y, z, t)$$

(Presented by Academician S. L. Sobolev on 24 II 1960)

Let Ω be a domain in the finite part of the four-dimensional space $XYZT$, bounded by the surface

$\Gamma(x, y, z, t) \equiv x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + t^2 - 1 = 0$, the unit sphere with center at the origin.

1°. In the domain Ω consider the Hilbert space $H_B^*(\Omega)$, which is obtained by completing the linear manifold D_B of infinitely differentiable functions of finite support in Ω (vanishing in some boundary strip of the domain Ω) with scalar product

$$\begin{aligned} (u, v)_B = & \int \dots \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z^2} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial z^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial t^2} \right. \\ & + 2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial y} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x \partial y} + 2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial z} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x \partial z} + 2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial t} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x \partial t} + 2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y \partial z} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y \partial z} \\ & \left. + 2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y \partial t} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y \partial t} + 2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z \partial t} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial z \partial t} \right\} d\Omega + \iiint_{\Gamma} u d\sigma \cdot \iiint_{\Gamma} v d\sigma \\ & + \iiint_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} d\sigma \cdot \iiint_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} d\sigma. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

For $u, v \in D_B$, (1) takes the form

$$(u, v)_B = \int \dots \int_{\Omega} \Delta^2 u \cdot v d\Omega. \tag{1^*}$$

In the space $H_B^*(\Omega)$ consider the operator B^2 , defined by the formula $B^2 = \Delta^{-2} \frac{\partial^4}{\partial t^4}$, where Δ^{-2} is the operator inverse to the four-dimensional biharmonic Laplace operator under the boundary conditions

$$u|_{\Gamma} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \Big|_{\Gamma} = 0;$$

n is the outward normal to the boundary surface Γ .

Theorem 1. *The operator B^2 is a symmetric bounded and positive-definite operator on the dense manifold D_B of the space $H_B^*(\Omega)$.*

We shall denote the self-adjoint extension of the operator B^2 in $H_B^*(\Omega)$ by the same letter B^2 .

Theorem 2. *The spectrum of the operator B^2 in $H_B^*(\Omega)$ is discrete.*

Proof. The scheme of the proof of this theorem is essentially analogous to the method of P. Denchev ⁽¹⁾. We shall briefly outline this scheme. Let R_n be the space of all polynomials of degree not exceeding n ; let N be its dimension. Introduce a scalar product in R_n by the formula: $(p, q) = \int \cdots \int_{\Omega} pq \Gamma^2 d\Omega$ for $p, q \in R_n$. Consider in R_n the operators:

$$L_1(p) = \frac{\partial^4}{\partial t^4}(\Gamma^2 p), \quad L_2(p) = \Delta^2(\Gamma^2 p) \quad (2)$$

for all $p \in R_n$. The operators L_1 and L_2 are symmetric and map R_n into itself; moreover, the operator L_2 is positive. Then, by the well-known theorem from linear algebra [1] on reducing two quadratic forms to a canonical basis, we conclude that there exist N numbers $\lambda_1^2, \lambda_2^2, \dots, \lambda_N^2$ and N linearly independent polynomials $\gamma_1(x, y, z, t), \gamma_2(x, y, z, t), \dots, \gamma_N(x, y, z, t)$ such that

$$L_1(\gamma_k) - \lambda_k^2 L_2(\gamma_k) = 0 \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, N); \quad (3)$$

$$(L_2 \gamma_i, \gamma_j) = \delta_{ij} \quad (i, j = 1, 2, \dots, N). \quad (4)$$

The polynomials

$$\chi_k(x, y, z, t) = \Gamma^2(x, y, z, t) \gamma_k(x, y, z, t) \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, N) \quad (5)$$

will be eigenfunctions of the operator B^2 in $H_B^*(\Omega)$. Assigning the values $n = 1, 2, \dots$, we obtain an infinite system of polynomial eigenfunctions for the operator B^2 in $H_B^*(\Omega)$. This system will be complete in $H_B^*(\Omega)$. Indeed, first note that every polynomial $\mathcal{P}(x, y, z, t)$ that vanishes on the boundary surface Γ together with its normal derivative has the form

$$\mathcal{P}(x, y, z, t) = \Gamma^2(x, y, z, t) \cdot P(x, y, z, t). \quad (6)$$

Next, every polynomial of the form (6) is a linear combination of the polynomial eigenfunctions $\{\chi_k(x, y, z, t)\}$ of the operator B^2 in $H_B^*(\Omega)$. On the other hand, by polynomials of this form one can uniformly approximate, together with their derivatives, smooth finite functions in Ω which, according to the definition of the Hilbert space $H_B^*(\Omega)$, are in turn everywhere dense in $H_B^*(\Omega)$ in the sense of the convergence of this space. This proves the completeness of the polynomial eigenfunctions of the operator B^2 in $H_B^*(\Omega)$, and the theorem is proved.

2°. In this paragraph we prove the possibility of applying the result obtained above to the study of the following boundary-value problem:

$$L(u) \equiv \square^2 u + 4 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \square u + 2 \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial t^4} = f(x, y, z, t), \quad (7)$$

$$u|_{\Gamma} = 0, \quad (8)$$

$$\left. \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \right|_{\Gamma} = 0, \quad (8')$$

where

$$\square \equiv \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}$$

is the four-dimensional wave operator, and n is the outward normal to the boundary surface Γ .

The following theorem holds, which shows, in a certain sense, the connection of the boundary-value problem (7), (8), (8') with the spectrum of the operator B^2 in $H_B^*(\Omega)$. Here Ω is a finite domain bounded by a sufficiently smooth surface Γ .

Theorem 3. *If the operator B^2 in $H_B^*(\Omega)$ has a complete orthonormal system of eigenfunctions, then, for uniqueness of the solution of the boundary-value problem (7), (8), (8'), it is necessary and sufficient that the number $\mu^* = 1/2$ not be an eigenvalue of the operator B^2 in $H_B^*(\Omega)$.*

Proof. Necessity is obvious: indeed, if $\mu^* = 1/2$ were an eigenvalue of the operator B^2 in $H_B^*(\Omega)$, then the corresponding eigenfunction u^* would be a nontrivial solution of the boundary-value problem; hence the boundary-value problem (7), (8), (8') would have a nonunique solution.

Let now $\mu^* = 1/2$ not be an eigenvalue for the operator B^2 in $H_B^*(\Omega)$, and suppose that u^* is a nontrivial solution of the boundary-value problem (7), (8),

(8'). Then $u^* \in H_B^*(\Omega)$ and, consequently, can be expanded in $H_B^*(\Omega)$ in a series in the eigenfunctions $\{\chi_k\}$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) of the operator B^2 , i.e.

$$u^* = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \chi_k. \quad (9)$$

Further, from

$$a_k = (u^*, \chi_k) = \iiint_{\Omega} \Delta^2 u^* \chi_k d\Omega = \iiint_{\Omega} u^* \Delta^2 \chi_k d\Omega = \frac{1}{\lambda_k} \iiint_{\Omega} \frac{\partial^4 u^*}{\partial t^4} \chi_k d\Omega,$$

$$a_k = \iiint_{\Omega} \Delta^2 u^* \chi_k d\Omega = 2 \iiint_{\Omega} \frac{\partial^4 u^*}{\partial t^4} \chi_k d\Omega \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, N) \quad (10)$$

it follows that $a_k = 0$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$), i.e. $u^*(x, y, z, t) \equiv 0$. The theorem is proved.

Let now $f(x, y, z, t) \in W_2^{(2)}(\Omega)$. Put

$$F(x, y, z, t) = \frac{1}{6} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^3 f(x, y, z, \tau) d\tau. \quad (11)$$

Since $F \in W_2^{(2)}(\Omega)$, we have

$$F = F_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k \chi_k, \quad (12)$$

where F_0 is a polynomial of order not exceeding 3.

Theorem 4. If the series

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{F_k^2}{(1/\lambda_k - 2)^2} \quad (13)$$

converges, then the boundary-value problem has a solution in $W_2^{(2)}(\Omega)$.

Proof. From condition (13) of the theorem it follows that the series

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \chi_k(x, y, z, t), \quad a_k = \frac{F_k}{1/\lambda_k - 2}, \quad (14)$$

converges in $W_2^{(2)}(\Omega)$. Let

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \chi_k = u^*(x, y, z, t).$$

This means that

$$S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \chi_k \rightarrow u^* \in W_2^{(2)}(\Omega). \quad (15)$$

We shall show that u^* is a solution of the boundary-value problem. To this end, first note that the function u^* satisfies the boundary conditions (8), (8'), since u^* is the limit, in the sense of $W_2^{(2)}(\Omega)$, of functions from $W_2^{(2)}(\Omega)$ satisfying these boundary conditions. Further, using the embedding theorems of S. L. Sobolev (2) and the relation

$$\frac{\partial^4 F}{\partial t^4} = f(x, y, z, t), \quad (16)$$

one can prove that

$$\iiint_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} u^* L\varphi \, d\Omega = \iiint_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} f\varphi \, d\Omega \quad (17)$$

for all $\varphi \in \Phi_0$, where Φ_0 is a linear manifold of infinitely differentiable finite functions. This means precisely that $u^*(x, y, z, t)$ is a solution of the boundary-value problem (7), (8), (8'). The theorem is proved.

3°. Let now H be the Hilbert space obtained by completing D_B in the sense of the scalar product

$$(u, v) = \iiint_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\} d\Omega. \quad (18)$$

In the space H consider the operator $B = \Delta^{-1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}$, where Δ^{-1} is the operator inverse to the four-dimensional Laplace operator under zero boundary conditions. It can be proved⁴ that the operator B on the linear manifold D_B , dense in H , is a symmetric, bounded, and positive-definite operator. We shall denote the hypermaximal extension of this operator by the same letter B .

Theorem 5. *The limiting spectrum of the operator B in H coincides with the interval $[0, 1]$.*

Proof. Consider the sequence of functions

$$u_{k,n}(x, y, z, t) = \frac{T_n\left(t \cos \frac{k \pi}{n} + \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2} \sin \frac{k \pi}{n}\right)}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}} + \frac{(-1)^{k+1} T_n\left(t \cos \frac{k \pi}{n} - \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2} \sin \frac{k \pi}{n}\right)}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}}, \quad (19)$$

where $n \geq 2$; $k = 2, 4, \dots, n-1$; $T_n(x) = \cos(n \arccos x)$ is the Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind of degree n . By direct verification^{3,5} one can see that the function $u_{k,n}(x, y, z, t)$ satisfies the boundary-value problem

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z^2} - \nu_{k,n}^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = 0, \quad (20)$$

$$u|_{\Gamma} = 0, \quad (21)$$

where $\nu_{k,n} = \operatorname{tg} \frac{k \pi}{n}$, $n \geq 2$, and k takes even values up to $n-1$. On the other hand, let us note that the solutions of the boundary-value problem (20), (21) are eigenfunctions for the operator B in H with eigenvalues

$$\lambda_{k,n}^2 = \frac{1}{1 + \nu_{k,n}^2},$$

and since the numbers

$$\lambda_{k,n}^2 = \frac{1}{1 + \operatorname{tg}^2 \frac{k \pi}{n}}$$

for $n \geq 2$, $k = 2, 4, \dots, n-1$ are everywhere dense in the interval $[0, 1]$, the limiting spectrum of the operator B in H coincides with $[0, 1]$. The theorem is proved.

In conclusion I express my deep gratitude to Acad. S. L. Sobolev for discussion of this work.

Computing Center
Academy of Sciences of the Armenian SSR

Received
25 I 1960

CITED LITERATURE

1. R. Denchev, DAN, **126**, No. 2 (1959).

2. S. L. Sobolev, *Some Applications of Functional Analysis in Mathematical Physics*, L., 1950.
3. R. A. Aleksandryan, DAN, **73**, No. 5 (1950).
4. G. V. Virabyan, DAN, **128**, No. 1 (1959).
5. R. Courant, D. Hilbert, *Methods of Mathematical Physics*, 2, 1951, p. 148.

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.