



Soviet-era science, translated into English

Reports of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR

1960

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196001.56107>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

Reports of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR
1960. Volume 134, No. 2

MATHEMATICS

M. A. NAIMARK

ON FACTOR-REPRESENTATIONS OF A LOCALLY COMPACT GROUP

(Presented by Academician A. N. Kolmogorov on 6 V 1960)

As is known, every unitary representation $g \rightarrow U_g$ of a locally compact* group decomposes into a (generally speaking, continuous) direct sum of irreducible representations (see, for example, ⁽¹⁾ or ⁽²⁾, Ch. II). In this decomposition there may occur representations that are equivalent to one another. It is natural to pose the question of such a decomposition in which all mutually equivalent representations are collected together, so that the continuous sum is already taken over classes of mutually equivalent representations**.

In the present paper decompositions of a representation into factor-representations are studied; from the results obtained there follows, in particular, a positive solution of the question posed above for groups of type I***.

We note that all the results set forth remain valid for *-representations of separable normed rings with involution.

1. Canonical decomposition of a representation into factor-representations. In what follows G will denote a locally compact group satisfying the second axiom of countability, and the term "representation U of the group G " will mean a continuous unitary representation $g \rightarrow U_g$ of the group G in a separable Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} . A representation U is called a **factor-representation** if the weakly closed ring M , generated by all U_g , $g \in G$, is a factor in the sense of Murray and von Neumann. As is known, if the space \mathfrak{H} of the representation U is decomposed into a continuous sum

$$\mathfrak{H} = \int_{\Lambda} \mathfrak{H}(\lambda) d\mu(\lambda)$$

with respect to the center Z of the ring M ****, then the representation U decomposes into representations $U(\lambda)$ in $\mathfrak{H}(\lambda)$, which will be factor-representations for almost all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. This decomposition is called the **canonical decomposition** of the representation into factor-representations.

Theorem 1**.** *Let*

$$\mathfrak{H} = \int_{\Lambda} \mathfrak{H}(\lambda) d\mu(\lambda)$$

and $U = \{U(\lambda)\}$ be the canonical decomposition of the representation U . Then there exists a set $\Lambda_0 \subset \Lambda$ of μ -measure zero such that for any $\lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda - \Lambda_0$, $\lambda \neq \lambda'$, the representations $U(\lambda)$ and $U(\lambda')$ are disjoint*****.

If G is a group of type I, then $U(\lambda)$ is a factor-representation of type I and therefore is a multiple of an irreducible representation; the disjointness of $U(\lambda)$ and

* By compactness here and below is meant bicomactness in the sense of P. S. Aleksandrov.

** This question also arises in connection with certain problems in probability theory, to which A. M. Yaglom drew the author's attention.

*** For the definition of a ring of type I and a group of type I see ^(2,3); see also ⁽⁴⁾, Ch. I.

**** That is, so that Z consists of all operators $A = \{c(\lambda)1\}$, where $c(\lambda)$ is a numerical function in $L_{\mu}^{\infty}(\Lambda)$.

***** A special case of this theorem was recently obtained by Guichardet ⁽⁵⁾.

***** Two representations U, V are called **disjoint** (see ⁽³⁾, p. 1) if no part of the representation U is equivalent to any part of the representation V .

$U(\lambda')$ means then that these representations are multiples of nonequivalent irreducible representations.

The application of Theorem 1 to the case under consideration thus leads to the following theorem, which answers the question posed at the beginning of the article.

Theorem 2. Let

$$\mathfrak{H} = \int_{\Lambda} \mathfrak{H}(\lambda) d\mu(\lambda)$$

and $U = \{U(\lambda)\}$ be the canonical decomposition of a representation U of a group G of type I.

Then there exists a set $\Lambda_0 \subset \Lambda$ of μ -measure zero and measurable families $\mathfrak{H}_k(\lambda)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, such that:

- 1) for $\lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda - \Lambda_0$, $\lambda \neq \lambda'$, the representations $U(\lambda)$ and $U(\lambda')$ are multiples of nonequivalent irreducible representations;

- 2) $\mathfrak{H}(\lambda) = \sum_k \mathfrak{H}_k(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \Lambda - \Lambda_0^*$;
- 3) $\mathfrak{H}_k(\lambda)$ is invariant with respect to $U(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$;
- 4) if $\lambda \in \Lambda - \Lambda_0$ and $\mathfrak{H}_k(\lambda) \neq (0)$, then the restriction of $U(\lambda)$ to $\mathfrak{H}_k(\lambda)$ is irreducible.

Remark. The assertion of Theorem 2 will not be valid for arbitrary representations of a group not of type I. Indeed, the assertion of Theorem 2 means that in the canonical decomposition of the representation U almost all the $U(\lambda)$ -factors are of type I; hence it follows (see, for example, ⁽²⁾, exercise on p. 125) that U is a representation of type I. Therefore, if G is a group not of type I, then there exist representations of the group G for which the assertion of Theorem 2 will not be valid.

2. Continuous sum of quasi-equivalent factor representations

Theorem 3. Let the representation U in the space \mathfrak{H} be a continuous sum of representations $U(\lambda)$ in the spaces $\mathfrak{H}(\lambda)$, so that

$$\mathfrak{H} = \int_{\Lambda} \mathfrak{H}(\lambda) d\mu(\lambda)$$

and $U = \{U(\lambda)\}$, and let there exist a set $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda$ of μ -measure zero such that all the representations $U(\lambda)$, for $\lambda \in \Lambda - \Lambda'$, are pairwise quasi-equivalent** factor representations. Then U is also a factor representation.

If, in addition, all $U(\lambda)$, for $\lambda \in \Lambda - \Lambda'$, are factor representations of type I, then U is also a factor representation of type I and therefore is a finite or countable discrete sum of mutually equivalent irreducible representations***.

Corollary. Let representations U_1 and U_2 in the spaces \mathfrak{H}_1 and \mathfrak{H}_2 be continuous sums of representations $U_1(\lambda_1)$, $U_2(\lambda_2)$ in the spaces $\mathfrak{H}_1(\lambda_1)$, $\mathfrak{H}_2(\lambda_2)$, so that

$$\mathfrak{H}_1 = \int_{\Lambda_1} \mathfrak{H}_1(\lambda_1) d\mu_1(\lambda_1), \quad U_1 = \{U_1(\lambda_1)\},$$

$$\mathfrak{H}_2 = \int_{\Lambda_2} \mathfrak{H}_2(\lambda_2) d\mu_2(\lambda_2), \quad U_2 = \{U_2(\lambda_2)\},$$

and let there exist sets $\Lambda'_1 \subset \Lambda_1$, $\Lambda'_2 \subset \Lambda_2$ of respectively ν_1 - and ν_2 -measure zero such that all $U_1(\lambda_1)$, $\lambda_1 \in \Lambda_1 - \Lambda'_1$, and $U_2(\lambda_2)$, $\lambda_2 \in \Lambda_2 - \Lambda'_2$, are factor representations quasi-equivalent to one another. Then U_1 and U_2 are quasi-equivalent factor representations.

3. Application to positive-definite functions

Applying Theorem 2 of § 1 to the representation defined by the given—

* For some $\lambda \in \Lambda$ it may be that $\mathfrak{H}_k(\lambda) = (0)$.

** Two representations U, V are called **quasi-equivalent** (see ⁽³⁾, § 1) if no part of U is disjoint from V and no part of V is disjoint from U .

*** The first assertion of the theorem is a continuous analogue of a proposition of Mackey (see ⁽³⁾, Lemma 1.2); the second assertion generalizes results of Mautner ⁽⁶⁾ and Pukanszky ⁽⁷⁾.

positive-definite function, we arrive at the following result.

Theorem 4. *Every continuous positive-definite function $\varphi(g)$ on a group G of type I can be represented in the form*

$$\varphi(g) = \int_{\Lambda} \left[\sum_k \varphi_k(g, \lambda) \right] d\mu(\lambda),$$

where $\varphi_k(g, \lambda)$ are elementary continuous positive-definite functions of g and measurable functions of λ such that:

- 1) $\varphi_k(g, \lambda)$ and $\varphi_\ell(g, \lambda)$ define equivalent irreducible representations;
- 2) $\varphi_k(g, \lambda)$ and $\varphi_\ell(g, \lambda')$, for $\lambda \neq \lambda'$, define inequivalent irreducible representations.

Moscow
Institute of Physics and Technology

Received
7 IV 1960

CITED LITERATURE

- ¹ M. A. Naimark, S. V. Fomin, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, **10**, 2, 64, 111 (1955).
- ² J. Dixmier, *Les algèbres d'opérateurs dans l'espace Hilbert en*, Paris, 1957.
- ³ G. W. Mackey, *Ann. Math.*, **58**, 2, 193 (1953).
- ⁴ I. Kaplansky, *Functional Analysis*, Surveys in Applied Mathematics, **4**, N. Y., 1958; Russian transl. *Matematika*, IL, **3**, 5, 1959, p. 91.
- ⁵ A. Guichardet, *C. R.*, **250**, 962 (1960).
- ⁶ F. I. Mautner, *Ann. Math.*, **52**, 528 (1950).
- ⁷ L. Pukanszky, *Acta Szeged*, **15**, 2, 145 (1954).

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.