



---

Soviet-era science, translated into English

# MATHEMATICS

1960

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://soviextrxiv.org/items/ru-196001.53387>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

## MATHEMATICS

I. S. Arshon and M. A. Evgrafov

### ESTIMATE OF THE GROWTH OF A SOLUTION OF A SYSTEM WITH NONHOMOGENEOUS BOUNDARY CONDITIONS AND THE PHRAGMÉN–LINDELÖF THEOREMS

*(Presented by Academician M. V. Keldysh, May 3, 1960)*

We shall consider the system

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = A \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + Pu, \quad B_1 u(x, 0) + B_2 u(x, 1) = f(x), \quad (1)$$

where  $A, P, B_1, B_2$  are matrices of order  $n$ ;  $u$  and  $f$  are vectors.

We impose the following restrictions on the matrices  $A, B_1, B_2$ :

- 1) Among the eigenvalues of the matrix  $A$  there are no purely real ones.
- 2) Denote by  $E^+$ , respectively  $E^-$ , the projection operator onto the sum of the invariant subspaces of the matrix  $A$  corresponding to the eigenvalues  $a_k$  for which  $\operatorname{Im} a_k > 0$ , respectively  $\operatorname{Im} a_k < 0$ , and require that

$$\det(B_1 E^+ + B_2 E^-) \neq 0, \quad \det(B_1 E^- + B_2 E^+) \neq 0. \quad (2)$$

Conditions 1) and 2), generally speaking, do not ensure completeness of the eigenfunctions of the system

$$\frac{du}{dy} = (zA + P)u, \quad B_1 u(0) + B_2 u(1) = 0. \quad (3)$$

With respect to the vector  $f(x)$ , assume that

$$3) \quad \|f(x) - f(s)\| < |x - s|^\alpha (\varphi(x) + \varphi(s)), \quad \alpha > 0, \quad (4)$$

where

$$\varphi(x) > 0, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\varphi'(x)}{\varphi(x)} = 0.$$

**Theorem 1.** If  $\det(B_1 + B_2 e^{P+zA})$  has no purely imaginary zeros, then there exists a solution  $u_0(x, y)$  of system (1) for which

$$u_0(x, y) = O(\varphi(x) + \|f(x)\|).$$

(It should be noted that the zeros of  $\det(B_1 + B_2 e^{P+zA})$  are eigenvalues of system (3).)

**Theorem 2.** If the greatest multiplicity of the purely imaginary zeros of  $\det(B_1 + B_2 e^{P+zA})$  is equal to  $p$ , then there exists a solution of system (1) for which

$$u_0(x, y) = O\left(\varphi(x) + \|f(x)\| + x^{p-1} \int_0^x \|f(t)\| dt\right).$$

**Theorem 3.** If  $\det(B_1 + B_2 e^{P+zA})$  has one simple purely imaginary zero  $z = i\lambda_0$ , then there exists a solution of system (1) of the form

$$u_0(x, y) = e^{Py+i\lambda_0(x+Ay)} G_0 \int_0^x e^{-i\lambda_0 t} f(t) dt + O(\varphi(x) + \|f(x)\|),$$

where  $G_0$  is a certain constant matrix of rank one.

The example given at the end of the article shows that in Theorems 1-3 one cannot dispense with restriction 3), even in the classical case of the system

Cauchy-Riemann (boundedness of a harmonic function does not imply boundedness of its conjugate).

Combining Theorems 1-3 with the results of the note [1], we obtain Phragmén-Lindelöf theorems for system (1). For example:

**Theorem 4.** If  $\det(B_1 + B_2 e^{P+zA})$  has no zeros in the strip  $0 \leq \operatorname{Re} z < \beta$ ,  $u(x, y)$  is a solution of system (1) satisfying the condition  $u(x, y) = o(e^{\beta x})$ ,  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ , then

$$u(x, y) = O(\varphi(x) + \|f(x)\|).$$

We shall divide the proof of Theorems 1-3 into four lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** Put

$$M(z, y) = e^{(P+zA)y} (B_1 + B_2 e^{P+zA})^{-1}.$$

For

$$|\arg z \pm \pi/2| \leq \eta, \quad \eta = \frac{1}{2} \min_k \{|\arg(\pm a_k)|\},$$

$$\|M(z, y)\| < C e^{-\tau y(1-y)|z|}, \quad 0 \leq y \leq 1.$$

**Proof.** Put  $A(z) = A + \frac{1}{z}P$ . It is clear that, for sufficiently large  $z$ , among the eigenvalues of the matrix  $A(z)$  there are no purely real ones. If  $E^+(z)$  and  $E^-(z)$  denote for  $A(z)$  the same as  $E^+$  and  $E^-$  for  $A$ , then, obviously,  $E^\pm(z) \rightarrow E^\pm$  as  $z \rightarrow \infty$ , and therefore

$$\det(B_1 E^\pm(z) + B_2 E^\mp(z)) \rightarrow \det(B_1 E^\pm + B_2 E^\mp).$$

Let, for definiteness,  $z \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $|\arg z - \pi/2| < \eta$ . Then

$$E^-(z)e^{zA(z)} = O(e^{-\tau|z|}), \quad E^+(z)e^{-zA(z)} = O(e^{-\tau|z|}), \quad \tau > 0. \quad (5)$$

Since  $M(z, y) = (B_1 e^{-yzA(z)} + B_2 e^{(1-y)zA(z)})^{-1}$ , putting

$$D = B_1 E^-(z)e^{-yzA(z)} + B_2 E^+(z)e^{(1-y)zA(z)},$$

$$\varepsilon = B_1 E^+(z)e^{-yzA(z)} + B_2 E^-(z)e^{(1-y)zA(z)},$$

we can, by virtue of the identity  $E^+(z) + E^-(z) = E$ , write

$$M(z, y) = (D + \varepsilon)^{-1} = D^{-1}(E + \varepsilon D^{-1})^{-1} = D^{-1} - D^{-1}\varepsilon D^{-1}(E + \varepsilon D^{-1})^{-1}. \quad (6)$$

Using the identities  $E^\pm(z)E^\mp(z) = 0$ ,  $(E^\pm(z))^2 = E^\pm(z)$ , and the commutativity of  $E^\pm(z)$  and  $A(z)$ , we easily find

$$D = (B_1 E^-(z) + B_2 E^+(z))(E^-(z)e^{-yzA(z)} + E^+(z)e^{(1-y)zA(z)}),$$

$$D^{-1} = (E^-(z)e^{yzA(z)} + E^+(z)e^{-(1-y)zA(z)})(B_1 E^-(z) + B_2 E^+(z))^{-1},$$

$$\varepsilon D^{-1} = (B_1 E^+(z)e^{-zA(z)} + B_2 E^-(z)e^{zA(z)})(B_1 E^-(z) + B_2 E^+(z))^{-1}.$$

By (5) this gives us  $D^{-1} = O(e^{-\tau y(1-y)|z|})$ ,  $\varepsilon D^{-1} = O(e^{-\tau|z|})$ , whence, with the aid of (6), we obtain the assertion of the lemma.

**Lemma 2.** *The residue of  $M(z, y)e^{zx}$  in the pole  $z = z_n$  of multiplicity  $p$  has the form  $e^{z_n x} H_n(x, y)$ , where  $H_n(x, y)$  is a polynomial in  $x$  of degree  $p - 1$  and*

$$B_1 H_n(x, 0) + B_2 H_n(x, 1) = 0.$$

**Proof.** We have

$$\operatorname{res}_{z=z_n} M(z, y)e^{zx} = \frac{1}{(p-1)!} \frac{d^{p-1}}{dz^{p-1}} (z - z_n)^p e^{zx} M(z, y) \Big|_{z=z_n} = e^{z_n x} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} x_{n,k}^k H_{n,k}(y),$$

and, since by definition  $B_1 M(z, 0) + B_2 M(z, 1) = E$ , it follows that

$$B_1 H_n(x, 0) + B_2 H_n(x, 1) = \frac{e^{-z_n x}}{(p-1)!} \frac{d^{p-1}}{dz^{p-1}} (z - z_n)^p e^{zx} E \Big|_{z=z_n} = 0,$$

which proves the lemma.

Denote by  $L_\delta^\pm$  the boundary of the angle  $|\arg(\pm z - \delta)| < \pi/2 - \eta_1$ , where  $\delta > 0$  and  $0 < \eta_1 < \eta$  (see Lemma 1) are chosen so that between  $L_\delta^+$  and  $L_\delta^-$  lie ...

have only purely imaginary poles of  $M(z, y)$ . By  $L_0$  we denote an arbitrary closed contour lying between  $L_\delta^+$  and  $L_\delta^-$  and containing inside itself the point  $z = 0$  and all purely imaginary poles of  $M(z, y)$ .

**Lemma 3.** Denote

$$K(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{L_\delta^-} M(z, y) e^{zx} dz, \quad x > 0,$$

$$K(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{L_\delta^+} M(z, y) e^{zx} dz, \quad x < 0.$$

The inequality

$$\|K(x, y)\| < \frac{C e^{-\delta|x|}}{|x| + \tau_1 y(1-y)}, \quad 0 \leq y \leq 1$$

holds.

**Proof.** Let, for definiteness,  $x < 0$ . From Lemma 1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|K(x, y)\| &< \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{L_\delta^+} |e^{zx}| \|M(z, y)\| |dz| < C_1 \int_0^\infty e^{-\delta|x|-r|x|\sin\eta_1} e^{-r\tau y(1-y)} dr = \\ &= \frac{C_1 e^{-\delta|x|}}{\tau y(1-y) + |x|\sin\eta_1} = \frac{C e^{-\delta|x|}}{|x| + \tau_1 y(1-y)}, \end{aligned}$$

as was required.

We define the desired solution  $u_0(x, y)$  by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} u_0(x, y) &= \int_{-\infty}^\infty K(x-t, y)(f_1(t) - f_1(x)) dt + \sum_{\operatorname{Re} z_n = 0} \int_{-\infty}^x e^{z_n(x-t)} H_n(x-t, y) \times \\ &\quad \times f_1(t) dt + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{L_0} \frac{M(z, y)}{z} dz f_1(x) = u_1(x, y) + u_2(x, y) + u_3(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

where  $f_1(x) = f(x)$  for  $x \geq 0$ ,  $f_1(x) = 0$  for  $x \leq -1$ , and it satisfies condition (4).

**Lemma 4.** The function  $u_0(x, y)$  is continuous for  $x \geq 0$ ,  $0 \leq y \leq 1$  and satisfies system (1). Moreover,  $u_1(x, y) = O(\varphi(x))$ .

**Proof.** We have

$$\|u_1(x, y)\| = \left\| \int_0^\infty K(u, y)(f_1(x-u) - f_1(x)) du + \int_0^\infty K(-u, y)(f_1(x+u) - f_1(x)) du \right\|$$

$$\begin{aligned} &< C \int_0^\infty u^{\alpha-1} e^{-\delta u} [\varphi(x-u) + 2\varphi(x) + \varphi(x+u)] du < \\ &< C_1 \varphi(x) \int_0^\infty u^{\alpha-1} e^{-(\delta-\delta_1)u} du = O(\varphi(x)), \end{aligned}$$

since from  $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\varphi'(x)}{\varphi(x)} = 0$  it follows that, for any  $\delta_1 > 0$ ,

$$\frac{\varphi(x+u)}{\varphi(x)} < C_{\delta_1} e^{\delta_1 |u|}.$$

Hence we also obtain the continuity of  $u_1$ . The continuity of  $u_2$  and  $u_3$  is obvious.

To verify the boundary conditions, note that for  $x \neq t$

$$B_1 K(x-t, 0) + B_2 K(x-t, 1) = 0$$

Thus,  $B_1 u_1(x, 0) + B_2 u_1(x, 1) = 0$ . Further, by Lemma 2,

$$B_1 u_2(x, 0) + B_2 u_2(x, 1) = 0,$$

$$B_1 u_3(x, 0) + B_2 u_3(x, 1) = \operatorname{res}_{z=0} \frac{B_1 M(z, 0) + B_2 M(z, 1)}{z} f(x) = \operatorname{res}_{z=0} \frac{E}{z} f(z) = f(x).$$

It remains to verify that for  $x > 0$ ,  $0 < y < 1$  the derivatives  $\partial u_0 / \partial x$ ,  $\partial u_0 / \partial y$  exist and that  $\partial u_0 / \partial y = A \partial u_0 / \partial x + P u_0$ . For  $0 < y < 1$ , in view of the estimates of Lemma 3, we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(x-t, y) [f_1(t) - f_1(x)] dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(x-t, y) f_1(t) dt - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(u, y) du f_1(x).$$

Since  $M(z, y) = O(e^{-\tau y(1-y)|z|})$ , in the definition of  $K(x, y)$  for  $0 < y < 1$  integration over the contours  $L_\delta^+$  and  $L_\delta^-$  may be replaced by integration along the straight lines  $\operatorname{Re} z = \delta$  and  $\operatorname{Re} z = -\delta$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(u, y) du &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^0 \int_{\delta-i\infty}^{\delta+i\infty} M(z, y) e^{zu} dz du + \int_0^\infty \int_{-\delta-i\infty}^{-\delta+i\infty} M(z, y) e^{zu} dz du \right\} = \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left\{ \int_{\delta-i\infty}^{\delta+i\infty} M(z, y) \frac{dz}{z} - \int_{-\delta-i\infty}^{-\delta+i\infty} M(z, y) \frac{dz}{z} \right\} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{L_0} M(z, y) \frac{dz}{z}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_0(x, y) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(x-t, y) f_1(t) dt + \sum_{\operatorname{Re} z_n=0} \int_{-\infty}^x e^{z_n(x-t)} H_n(x-t, y) f_1(t) dt = \\
 &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_1(x-t, y) f_1(t) dt,
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$K_1(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\delta-i\infty}^{\delta+i\infty} M(z, y) e^{zx} dz, \quad 0 < y < 1.$$

As in Lemma 3, we easily obtain the inequalities

$$\|K_1(x, y)\| < C_y e^{\delta x}, \quad \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} K_1(x, y) \right\| < C_y e^{\delta x}, \quad x < 0.$$

From these inequalities and from 4) it is clear that differentiation under the integral sign is admissible, and the lemma is completely proved.

Applying Lemma 4 to each of the cases, we obtain Theorems 1-3.

The following example shows that in Theorems 1-3 one cannot make do with conditions only on the growth of  $\|f(x)\|$ . We take the Cauchy-Riemann system with boundary conditions

$$B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and construct a bounded and differentiable  $f(x)$  such that nothing can be said about the growth of the particular solution.

Namely, put

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(x) &= \begin{pmatrix} \alpha(x, 0) \\ \alpha(x, 1) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where } \alpha(x, y) = \operatorname{Re} g(x + iy), \\
 g(z) &= i \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(e^{\pi z} - n)^2 + 1} \ln \frac{1 - ip_n(e^{\pi z} - n)}{p_n + 1}, \quad p_n \rightarrow \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

The solution of least growth will be

$$u_0(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Re} g(x + iy) \\ \operatorname{Im} g(x + iy) \end{pmatrix},$$

and it is not difficult to check that, by choosing  $p_n$ , the function  $u_0(x, y)$  can be made to tend to infinity arbitrarily fast.

Received  
28 IV 1960

## REFERENCES

1. M. A. Evgrafov, *DAN*, **126**, No. 3 (1959).

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*