



---

Soviet-era science, translated into English

# Mathematics

Corresponding Member of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR D.  
E. Men' shov

1960

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196001.12515>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

## Abstract

## Full Text

*Mathematics*

Corresponding Member of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR D. E. Men'shov

# ON THE SUMMATION OF ORTHOGONAL SERIES BY LINEAR METHODS

Let us take a matrix

$$B = \|b_{in}\| \quad (1)$$

with elements  $b_{in}$ ,  $i, n = 1, 2, \dots$ , and some numerical series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n. \quad (2)$$

Denote by  $S_n$  the partial sums of the series (2), i.e., put

$$S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n u_k. \quad (3)$$

As is known, the series (2) is called summable to the value  $S$  by the linear method determined by the matrix  $B$  if, first, the series

$$T_i = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{in} S_n \quad (4)$$

converges for every  $i$ , and, second,

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} T_i = S. \quad (5)$$

A linear method is called regular if every series converging to a finite sum  $S$  is summable by the given method to the same sum  $S$ .

Necessary and sufficient conditions are known for a linear method to be regular.

A linear method determined by a matrix  $B$  with real elements is called completely regular if, first, it is regular in the usual sense and if, second, every real

series converging to  $+\infty$  or to  $-\infty$  is summable by the given method to infinity of the same sign.

Examples of completely regular linear methods are the Cesàro methods  $(C, \alpha)$  of positive order  $\alpha$ .

Let us take some orthonormal system  $\{\varphi_n(x)\}$  on the interval  $[a, b]$ . The series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \varphi_n(x) \quad (6)$$

is called an orthogonal series from  $L^2$  if it is the Fourier series of a square-integrable function with respect to the system  $\{\varphi_n(x)\}$ , i.e., if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n^2 < +\infty. \quad (7)$$

The following theorem is known:

*In order that the orthogonal series (6) from  $L^2$  be summable by the Cesàro method of positive order almost everywhere on  $[a, b]$ , it is necessary and sufficient that*

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} S_{2^u}(x) \quad (8)$$

*exist and have a finite value almost everywhere on  $[a, b]$ , where  $u = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $S_n(x)$  is the partial sum of the series (6).*

This theorem was proved by Kaczmarz for the method  $(C, 1)$  and by Zygmund for the method  $(C, \alpha)$  for any  $\alpha > 0^*$ .

The question arises whether the preceding theorem will remain true for any linear regular summability method if, in its formulation, the sequence of numbers  $2^u$ ,  $u = 1, 2, \dots$ , is replaced by some other increasing sequence of natural numbers  $n_u$ ,  $u = 1, 2, \dots$ , depending in general on the summability method. The answer to this question is negative. In order to formulate the result, we introduce two definitions.

**Definition 1.** Let two linear methods  $A$  and  $B$  and an ON system  $\{\varphi_n(x)\}$ , defined on the interval  $[a, b]$ , be given. We shall say that the methods  $A$  and  $B$  are **equivalent in the space  $L^2$**  for the system  $\{\varphi_n(x)\}$  if every Fourier series of a function from  $L^2[a, b]$  either is summed simultaneously by the methods  $A$  and  $B$  to one and the same sum almost everywhere on  $[a, b]$ , or is not summed simultaneously by these methods almost everywhere on the same interval.

**Definition 2.** Let an increasing sequence of natural numbers  $n_u$ ,  $u = 1, 2, \dots$ , be given. We shall say that the numerical series (2) is **summed by the method  $T[n_u]$  to the sum  $S$** , if

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} S_{n_u} = S,$$

where  $S_n$  is defined by equality (3).

Kaczmarz proved the following theorem:

\*If condition (7) is satisfied and if the orthogonal series (6) is summed by some linear regular method  $B$  almost everywhere on  $[a, b]$ , then there exists an increasing sequence of natural numbers  $n_u$ ,  $u = 1, 2, \dots$ , depending only on the method  $B$ , such that the series (6) is summed by the method  $T[n_u]$  almost everywhere on  $[a, b]$ \*\*.\*

However, in this theorem the method  $T[n_u]$  is not necessarily equivalent to the method  $B$  in the sense of Definition 1; namely, one can prove the following theorem:

**Theorem A.** *There exists a linear fully regular method  $B$  and an ON system of functions  $\varphi_n(x)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , defined and bounded in their totality on  $[0, 1]$ , such that for every increasing sequence of natural numbers  $n_u$ ,  $u = 1, 2, \dots$ , the method  $B$  is not equivalent to the method  $T[n_u]$  in the space  $L^2$  for the given system of functions.*

The elements  $b_{ni}$ ,  $n, i = 1, 2, \dots$ , of the matrix corresponding to the method  $B$ , which is discussed in Theorem A, are defined as follows.

First of all, we define the numbers  $\nu_r$  by the equality

$$\nu_r = 2^{r^{16}} \quad (r = 1, 2, \dots).$$

\* (1), Theorem 1.1.8, p. 219, and Theorem 5.8.5, p. 222.

\*\* (1), Theorem 5.7.4, p. 214.

Next put

$$N_0 = 0, \quad N_r = 2 \sum_{\rho=1}^r \nu_\rho^2, \quad N'_r = N_{r-1} + \nu_r^2 \quad (r = 1, 2, \dots)$$

and, for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , define the natural number  $r_i$  from the condition

$$N_{r_i-1} < i \leq N_{r_i} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots).$$

It is clear that

$$N_{r_i-1} < N'_{r_i} < N_{r_i} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots).$$

We now define the quantities  $b_{in}$  as follows:

1) If

$$N_{r_i-1} < i < N'_{r_i},$$

then we put

$$b_{ii} = \eta_i, \quad b_{i, i + \nu_{r_i}^2} = \eta_i, \quad b_{i, N_{r_i}} = 1,$$

$$b_{in} = 0 \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots; n \neq i; n \neq i + \nu_{r_i}^2; n \neq N_{r_i}),$$

where

$$\eta_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{\lg \nu_{r_i}}}.$$

2) If

$$i = N'_{r_i},$$

then we put

$$b_{ii} = \eta_i, \quad b_{i, N_{r_i}} = 1 + \eta_i,$$

$$b_{in} = 0, \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots; n \neq i; n \neq N_{r_i}).$$

3) If

$$N'_{r_i} < i \leq N_{r_i},$$

then we put

$$b_{i, N_{r_i}} = 1, \quad b_{in} = 0 \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots; n \neq N_{r_i}).$$

It is easy to show that the method  $B$ , defined by the matrix  $\|b_{in}\|$ , is a completely regular method. Moreover, on  $[0, 1]$  one can define such an  $ON$  system of functions  $\varphi_n(x)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , bounded in their totality, that for the method  $B$  defined above and for this system of functions theorem A will be valid. Thus theorem A will be proved.

Received  
28 XII 1959

## CITED LITERATURE

1. S. Kaczmarz, H. Steinhaus, *Theory of Orthogonal Series*, translated by R. S. Guter and P. L. Ulyanov, IL, 1958.

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*