



---

Soviet-era science, translated into English

# MATHEMATICS

M. G. KREIN

1960

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196001.11296>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

## Abstract

## Full Text

MATHEMATICS

M. G. KREIN

# ON THE THEORY OF LINEAR NON-SELF-ADJOINT OPERATORS

(Presented by Academician S. L. Sobolev on 16 IX 1959)

In what follows,  $\mathfrak{H}$  denotes a separable Hilbert space;  $\mathfrak{A}$  is the linear ring of all linear bounded operators acting in  $\mathfrak{H}$ ;  $\mathfrak{J}$  is the two-sided ideal in  $\mathfrak{A}$  of all completely continuous operators;  $\mathfrak{S}$  is the two-sided ideal in  $\mathfrak{A}$  of all operators  $A \in \mathfrak{J}$  such that  $\text{Sp}(A^*A)^{1/2} < \infty$  (i.e., having trace  $\text{Sp } A$  absolutely convergent).

If  $A \in \mathfrak{S}$ , then the quantity  $\det(I + A)$  is meaningful, defined as the limit, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , of the determinants  $|\delta_{jk} + (A\varphi_j, \varphi_k)|_1^n$ , where  $\{\varphi_j\}_1^\infty$  is any orthonormal basis in  $\mathfrak{H}$ ; this limit always exists and does not depend on the choice of basis. It is easily shown that if  $A, B \in \mathfrak{J}$ ,  $AB \in \mathfrak{S}$  and  $BA \in \mathfrak{S}$ , then  $\det(I + AB) = \det(I + BA)$ .

If  $A, B \in \mathfrak{A}$ ,  $B - A \in \mathfrak{S}$ , then the determinant

$$D_{B/A}(\lambda) = \det[(I - \lambda B)(I - \lambda A)^{-1}] = \det[I + \lambda(B - A)(I - \lambda A)^{-1}]$$

is meaningful for all complex  $\lambda$  for which  $(I - \lambda A)^{-1} \in \mathfrak{A}$  exists. In (1) it was already noted that if  $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ ,  $B - A \in \mathfrak{S}$ ,  $C - B \in \mathfrak{S}$ , then  $D_{C/A}(\lambda) = D_{C/B}(\lambda)D_{B/A}(\lambda)$ .

An operator  $A \in \mathfrak{A}$  is called **dissipative** if, in its decomposition into Hermitian components  $A = A_R + iA_J$ , the imaginary component  $A_J = (A - A^*)/2i$  is a nonnegative operator:  $(A_J f, f) \geq 0$  ( $f \in \mathfrak{H}$ ).

The following generalization of a theorem of M. S. Livshits<sup>(2)</sup> and M. S. Brodskii<sup>(3)</sup> on the characteristic operator of a dissipative operator holds.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $A = G + iH$  ( $H = A_J$ ) be a dissipative operator,  $B = G + iF$ , where  $-H \leq F \leq H$ .

Then, for  $\text{Im } \lambda < 0$ , the operator

$$W_\lambda = I + i(H - F)^{1/2}(A - \lambda I)^{-1}(H - F)^{1/2}$$

is nonexpanding, i.e.  $\|W_\lambda f\| \leq \|f\|$  ( $f \in \mathfrak{H}$ ).

The theorem follows from the easily verified identity

$$I - W_\lambda^* W_\lambda = (H - F)^{1/2} [R_\lambda^* (H + F) R_\lambda - 2 \operatorname{Im} \lambda R_\lambda^* R_\lambda] (H - F)^{1/2},$$

where  $R_\lambda = (A - \lambda I)^{-1}$ . If, in addition, the condition  $H \in \mathfrak{S}$  is fulfilled (i.e.  $\operatorname{Sp} H < \infty$ ), then, putting  $T = A - B = H - F$ , we shall have

$$D_{B/A}(\lambda) = \det[I - i\lambda T(I - \lambda A)^{-1}] = \det[I - i\lambda T^{1/2}(I - \lambda A)^{-1} T^{1/2}] = \det W_{1/\lambda}.$$

**Theorem 2.** *If the operators  $A$  and  $B$  satisfy the conditions of the preceding theorem and  $\operatorname{Sp} H < \infty$ , then  $|D_{B/A}(\lambda)| \leq 1$  for  $\operatorname{Im} \lambda > 0$ .*

If  $A = G + iH \in \mathfrak{R}$ ,  $H \in \mathfrak{S}$ , then, putting  $A_1 = G + iH_1$ ,  $H_1 = H_+ + H_-$ , where  $H_+$  and  $H_-$  are the orthogonal nonnegative operators from the decomposition  $H = H_+ - H_-$ , we shall have

$$D_{G/A}(\lambda) = D_{G/A_1}(\lambda) D_{A_1/A}(\lambda) = D_{G/A_1}(\lambda) / D_{A/A_1}(\lambda),$$

where, by Theorem 2, the functions  $D_{G/A_1}(\lambda)$  and  $D_{A/A_1}(\lambda)$  will have modulus  $\leq 1$  for  $\operatorname{Im} \lambda > 0$ . Hence

**Theorem 3.** *If  $A = G + iH \in \mathfrak{R}$ ,  $H \in \mathfrak{S}$ , then inside the upper (lower) half-plane  $\operatorname{Im} \lambda > 0$  ( $\operatorname{Im} \lambda < 0$ ) the function  $D_{G/A}(\lambda)$  can be represented as a quotient of two holomorphic bounded functions.*

**2.** By the multiplicity of an  $x$ -number (characteristic number) of an operator  $A \in \mathfrak{S}$  is meant the dimension of the corresponding root subspace of the operator  $A$ . By  $n(r; A)$ ,  $n_\pm(r; A)$  are denoted, respectively, the exact number (i.e., counting multiplicity) of the  $x$ -numbers of the operator  $A$  in the circle  $|\lambda| \leq r$ , in the interval  $(0, r]$  or  $[-r, 0]$ .

An operator  $A \in \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}$  is called **Volterra** if it has no  $x$ -numbers.

A number of assertions of Theorem 4 below are easily derived from Theorem 3 and from a theorem of the author <sup>(4)</sup>, according to which an entire function  $f(\lambda)$ , representable inside each of the two half-planes  $\operatorname{Im} \lambda > 0$  and  $\operatorname{Im} \lambda < 0$  as a quotient of two bounded holomorphic functions, always has the properties:

$$1) \quad \ln |f(\lambda)| = O(|\lambda|) \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty; \quad 2) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|\ln |f(\lambda)||}{1 + \lambda^2} d\lambda < \infty. \quad (1)$$

**Theorem 4.** *If the operator  $A = G + iH$  is Volterra and  $H \in \mathfrak{S}$ , then the entire function  $f(\lambda) = D_{G/A}(\lambda) \exp(-i\lambda \operatorname{Sp} H)$  has the properties (1) and is representable in the form*

$$f(\lambda) = \prod_j (1 - \lambda/a_j) e^{\lambda/a_j},$$

where  $\{a_j\}$  is the complete sequence of  $x$ -numbers of the operator  $A_*$ . For this sequence there exists the common limit

$$\frac{h}{\pi} = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n_+(r; G)}{r} = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n_-(r; G)}{r},$$

where  $|\operatorname{Sp} H| \leq h \leq \operatorname{Sp} |H| (= \operatorname{Sp} H_+ + \operatorname{Sp} H_-)$ . If, in particular, the operator  $A$  is dissipative, then  $h = \operatorname{Sp} H$ .

A weaker assertion was formulated in <sup>(5)</sup>. The general method set forth in <sup>(6)</sup> (see also <sup>(1)</sup>) makes it possible to draw the following conclusion from Theorem 4.

**Theorem 5.** *If the operator  $A = G + iH \in \mathfrak{S}$  is dissipative,  $\operatorname{Sp} H < \infty$ , and at least one of the two conditions is satisfied:*

$$1) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n_+(r; G)}{r} = 0; \quad 2) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n_-(r; G)}{r} = 0,$$

then the system of root vectors of the operator  $A$  is complete in  $\mathfrak{H}$ .

This theorem, being a strengthening of Theorem 1 from <sup>(1)</sup>, in essence already follows from the considerations given in the present article.

**3.** If  $A = G + iH \in \mathfrak{S}$  and  $H \in \mathfrak{S}$ , then

$$D_{A^*/A}(\lambda) = D_{A^*/G}(\lambda)D_{G/A}(\lambda) = D_{G/A}(\lambda)/\overline{D_{G/A}(\lambda)}. \quad (2)$$

Let us explain that we write  $g(\lambda) = \overline{f(\lambda)}$  if  $g(\overline{\lambda}) = \overline{f(\lambda)}$ . From (2) it follows that  $|D_{A^*/A}(\lambda)| = 1$  for  $\operatorname{Im} \lambda = 0$ . Hence, from Theorem 3, one obtains without difficulty:

**Theorem 6.** *If the operator  $A = G + iH \in \mathfrak{S}$  and  $H \in \mathfrak{S}$ , then*

$$D_{A^*/A}(\lambda) = e^{2ia\lambda} \prod_j \frac{1 - \lambda/\overline{\lambda}_j}{1 - \lambda/\lambda_j},$$

where  $\{\lambda_j\}$  is the complete sequence of characteristic values of the operator  $A$ ,

$$a = \operatorname{Sp} H - \sum_j \operatorname{Im} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \right). \quad (3)$$

4. As is known, the logarithmic length  $L_g$  of a measurable set  $\Delta \subset (1, \infty)$  is the integral over  $\Delta$  of  $dr/r$ . In the paper <sup>(7)</sup> W. K. Hayman established an important theorem which, in particular, contains the following proposition.

Let  $u(\lambda)$  be a nonnegative superharmonic function in the open upper half-plane, and let

$$h = \inf(u(\lambda)/\operatorname{Im} \lambda),$$

where  $\lambda$  ranges over this half-plane. Then there exists a set  $\Delta \subset (1, \infty)$  with  $L_g(\Delta) < \infty$  such that, as  $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ , outside  $\Delta$ , uniformly in  $\theta$  ( $0 < \theta < \pi$ ), the limiting relation

$$\lim(u(\rho e^{i\theta})/\rho) = h \sin \theta$$

will hold.

This proposition, in combination with Theorems 3 and 6, and also with Jensen's formula:

$$N(\rho; G) - N(\rho; A) = \int_0^\rho \frac{n(r; G)}{r} dr - \int_0^\rho \frac{n(r; A)}{r} dr = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \ln |D_{G/A}(\rho e^{i\theta})| d\theta$$

leads to the following conclusion:

**Theorem 7.** If  $A = G + iH \in \mathfrak{S}$ ,  $H \in \mathfrak{S}$ , then, as  $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ , outside a suitable set  $\Delta$  with  $L_g(\Delta) < \infty$ , the asymptotic relation

$$N(\rho; G) - N(\rho; A) = c\rho + o(\rho), \quad (4)$$

holds, where the constant  $c \geq 2|a|/\pi$  (the quantity  $a$  is defined in (3)). If the operator  $A$  is dissipative, then  $c = 2a/\pi$ .

Hence, and from a theorem of M. S. Livshits<sup>(2)</sup> (see also<sup>(8)</sup>), it follows that

**Theorem 8.** In order that the system of root vectors of a completely continuous dissipative operator  $A = G + iH$  with  $H \in \mathfrak{S}$  be complete, it is necessary and sufficient that

$$N(\rho; G) - N(\rho; A) = o(\rho),$$

as  $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ , outside a suitable set  $\Delta$  with  $L_g(\Delta) < \infty$ .

It should be pointed out that in his recent paper<sup>(9)</sup> B. Ya. Levin developed methods that allowed him to show (without using infinite determinants) that, in the case of a dissipative operator  $A = G + iH \in \mathfrak{S}$  with  $\operatorname{Sp} H < \infty$ , the asymptotic inequality

$$N(\rho; G) - N(\rho; A) \leq \rho \operatorname{Sp} H + o(\rho)$$

holds as  $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ , outside some set  $\Delta$  with  $L_g(\Delta) < \infty$ . A joint discussion of the considerations of paper<sup>(9)</sup> showed that a little must be added to them in order, for the indicated case, to obtain relation (4) with some  $c \leq 2a/\pi$  (for this case Theorem 7 asserts that  $c = 2a/\pi$ ). After this the author reexamined the

methods of his paper <sup>(1)</sup> and found that, after supplementing them somewhat, one can arrive at the results of the present paper.

Odessa Civil Engineering Institute

Received  
16 IX 1959

## CITED LITERATURE

- <sup>1</sup> M. G. Krein, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, **14**, no. 3 (87), (1959).
- <sup>2</sup> M. S. Livshits, *Mat. Sb.*, **34** (76), 1 (1954).
- <sup>3</sup> M. S. Brodskii, *Mat. Sb.*, **39** (81), 2 (1956).
- <sup>4</sup> M. G. Krein, *Izv. AN SSSR, Ser. Mat.*, **11**, 309 (1947).
- <sup>5</sup> I. Ts. Gohberg, M. G. Krein, *DAN*, **128**, no. 2 (1959).
- <sup>6</sup> V. B. Lidskii, *DAN*, **119**, no. 6 (1958); *Tr. Moskov. Mat. Obshch.*, **8**, 83 (1959).
- <sup>7</sup> W. K. Hayman, *J. Math. Pures et Appl.*, **35**, 115 (1956).
- <sup>8</sup> B. R. Mukminov, *DAN*, **99**, no. 4 (1954).
- <sup>9</sup> B. Ya. Levin, *Sb. Tr. Kharkov. Inst. Inzh. Zh.-D. Transporta*, 1959.

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*