

**ON  
 $\backslash(n\backslash)$ -DIMENSIONAL  
WIDTHS OF CERTAIN  
FUNCTIONAL CLASSES**

1960

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196001.06127>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

**MATHEMATICS**

**V. M. TIKHOMIROV**

**ON  $n$ -DIMENSIONAL WIDTHS OF CERTAIN FUNCTIONAL CLASSES**

*(Presented by Academician A. N. Kolmogorov on 14 IX 1959)*

1. Let  $F$  be a set lying in a Banach space  $R$ , consisting of elements  $\{x, y, \dots, f, \dots\}$ ; let  $L_n$  be some linear  $n$ -dimensional subspace. We introduce the quantities

$$\rho(x, L_n) = \inf_{y \in L_n} \|x - y\|, \quad \delta(F, L_n) = \sup_{x \in F} \rho(x, L_n).$$

The latter quantity will be called the **deviation of the set  $F$  from the subspace  $L_n$** . The lower bound of  $\delta(F, L_n)$  over all subspaces  $L_n$  of dimension  $n$  will be called the  **$n$ -dimensional width of the set  $F$**  and will be denoted by  $d_n(F)$ .  $n$ -Dimensional widths for functional classes were introduced by A. N. Kolmogorov in the paper <sup>(1)</sup>, where they were computed for certain classes of functions in the metric  $L^2$ .

We prove the following theorem on  $n$ -dimensional widths\*.

**Theorem.** *Let  $U$  be the unit sphere in the space  $R$ ; let  $F_{n+1}$  and  $U_{n+1}$  be sections of the sets  $F$  and  $U$  by some  $(n+1)$ -dimensional subspace  $L_{n+1}$ . Then, if  $\alpha U_{n+1} \subseteq F_{n+1}$ , then  $d_n(F) \geq \alpha$ .*

Using this theorem, we compute two  $n$ -dimensional widths in the metric  $C$  of the following classes, known in approximation theory, of  $2\pi$ -periodic functions with mean value zero:

- a) the class  $F_r$  of real functions  $f(x)$  for which

$$\text{vrai max } |f^{(r)}(x)| \leq 1;$$

- b) the class  $A_h$  of functions  $f(z) = f(x + iy)$ , real on the real axis, regular in the strip  $-h < y < +h$  and satisfying there the inequality  $|\text{Re } f(z)| < 1$ ;
- c) the class  $\Gamma_\rho$ ,  $\rho < 1$ , of functions  $f(\theta) = u(\rho, \theta)$ ,  $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$ , where the functions  $u(r, \theta)$ ,  $0 \leq r \leq 1$ ,  $0 < \theta \leq 2\pi$ , are harmonic in the disk of radius one and satisfy in it the inequality  $|u(r, \theta)| < 1$ ,

and also

d) the class  $B_k$  of functions  $f(z)$ , analytic in the disk  $|z| < 1$ , for which  $|f^{(k)}(z)| < 1$ ,  $|z| < 1$ .

We shall show that:

$$d_{2n}(F_r) = \delta(F_r, T_{2n}) = \frac{4}{\pi(n+1)^r} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m (r+1)}{(2m+1)^r + 1}; \quad (\text{I})$$

$$d_{2n}(A_h) = \delta(A_h, T_{2n}) = \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^m \frac{1}{(2m+1)} \frac{1}{\text{ch}(2m+1)(n+1)h}; \quad (\text{II})$$

$$d_{2n}(\Gamma_\rho) = \delta(\Gamma_\rho, T_{2n}) = \frac{4}{\pi} \text{arc tg } \rho^{n+1}. \quad (\text{III})$$

\* The expression  $x+\gamma U$ , where  $\gamma > 0$ ,  $U$  is a set, denotes here the set of points representable in the form  $x+\gamma U$ .

The values of the deviations for the listed classes from the space  $T_{2n}$  of polynomials

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (a_k \cos kx + b_k \sin kx)$$

were computed long ago in the works of J. Favard <sup>(2)</sup> and N. I. Akhiezer and M. G. Krein <sup>(3)</sup> for the class  $F_r$ , of N. I. Akhiezer <sup>(4)</sup> for the class  $A_h$ , and of M. G. Krein <sup>(5)</sup> for the class  $\Gamma_\rho$ .

Relations (I)–(III) show that the subspace  $T_{2n}$  is “closest,” in the metric  $C$ , to the sets  $F_r$ ,  $A_h$ , and  $\Gamma_\rho$  among all possible linear subspaces of dimension  $2n$ .<sup>\*</sup> For the class  $B_k$  the following relation holds:

$$d_n(B_k) = \delta(B_k, P_n) = \frac{1}{n(n-1) \dots (n-k+1)}.$$

The value of the deviation of the class  $B_k$  from the space  $P_n$  of algebraic polynomials was computed in a recent work of K. I. Babenko <sup>(6)</sup>.

In § 4 we give formulas for  $n$ -dimensional widths and their estimates for the classes  $F_q^{E_s}$  of functions of  $s$  variables of smoothness  $q$  and for the class of functionals  $F_\beta^{\tilde{F}_1^{(a,b)}}$ , for which there is no developed theory of approximation by any fixed subspace.

2. We proceed to the proof of the theorem. The  $n$ -dimensional space  $L_n$  appearing below is regarded as realized as a coordinate  $n$ -dimensional space with points  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ . The zero vector of  $L_n$  will be denoted by  $\theta$ . In  $L_n$  Lebesgue measure is assumed to be specified.

**Lemma.** *Let  $U_N$  be a convex centrally symmetric body in the affine  $N$ -dimensional space  $R_N$  with center at zero; let  $U_{n+1}$  be the section of  $U_N$  by some fixed  $(n+1)$ -dimensional subspace  $L_{n+1}^* \subset R_N$ ,  $n+1 \leq N$ ; let  $S_n$  be the boundary of  $U_{n+1}$ . Then for every  $n$ -dimensional subspace  $L_n$  there exists a point  $x_0 = x_0(L_n) \in S_n$  such that the hyperplane  $x_0 + L_n$  is a supporting hyperplane of  $U_n$ , i.e., for every  $\varepsilon > 0$*

$$(1 + \varepsilon)x_0 + L_n \cap U_N = \emptyset. \quad (\text{A})$$

The convex centrally symmetric body  $U_N$  specified in the lemma defines in  $R_N$  a Banach metric in which it itself is the sphere. Relation (A) means that in this metric  $\rho(x_0, L_n) = 1$ .

Thus our lemma can be reformulated as follows: *the  $n$ -dimensional width of an  $n$ -dimensional sphere does not decrease when it is embedded in a subspace of a larger number of dimensions.*

**Proof of the lemma.** The Banach metric defined by the body  $U_N$  determines a certain topology in  $R_N$ . Consider the following nonnegative function of two variables  $x \in S_n$  and  $y \in L_n$ :

$$\varphi(x, y) = \begin{cases} \max \gamma \text{ over all } \gamma \text{ such that } x + y + \gamma \bar{U}_N \in \bar{U}_N, & \text{if } x + y \in \bar{U}_N, \\ 0, & \text{if } x + y \in R_N \setminus \bar{U}_N. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

The function  $\varphi(x, y)$  is continuous. Indeed, if  $\varphi(x_0, y_0) = \alpha > 0$ , then for any  $\delta > 0$  and  $x + y \in x_0 + y_0 + \delta U_N$  we obtain that  $\alpha - \delta \leq \varphi(x, y) \leq \alpha + \delta$ ; whereas if  $\varphi(x_0, y_0) = 0$ , then for any  $\delta$  and  $x + y \in x_0 + y_0 + \delta U_N$  we obtain  $0 \leq \varphi(x, y) \leq 2\delta$ .

Now put

$$\psi(x) = \max_{y \in L_n} \varphi(x, y).$$

It is clear that  $\psi(x)$  is continuous on  $S_n$ . The assertion of the lemma is equivalent to the existence of a point  $x_0 \in S_n$  such that  $\psi(x_0) = 0$ . Suppose the contrary. Let  $\psi(x) > 0$  for every point  $x \in S_n$ , and consequently, by the continuity of  $\psi(x)$  and the compact-

\* We note in this connection that the space  $T_{2n}$ , generally speaking, is not the only subspace satisfying the e.

of  $S_n$ ,  $\psi(x) \geq \alpha > 0$ . For each  $x$  consider the set  $G(x) \subset L_n$ , evidently nonempty, such that if  $y \in G(x)$ , then

$$\varphi(x, y) \geq \frac{1}{2}\alpha. \quad (2)$$

From (2) and the continuity of  $\varphi(x, y)$  follows the closedness of the set  $G(x)$ . The set  $G(x)$  is convex. Indeed, if  $y_1, y_2 \in G(x)$ , then this means that

$$x + y_1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha\bar{U}_N \subset \bar{U}_N, \quad x + y_2 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha\bar{U}_N \subset \bar{U}_N.$$

But then also

$$x + \gamma_1 y_1 + \gamma_2 y_2 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha\bar{U}_N \in \bar{U}_N, \quad \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 = 1, \quad \gamma_1 \geq 0, \quad \gamma_2 \geq 0,$$

in view of the convexity of the set  $U_N$ . Moreover, the set  $G(x)$  contains the zero vector  $\theta$  for no  $x$ , since, by (1),  $\varphi(x, \theta) = 0$ , and, in consequence of the equality  $\varphi(\bar{x}, -y) = \varphi(x, y)$ , where  $\bar{x}$  denotes the point symmetric to  $x$  with respect to the center (recall that  $U_N$ , and hence also  $S_n$ , are centrally symmetric), we obtain that the sets  $G(x)$ , as functions of  $x$ , are cosymmetric:  $G(\bar{x}) = -G(x)$ . Finally, from the continuity of the function  $\varphi(x, y)$  it is easy to derive the continuous dependence of the set  $G(x)$  on  $x$ . In view of the convexity of  $G(x)$ , all these sets are measurable.

Let  $\eta(x)$  denote the center of gravity of the set  $G(x)$ . From what has been said above it follows that:

- a)  $\eta(x)$  is a vector of  $n$  dimensions, continuously depending on  $x$ ;
- b)  $\eta(x) \neq \theta$  at no point  $x \in S_n$ ;
- c)  $\eta(\bar{x}) = -\eta(x)$ .

But, according to Borsuk's theorem <sup>(7)</sup>, on the  $n$ -dimensional sphere there cannot exist an  $n$ -dimensional vector field satisfying properties a)–c).\*

We have arrived at a contradiction. The lemma is proved. The theorem follows simply from the lemma. Let  $L_n$  be some linear subspace. Consider the minimal subspace  $R_N$  such that it contains the subspace  $L_{n+1}^*$  of the theorem and the given subspace  $L_n$ . Let  $U_N$  denote the intersection of the unit sphere  $U$  with the subspace  $R_N$ .

According to the lemma, there will be found a point  $x_0 \in \alpha\bar{U}_{n+1} \subset F_{n+1} \subset F$  such that, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$(1 + \varepsilon)x_0 + L_n \cap \alpha\bar{U}_N = \emptyset$$

and, consequently,

$$(1 + \varepsilon)x_0 + L_n \cap \alpha U = 0. \quad (3)$$

It is easy to understand that relation (3) means that

$$\rho(x_0, L_n) \geq \frac{\alpha}{1 + \varepsilon}.$$

In view of the arbitrariness of  $\varepsilon$  and  $L_n$ , we obtain what was required in the theorem.

3. We shall now derive relation (1). Let  $f_0(x)$  denote the function from  $F_r$  for which

$$f_0^{(r)}(x) = \operatorname{sgn} \sin nx.$$

The function  $f_0(x)$  has the following properties:

a)

$$\max_{x \in [0, 2\pi]} |f_0(x)| = \frac{4}{\pi n^r} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m(r+1)}}{(2m+1)^{r+1}};$$

- b)  $f_0(x)$  assumes its maximum value with alternating signs at  $2n$  consecutive points of the interval  $[0, 2\pi)$ :

$$x_k = \frac{k\pi}{n} + \frac{\pi\varepsilon}{2}$$

( $k = 0, 1, \dots, 2n-1$ ),  $\varepsilon = 1$ , if  $r$  is even, and  $\varepsilon = 0$ , if  $r$  is odd. Denote by  $\Delta_k$  the interval

$$k\pi \leq x \leq (k+1)\pi, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, 2n-1.$$

Consider  $2n$  piecewise-constant functions:

$$\varphi_k(x) = 1, \quad \text{if } x \in \Delta_k; \quad \varphi_k(x) = 0, \quad \text{if } x \notin \Delta_k.$$

Let  $L_{2n-1}^*$  denote the  $(2n-1)$ -dimensional space of functions  $f(x)$  for which

$$f^{(r)}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} c_k \varphi_k(x), \quad \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} c_k = 0.$$

\* We note that Borsuk's theorem can be easily derived from the well-known theorem of Lusternik-Schnirelmann on the covering of spheres, as is done in (8), p. 107.

we prove that for every function  $f(x) \in L_{2n-1}^*$  for which  $|f^{(r)}(x)| \leq 1$ ,  $0 \leq x \leq 2\pi$ , and on some  $\Delta_k$ ,  $|f^{(r)}(x)| = 1$ , the inequality

$$\max_{x \in [0, 2\pi]} |f(x)| \geq \max_{x \in [0, 2\pi]} |f_0(x)|. \quad (4)$$

is satisfied.

The relations (I) are derived automatically from (4) and the theorem. Suppose, without loss of generality, that  $f^{(r)}(x) = +1$  for  $x \in \Delta_0$ . We split the function  $f(x)$  into two:  $f(x) = f_0(x) + f_1(x)$ .

Assume that for some function  $f(x)$  the inequality opposite to (4) holds. Then the function  $f_1(x)$  will have  $2n$  sign changes at the points  $x_k$ . Applying Lagrange's theorem  $r - 1$  times, we obtain that the function  $f^{(r-1)}(x)$  also has  $2n$  sign changes, which is impossible, since it is piecewise linear on the  $2n - 1$  intervals  $\Delta_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2n - 1$ , and constant on  $\Delta_0$ .

The equalities (II) and (III) can be obtained on the basis of analogous arguments.

4. A real functional  $F(f)$  on a set  $A$  from a Banach space  $R$  will be called satisfying the Hölder condition with exponent  $\beta$ ,  $0 < \beta \leq 1$ , if

$$|F(f) - F(f')| \leq \|f - f'\|^\beta.$$

By  $F_\beta^A$  we denote the set of all such functionals.

In particular,  $F_\beta^{[a,b]}$  denotes the set of functions on the interval  $[a, b]$  satisfying the usual Hölder condition

$$|f(x) - f(x')| \leq |x - x'|^\beta.$$

$F_\beta^{E_s}$  is the set of functionals satisfying the Hölder condition with exponent  $\beta$  on the  $s$ -dimensional cube  $E_s : |x_k| \leq 1/2$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , with metric

$$\|x - x'\| = \max_{1 \leq k \leq s} |x_k - x'_k|.$$

$\tilde{F}_\beta^{[a,b]}$  is the set of functionals satisfying the Hölder condition with exponent  $\beta$  on the space  $\tilde{F}_1^{[a,b]}$  of functions  $f(x)$  satisfying on  $[a, b]$  the Lipschitz condition ( $\beta = 1$ ) with  $f(a) = 0$ .

Finally, let  $F_q^{E_s}$  denote the set of functions  $f(x_1, \dots, x_s)$  of  $s$  variables, of smoothness  $q$  in the sense of (9) (p. 32).

The following relations hold ( $f(n) \asymp g(n)$  for positive functions  $f$  and  $g$  of  $n$  means that as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $f = O(g)$  and  $g = O(f)$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{a) } d_n(F_\beta^{[a,b]}) &= \left(\frac{b-a}{2n}\right)^\beta; & \text{b) } d_n(F_\beta^{E_s}) &= \left(\frac{1}{2[n]^{1/s}}\right)^\beta; \\ \text{c) } d_n(F_q^{E_s}) &\asymp \frac{1}{n^{q/s}}; & \text{d) } d_n(\tilde{F}_\beta^{[a,b]}) &= \left\{ \frac{b-a}{2([\log_2 n] + 1)} \right\}^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Relation c) is a generalization of the relation  $d_n(F_q^{E_1}) \asymp 1/n^q$ , obtained by S. B. Stechkin (10).

In conclusion I express my deep gratitude to A. N. Kolmogorov for his attention to this work.

Moscow State University  
named after M. V. Lomonosov

Received  
10 IX 1959

### CITED LITERATURE

1. A. Kolmogoroff, *Ann. of Math.*, **37**, No. 1, 107 (1936).
2. J. Favard, *Bull. Sci. Math.*, **61**, 243 (1937).
3. N. I. Akhiezer, M. G. Krein, *DAN*, **15**, No. 2, 107 (1937).
4. N. I. Akhiezer, *DAN*, **18**, No. 4-5, 241 (1938).
5. M. G. Krein, *DAN*, **18**, No. 4-5, 245 (1938).
6. K. I. Babenko, *Izv. AN SSSR, ser. matem.*, **22**, No. 5 (1958).
7. K. Borsuk, *Fund. Math.*, **20**, 177 (1933).
8. M. A. Krasnosel'skii, *Topological Methods...*, Moscow, 1956.
9. A. N. Kolmogorov, V. M. Tikhomirov, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, **14**, No. 2 (86), 3 (1959).
10. S. B. Stechkin, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, **9**, No. 1 (59), 133 (1954).

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*