



---

Soviet-era science, translated into English

# MATHEMATICS

M. I. KADEC

1958

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-195801.90722>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

## Abstract

## Full Text

MATHEMATICS

M. I. KADEC

# ON WEAK AND STRONG CONVERGENCE

(Presented by Academician S. N. Bernstein on 5 V 1958)

The following proposition is known, connecting the notions of weak and strong convergence:

**Theorem 1.** *If a sequence  $x_n$  of elements of a uniformly convex space converges weakly to an element  $x$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\| = \|x\|$ , then the sequence  $x_n$  converges to  $x$  strongly <sup>(1)</sup>.*

This theorem was extended by Vyborny <sup>(2)</sup> to locally uniformly convex spaces <sup>(3)</sup>.

In the present note the following will be proved:

**Theorem 2.** *In any separable Banach space one can introduce a new norm, equivalent to the old one, such that for arbitrary elements  $x_n$  and  $x$  from*

$$x_n \xrightarrow{sl} x, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\| = \|x\| \quad (1)$$

it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\| = 0. \quad (2)$$

We shall prove this theorem for the space  $C$  of continuous functions defined on  $[0, 1]$ , and then use the universality of  $C$  in the class of separable Banach spaces.

On the set of continuous functions on  $[0, 1]$ , define the norm

$$\|f(t)\| = \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |f(t)| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \omega\left(f, \frac{1}{k}\right), \quad (3)$$

where  $\omega(f, \delta)$  is the modulus of continuity of the function  $f(t)$ :

$$\omega(f, \delta) = \max_{|t' - t''| \leq \delta} |f(t') - f(t'')|.$$

Since  $\omega(f, \delta) \leq 2 \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |f|$ , the norm (3) is equivalent to the norm of the space  $C$ :

$$\max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |f(t)| \leq \|f(t)\| \leq 3 \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |f(t)|.$$

The space of continuous functions with the norm (3) will be denoted by  $C^*$ . Let us prove some auxiliary propositions.

**Lemma 1.** *If a sequence  $\varphi_n(t)$  converges to zero at every point of the interval  $[0, 1]$  and  $\|\varphi_n\| = 1$ , then for any  $\delta > 0$*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega(\varphi_n, \delta) \geq \frac{1}{3}. \quad (4)$$

Take  $n_0$  so large that for all  $n > n_0$  and arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  the inequality  $|\varphi_n(t)| < \varepsilon$  holds on a set  $E$ , whose measure ...

greater than  $1 - \delta$ . If  $\varphi_n(t'_n) = \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |\varphi_n(t)|$ ,  $t''_n \in E$ , and  $|t'_n - t''_n| < \delta$ , then

$$|\varphi_n(t'_n) - \varphi_n(t''_n)| > \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |\varphi_n(t)| - \varepsilon,$$

whence (4) follows.

**Lemma 2.** *If the sequence  $\varphi_n(t)$  converges to zero at every point of the interval  $[0, 1]$ , then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega(f + \varphi_n, \delta) \geq \omega(f, \delta) \quad (5)$$

for every continuous function  $f(t)$  and  $\delta > 0$ .

Let  $|t' - t''| \leq \delta$  and  $|f(t') - f(t'')| = \omega(f, \delta)$ . Choose  $n_0$  so large that, for all  $n > n_0$  and arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the inequalities  $|\varphi_n(t')| < \varepsilon/2$  and  $|\varphi_n(t'')| < \varepsilon/2$  hold; then

$$|f(t') + \varphi_n(t') - f(t'') - \varphi_n(t'')| \geq |f(t') - f(t'')| - \varepsilon,$$

whence (5) follows.

Now let  $\varphi_n \in C^*$  be a sequence weakly converging to zero such that  $\|\varphi_n\| > 3\varepsilon > 0$ , and let  $f$  be an arbitrary element of  $C^*$ . Define the index  $q = q(\varepsilon)$  so that

$$\omega\left(f, \frac{1}{k}\right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{8} \quad \text{for } k > q. \quad (6)$$

Choose  $n$  so as to satisfy the conditions

$$\sum_{k=q+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \omega\left(\varphi_n, \frac{1}{k}\right) > \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{q+1}}, \quad (7)$$

which can be done on the basis of (4), and

$$\omega\left(f + \varphi_n, \frac{1}{k}\right) > \omega\left(f, \frac{1}{k}\right) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{q+3}} \quad \text{for } k \leq q. \quad (8)$$

Split the sum in (3) into two:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \omega\left(f + \varphi_n, \frac{1}{k}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^q \frac{1}{2^k} \omega\left(f + \varphi_n, \frac{1}{k}\right) + \sum_{k=q+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \omega\left(f + \varphi_n, \frac{1}{k}\right).$$

Estimate from below each of the sums obtained:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^q \frac{1}{2^k} \omega\left(f + \varphi_n, \frac{1}{k}\right) &> \sum_{k=1}^q \frac{1}{2^k} \left[ \omega\left(f, \frac{1}{k}\right) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{q+3}} \right] > \sum_{k=1}^q \frac{1}{2^k} \omega\left(f, \frac{1}{k}\right) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{q+3}} > \\ &> \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \omega\left(f, \frac{1}{k}\right) - \sum_{k=q+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \frac{\varepsilon}{8} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{q+3}} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \omega\left(f, \frac{1}{k}\right) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{q+2}}; \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

in this estimate we have used inequalities (8) and (6).

We estimate the second sum with the aid of inequalities (7) and (6):

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=q+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \omega\left(f + \varphi_n, \frac{1}{k}\right) &\geq \sum_{k=q+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \omega\left(\varphi_n, \frac{1}{k}\right) - \sum_{k=q+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \omega\left(f, \frac{1}{k}\right) > \\ &> \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{q+1}} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{q+3}} = \frac{3\varepsilon}{2^{q+3}}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Adding (9) and (10), we obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \omega\left(f + \varphi_n, \frac{1}{k}\right) > \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \omega\left(f, \frac{1}{k}\right) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{q+3}}.$$

Since, moreover,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |f + \varphi_n| \geq \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} f,$$

we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f + \varphi_n\| > \|f\|,$$

whence the validity of Theorem 2 follows.

In what follows we shall consider spaces satisfying conditions (1), (2) and, in addition, strictly normed. Such a norm can be obtained by modifying (3) in the following way:

$$\|f\| = \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |f(t)| + \left[ \int_0^1 f^2(t) dt \right]^{1/2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \omega \left( f, \frac{1}{k} \right). \quad (3a)$$

Let us apply Theorem 2 to the proof of the following assertion.

**Theorem 3.** *Separable reflexive Banach spaces are monotonically equivalent.*

Let  $X$  be a separable reflexive space satisfying (1), (2) and strictly normed. Consider a system of linear subspaces  $P_0 \supset P_1 \supset P_2 \supset \dots$  such that  $\dim P_n = n$ , and the intersection of all  $P_n$  contains only the zero element of the space; such a system exists in every separable Banach space. For each element  $x \in X$  introduce the sequence of deviations  $H_n(x)$ :

$$H_n(x) = \min_{y \in P_n} \|x - y\| = \|x - x^{(n)}\| \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

The existence and uniqueness of the element of best approximation  $x^{(n)}$  are ensured respectively by the reflexivity and the strict normedness of the space  $X$ . For every  $n$ , evidently,

$$H_n(x) \leq H_{n+1}(x) \leq \|x\|.$$

We shall use the fact that each  $P_n$  divides  $P_{n-1}$  into three parts

$$P_{n-1} = P_{n-1}^+ + P_n + P_{n-1}^-,$$

and construct a sequence of functionals  $\varepsilon_n(x)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_n(x) &= +1, & \text{if } x^{(n-1)} \in P_{n-1}^+; \\ \varepsilon_n(x) &= \varepsilon_{n-1}(x), & \text{if } x^{(n-1)} \in P_n \quad (\text{i.e. } H_{n-1}(x) = H_n(x)); \\ \varepsilon_n(x) &= -1, & \text{if } x^{(n-1)} \in P_{n-1}^-. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, we shall put  $\varepsilon_0(x) = 0$  for every  $x$ .

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n$  be a sequence of real numbers satisfying the conditions*

$$|h_j| \leq |h_{j+1}|; \quad \text{if } |h_j| = |h_{j+1}|, \text{ then } h_j = h_{j+1}. \quad (11)$$

The set  $Q_n$  of elements  $x$  such that

$$\varepsilon_k(x)H_k(x) = h_k \quad (k \leq n), \quad (12)$$

is nonempty and is obtained from  $P_n$  if to each  $y \in P_n$  one adds one and the same element  $x^*$ , satisfying (12).

The proof of this lemma is given in <sup>(4)</sup>.

**Lemma 4.** *Whatever bounded sequence of real numbers  $h_1, h_2, h_3, \dots$  satisfying (11) may be, there exists a unique element  $x$  for which*

$$\varepsilon_n(x)H_n(x) = h_n \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots); \quad (13)$$

moreover  $\|x\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_n(x)$ .

The set of elements satisfying (13) is the intersection  $\prod_1^\infty Q^n$  of the sets satisfying (12). It is therefore sufficient to show,

that this intersection contains exactly one point. Suppose that

$$\prod_1^\infty Q_n$$

contains the element  $x$ ; then, according to Lemma 4, each  $Q_n = x + P_n$ , and the whole family  $\{Q_n\}_1^\infty$  is congruent to  $\{P_n\}_1^\infty$ . Since

$$\prod_1^\infty P_n$$

by definition contains a single element, it follows that

$$\prod_1^\infty Q_n$$

also contains a single element. It remains to show that

$$\prod_1^{\infty} Q_n$$

is nonempty. Consider the intersections of the sets  $Q_n$  and of the ball  $S$  with arbitrary radius

$$h > \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |h_n|.$$

The resulting nonempty closed convex sets form a decreasing sequence. By a theorem of V. L. Shmul'yan<sup>5</sup>, the intersection of these sets is nonempty. Thus, the existence and uniqueness of the element  $x$  are proved. Since  $h$  may be taken arbitrarily close to  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |h_n|$ , the equality

$$\|x\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_n(x)$$

has thereby also been proved.

Before passing to the proof of Theorem 3, let us note that if the sequence  $x_n$  converges weakly to  $x$ , then the element  $x_n$ , as  $n$  increases, approaches without bound each  $Q_k$  containing  $x$ , and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} H_k(x) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_n(x_n) \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots); \\ \varepsilon_k(x) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_k(x_n), \quad \text{if } H_{k-1}(x) < H_k(x); \\ \varepsilon_k(x) &= \varepsilon_{k-1}(x), \quad \text{if } H_{k-1}(x) = H_k(x). \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Now let  $X$  and  $Y$  be separable reflexive spaces satisfying (1), (2) and strictly normed; suppose that for the elements of each of them the deviations  $H_k(x)$  and  $H_k(y)$  and the functionals  $\varepsilon_k(x)$  and  $\varepsilon_k(y)$  are defined. To each element  $x \in X$  assign an element  $y \in Y$  so that

$$\varepsilon_k(x)H_k(x) = \varepsilon_k(y)H_k(y) \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots). \tag{16}$$

This correspondence is one-to-one. We shall show that it is continuous. Let  $x_n$  and  $x$  be arbitrary elements of  $X$ , and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x. \tag{16}$$

Since  $Y$  is reflexive, the corresponding sequence  $y_n$  is weakly compact. According to (14), no subsequence of it can converge weakly to an element different from the element  $y$  corresponding to  $x$ , and therefore

$$y_n \xrightarrow{w} y. \tag{17}$$

According to Lemma 4,  $\|x_n\| = \|y_n\|$ ,  $\|x\| = \|y\|$ , whence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n\| = \|y\|. \quad (18)$$

From (17) and (18) it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = y.$$

The continuity of the inverse correspondence is proved in exactly the same way. Thus, the spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  are homeomorphic, which proves Theorem 3.

Kharkov Automobile and Highway Institute

Received

4 IV 1958

## References

- <sup>1</sup> V. L. Shmul' yan, *DAN*, **24**, No. 7, 647 (1939).
- <sup>2</sup> R. Výborný, *Casop. Pest. Mat.*, **81**, No. 3, 352 (1956).
- <sup>3</sup> A. R. Lovaglia, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, **78**, No. 1, 225 (1955).
- <sup>4</sup> M. I. Kadets, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, **10**, No. 4, 137 (1955).
- <sup>5</sup> V. L. Shmul' yan, *Matem. sborn.*, **5** (47), No. 2, 317 (1939).

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*