



---

Soviet-era science, translated into English

# N. M. KOROBOV

1958

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://soviextrxiv.org/items/ru-195801.86703>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

**N. M. KOROBOV**

## ESTIMATES OF WEYL SUMS AND THE DISTRIBUTION OF PRIME NUMBERS

*(Presented by Academician I. M. Vinogradov on 17 V 1958)*

The present paper is a continuation of the papers (1<sup>–3</sup>) and strengthens the results obtained in them.

Denote by  $N_k^{(\nu)}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  the number of solutions of the system of equations

$$x_1^\nu + \dots + x_k^\nu = y_1^\nu + \dots + y_k^\nu + \lambda_\nu, \quad 1 \leq x, y \leq P \quad (\nu = 1, 2, \dots, n). \quad (1)$$

It is easy to show that for the trigonometric sum

$$S(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n) = \sum_{x=1}^P e^{2\pi i(\omega_1 x + \dots + \omega_n x^n)}$$

for any integer  $k \geq 1$  the equality

$$|S(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)|^{2k} = \sum_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n} N_k^{(P)}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) e^{2\pi i(\omega_1 \lambda_1 + \dots + \omega_n \lambda_n)} \quad (2)$$

holds, where the summation is over all  $\lambda_\nu$  ( $\nu = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) satisfying the condition  $|\lambda_\nu| < kP^\nu$ . Further, from the definition of the quantities  $N_k^{(P)}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  we obtain without difficulty the relations

$$\sum_{\lambda_{s+1}, \dots, \lambda_n} N_k^{(P)}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = N_k^{(P)}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s). \quad (3)$$

Consider the Weyl sum  $S = S(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1})$ .

**Fundamental lemma.** Let  $P_1 \leq P$ ,  $\beta_\nu = C_{\nu+1}^1 \alpha_{\nu+1} \lambda_1 + \dots + C_{n+1}^{n+1-\nu} \times \alpha_{n+1} \lambda_{n+1-\nu}$  ( $\nu = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ), and

$$V_{kk_1} = \sum_{\lambda_1, \dots, \mu_n} N_k^{(P)}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) N_{k_1}^{(P_1)}(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) e^{2\pi i(\beta_1 \mu_1 + \dots + \beta_n \mu_n)},$$

where the summation is over all  $|\lambda_\nu| < kP^\nu$ ,  $|\mu_\nu| < k_1 P_1^\nu$ . Then

$$\left| \frac{1}{2} S \right|^{4kk_1} \leq P^{2k(2k_1-1)} P_1^{-2k} V_{kk_1} + (2P_1)^{4kk_1}.$$

The proof of the lemma is obtained with the aid of Hölder's inequality and the relations (2), (3), from the estimate

$$|S| \leq \frac{1}{P_1} \sum_{y=1}^{P_1} \left| \sum_{x=1}^P e^{2\pi i f(x+y)} \right| + 2P_1,$$

where  $f(x) = \alpha_1 x + \dots + \alpha_{n+1} x^{n+1}$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let

$$\alpha_{n+1} = \frac{a}{q} + \frac{\theta}{q^2}, \quad (a, q) = 1, \quad |\theta| < 1, \quad q = P^r;$$

let  $r$  belong to the interval

$$\sqrt{n} \ln n < r < n - \sqrt{n} \ln n.$$

Then there exist absolute constants  $C$  and  $\gamma > 0$  such that

$$\left| \sum_{x=1}^P e^{2\pi i (\alpha_1 x + \dots + \alpha_{n+1} x^{n+1})} \right| \ll CP^{1 - \frac{\gamma}{n^2 \ln n}}.$$

**Proof.** Write  $V_{kk_1}$  in the form

$$V_{kk_1} = \sum_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n} N_{k_1}^{(P_1)}(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \sum_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n} N_k^{(P)}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) e^{2\pi i (\beta_1 \mu_1 + \dots + \beta_n \mu_n)}. \quad (4)$$

According to the definition of the quantities  $\beta_\nu$ , the expression  $\beta_1 \mu_1 + \dots + \beta_n \mu_n$  is a linear homogeneous function of the quantities  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ , and, consequently, by virtue of (2) the inner sum in (4) is nonnegative. Hence, putting

$$N_{k,n}^{(P)} = \max_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n} N_k^{(P)}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n),$$

we obtain\*

$$\begin{aligned} V_{kk_1} &\ll N_{k_1, n}^{(P_1)} \sum_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n} \sum_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n} N_k^{(P)}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) e^{2\pi i (\beta_1 \mu_1 + \dots + \beta_n \mu_n)} \ll \\ &\ll N_{k_1, n}^{(P_1)} \sum_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n} N_k^{(P)}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \min \left( 2k_1 P_1, \frac{1}{(\beta_1)} \right) \dots \min \left( 2k_1 P_1^n, \frac{1}{(\beta_n)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Choose

$$s = \min(r, n - r).$$

Applying, for  $\nu \leq n - s$ , the estimate

$$\min \left( 2k_1 P_1^\nu, \frac{1}{(\beta_\nu)} \right) \ll 2k_1 P_1^\nu,$$

by virtue of (3), we obtain from this

$$V_{kk_1} \ll (2k_1)^{n-s} P_1^{\frac{(n-s)(n-s+1)}{2}} N_{k_1, n}^{(P_1)} V'_k,$$

where

$$V'_k = \sum_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s} N_k^{(P)}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s) \min \left( 2k_1 P_1^n, \frac{1}{(\beta_n)} \right) \cdots \min \left( 2k_1 P_1^{n-s+1}, \frac{1}{(\beta_{n-s+1})} \right).$$

Further, using the estimate

$$\sum_{x=Q+1}^{Q+T} \min \left( U, \frac{1}{(mx + \beta)} \right) \ll C_0 \left( \frac{mT}{q} + 1 \right) (U + q \ln q),$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{a}{q} + \frac{\theta}{q^2}, \quad (a, q) = 1, \quad |\theta| < 1$$

and  $C_0$  is an absolute constant, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} V'_k &\ll N_{k, s}^{(P)} \sum_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s} \min \left( 2k_1 P_1^n, \frac{1}{(\beta_n)} \right) \cdots \min \left( 2k_1 P_1^{n-s+1}, \frac{1}{(\beta_{n-s+1})} \right) \ll \\ &\ll (2k_1 C_0)^s 2^{sn} P_1^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2} - \frac{(n-s)(n-s+1)}{2}} N_{k, s}^{(P)}; \\ V_{kk_1} &\ll (2k_1 C_0)^n 2^{sn} P_1^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} N_{k_1, n}^{(P_1)} N_{k, s}^{(P)}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

\* By  $(\beta)$  is denoted the distance from  $\beta$  to the nearest integer.

Choose  $k_1 = [M_1 n^2 \ln n]$  and  $k = [Ms^2]$ , where  $M_1$  and  $M$  are sufficiently large positive constants. Then, by I. M. Vinogradov's mean value theorem (4,<sup>5</sup>), we obtain

$$N_{k_1, n}^{(P_1)} \ll e^{c_1 n^3 \ln^3 n} P_1^{2k_1 - \frac{n(n+1)}{2} + \frac{1}{2}}, \quad N_{k, s}^{(P)} \ll e^{c_1 s^3 \ln s} P^{2k - \frac{s^2}{4}},$$

where  $c_1$  is an absolute constant. Hence, by virtue of (5), applying the main lemma and observing that  $s > \sqrt{n} \ln n$ , we obtain the assertion of the theorem without difficulty.

Let, as above,

$$\alpha_{n+1} = \frac{a}{q} + \frac{\theta}{q^2}, \quad (a, q) = 1, \quad |\theta| < 1, \quad q = P^r.$$

The estimate of Theorem 1 can be strengthened if one restricts oneself to a somewhat less wide interval of variation of  $r$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Whatever fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$  may be, there exist an absolute constant  $C$  and a constant  $\gamma = \gamma(\varepsilon)$  such that, for  $\varepsilon n < r < n - \varepsilon n$ , the estimate*

$$\left| \sum_{x=1}^P e^{2\pi i(\alpha_1 x + \dots + \alpha_{n+1} x^{n+1})} \right| \ll CP^{1-\frac{\gamma}{n^2}}. \quad (6)$$

The proof of Theorem 2 differs from the preceding proof only in that, instead of  $k_1 = [M_1 n^2 \ln n]$ , one should choose  $k_1 = [M_1 n^2]$ . Estimates of the form (6) were obtained by me earlier for the case of rational trigonometric sums <sup>(1)</sup>. In Theorem 2 these estimates are extended to the case of arbitrary Weyl sums.

Consider the polynomial

$$f(x) = \alpha_1 x + \dots + \alpha_{n+1} x^{n+1},$$

some of whose coefficients are rational:

$$\alpha_\nu = \frac{a_\nu}{q}, \quad \nu = s + 2, s + 3, \dots, 3s, \quad 1 \leq s \leq \frac{n+1}{3}.$$

Denote by  $\Delta_s$  the determinant of order  $s$ ,

$$\Delta_s = |C_{s+i+j}^i a_{s+i+j}|.$$

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\delta$  be an arbitrary fixed number from the interval  $0 < \delta < 1/3$ ,  $n\delta \leq s \leq \frac{n+1}{3}$ ,  $s+1 \leq r \leq 2s(1-\delta)$ ,  $q = P^r$ , and  $(\Delta_s, q) = 1$ . Then there exist constants  $C = C(\delta)$  and  $\gamma = \gamma(\delta)$  such that*

$$\left| \sum_{x=1}^P e^{2\pi i(\alpha_1 x + \dots + \alpha_{n+1} x^{n+1})} \right| < CP^{1-\frac{\gamma}{n^2}}.$$

The proof of the theorem is based on the main lemma and certain additional considerations concerning the quantities  $N_k^{(P)}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ , which make it possible to estimate more sharply the sum  $V_{kk}$  from the main lemma. Theorem 3 has various applications. Thus, for example, with its aid the following assertions are obtained:

**Theorem 4.** *As  $|t| \rightarrow \infty$ , for the Riemann function  $\zeta(s)$  the estimate*

$$\zeta(1 + it) = O(\ln^{2/3} |t|). \quad (7)$$

**Theorem 5.** Let  $\pi(x)$  be the number of primes not exceeding  $x$ . There exists a constant  $a > 0$  such that the estimate

$$\pi(x) - \int_2^x \frac{du}{\ln u} = O\left(xe^{-a \ln^{3/5} x}\right). \quad (8)$$

holds.

Theorems 4 and 5 strengthen the assertions of the papers <sup>(2,6)</sup>. An analogous strengthening of the results is also obtained in the questions mentioned in <sup>(3)</sup>.

*Proof correction note.* After the present note had been submitted for publication, a paper by I. M. Vinogradov <sup>(7)</sup> appeared, in which estimates (7) and (8) were also obtained. The estimates in <sup>(7)</sup> are based on an inequality whose idea coincides with the idea of the inequalities first applied in the papers <sup>(1,2)</sup> (see also <sup>(8,9)</sup>).

Mathematical Institute named after V. A. Steklov  
Academy of Sciences of the USSR

Received  
5 V 1958

## CITED LITERATURE

- <sup>1</sup> N. M. Korobov, DAN, **118**, No. 2, 431 (1958).
- <sup>2</sup> N. M. Korobov, DAN, **118**, No. 3, 231 (1958).
- <sup>3</sup> N. M. Korobov, DAN, **119**, No. 3, 433 (1958).
- <sup>4</sup> I. M. Vinogradov, Izv. AN SSSR, Ser. Mat., **15**, 109 (1951).
- <sup>5</sup> Hua Loo-Keng, Quart. J. Math., **20**, 48 (1949).
- <sup>6</sup> I. M. Vinogradov, DAN, **118**, No. 4, 631 (1958).
- <sup>7</sup> I. M. Vinogradov, Izv. AN SSSR, Ser. Mat., **22**, 161 (1958).
- <sup>8</sup> N. M. Korobov, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, **13**, No. 2 (80), 243 (1958).
- <sup>9</sup> N. M. Korobov, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, **13**, No. 4 (82), 185 (1958).

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*