



---

Soviet-era science, translated into English

# MATHEMATICS

A. F. LEONT'EV

1958

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-195801.77910>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

## MATHEMATICS

**A. F. LEONT' EV**

### ON THE COMPLETENESS OF THE SYSTEM $\{z^{\lambda_k}\}$ ON CURVES IN THE COMPLEX PLANE

*(Presented by Academician I. N. Vekua, 10 IV 1958)*

Let  $L$  be an unbounded curve with a finite number of branches going off to infinity ( $L$  may consist of several (a finite number of) connected pieces), having no loops and dividing the plane into a finite number of simply connected infinite domains  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_m$ . Let  $L$  be rectifiable in every finite part of the plane and, if  $\sigma(z)$  is the length of the arc of a connected piece, counted from some point of the piece to its point with affix  $z$  (far from the initial point we regard  $\sigma(z)$  as a single-valued function of  $|z|$ ), then  $d\sigma(z) \leq M d|z|$ , where  $M$  is a constant. Suppose that on  $L$  a continuous real function  $p(z)$  is given such that, for large  $|z|$ ,

$$p(z) \geq p_0(|z|) = p_0(a) + \int_a^{|z|} \frac{\omega(t)}{t} dt,$$

where  $\omega(t) \geq 0$  and  $\omega(t) \uparrow +\infty$ . Assume, finally, that each domain  $G_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ) contains within itself an angle  $\Delta_i$  of opening  $\pi/\alpha_i$ ,  $1/2 < \alpha_i < \infty$  (with vertex not necessarily at the origin).

M. M. Dzhrbashian (<sup>1,2</sup>) showed that if under these conditions

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{p_0(r) dr}{r^{1+\omega}} = +\infty, \quad \omega = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m),$$

then on  $L$ , in the class  $L_2[p(z)]$  of functions  $f(z)$  defined and measurable on  $L$  and such that

$$\int_L e^{-p(z)} |f(z)|^2 d\sigma < \infty, \tag{1}$$

the system of polynomials is complete in the sense that

$$\inf_{\{Q\}} \int_L e^{-p(z)} |f(z) - Q(z)|^2 d\sigma = 0, \tag{2}$$

where  $Q(z)$  are arbitrary polynomials.

In the present note we are concerned with deriving conditions under which (2) holds for functions  $f(z)$  from  $L_2[p(z)]$ , if in (2) the functions  $Q(z)$  are not arbitrary polynomials, but arbitrary finite linear combinations of functions of the system  $\{z^{\lambda_k}\}$ , where  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots$  are given whole nonnegative numbers. In short, we are concerned with completeness, in the indicated sense, of the system  $\{z^{\lambda_k}\}$ .

M. M. Dzhrbashian and I. O. Khachatryan <sup>(6)</sup> considered this question in the case when  $L$  is a pair of rays,  $\arg z = \pm\pi/2\alpha$ , and the  $\lambda_n$  are not necessarily integers.

**Theorem.** Let  $\{\mu_n\}$  be the sequence of all positive integers not included in  $\{\lambda_k\}$ , and let

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{\mu_n} = \sigma < 1. \quad (3)$$

Suppose further that each angle  $\Delta_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ) has aperture  $\pi/\alpha_i > 2\pi\sigma$ , and one of the domains  $G_i$ , for example  $G_1$ , contains a curvilinear angle  $P$  (far from the origin the angles  $P$  and  $\Delta_1$  coincide) with vertex at the origin (the origin, in general, does not belong to the domain  $G_1$ ), intersecting every circle  $|z| = r$ ,  $b < r < \infty$ , in an arc of length  $> 2\pi\sigma r$  (in this sense the aperture of the angle  $P$  is greater than  $2\pi\sigma$ ). If, for some  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ ,

$$\int_{r^{-1+\omega_1+\varepsilon_0}}^{\infty} \frac{p_0(r) dr}{r^{1+\omega_1+\varepsilon_0}} = \infty, \quad \omega_1 = \max(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m), \quad \frac{\pi}{\beta_j} = \frac{\pi}{\alpha_j} - 2\pi\sigma, \quad (4)$$

then on  $L$  the system  $\{z^{\lambda_k}\}$  is complete in the sense of (2) ( $Q(z)$  being combinations of powers from  $\{z^{\lambda_k}\}$  (in the class  $L_2[p(z)]$ )).

The proof of the theorem is based on the simultaneous application of the method of the Cauchy integral transform, used by Dzhrbashian in <sup>(2)</sup>, and the method of using differential equations of infinite order.

To prove the theorem, it is necessary to prove that from the conditions

$$\int_L e^{-p(z)} \overline{f(z)} z^{\lambda_k} d\sigma = 0, \quad f(z) \in L_2[p(z)] \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots) \quad (5)$$

it follows that  $f(z) = 0$  almost everywhere on  $L$ .

Thus, suppose that (5) holds. As in <sup>(2)</sup>, consider the function

$$F(w) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{e^{-p(z)} \overline{f(z)} d\sigma}{z - w}. \quad (6)$$

In each domain  $G_j$  it represents some analytic function  $F_j(w)$ . Since

$$\frac{1}{z-w} = -\frac{1}{w} - \frac{z}{w^2} - \dots - \frac{z^{n-1}}{w^n} - \frac{z^n}{w^n(z-w)},$$

then, by virtue of (5), in  $G_j$

$$F_j(w) = \sum_{\mu_k < n} \frac{a_k}{w^{\mu_k+1}} - \frac{1}{w^n} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{e^{-p(z)} \overline{f(z)} z^n d\sigma}{z-w} = \varphi_n(w) + r_n(w). \quad (7)$$

Let  $w = e^t$ . In the  $t$ -plane the function  $F_j(e^t)$  is regular, in particular, in the domain  $D_j$  (it is obtained from the angle  $\Delta_j$ ), which for large  $\text{Re}(t)$  asymptotically approaches a horizontal strip of width  $\pi/\alpha_j > 2\pi\sigma$ . It should be noted that  $F_1(e^t)$  is regular in the domain  $Q$  (it is obtained from the angle  $P$ ), which contains an entire curvilinear strip of width (in the vertical direction)  $> 2\pi\sigma$ . Put

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 - \frac{t^2}{(\mu_n + 1)^2} \right) = \sum_0^{\infty} c_n t^n, \quad M(y) = \sum_0^{\infty} c_n y^{(n)}(t).$$

By virtue of (3), the operator  $M(y)$  has the properties (3):

- 1) The operator  $M(y)$  is defined at every point  $t_0$  which is the center of a vertical segment of length  $> 2\pi\sigma$ , on which the function  $y(t)$  is regular; moreover, if  $y(t)$  is regular in the rectangle  $R : |\text{Re}(t - t_0)| \leq \varepsilon$ ,

$|\text{Im}(t - t_0)| \leq \pi\omega + \varepsilon$ , then there exists a constant  $N(\varepsilon)$ , independent of  $y(t)$ , such that at the point  $t_0$

$$|M(y)| < N(\varepsilon) \max_{t \in R} |y(t)|. \quad (8)$$

- 2)  $M(e^{\pm(\mu_n+1)t}) = 0$ , whence  $M[\varphi_n(e^t)] = 0$ .
- 3) If  $y(t)$  is regular in the rectangle  $R$  and  $M(y) = 0$ , then in a neighborhood of the point  $t_0$  the function  $y(t)$  is represented by the absolutely convergent series

$$y(t) = \sum c_{\pm n} e^{\pm(\mu_n+1)t};$$

under the additional assumption that  $y(t)$  is regular in a domain of type  $D_j$ , it follows from this that  $y(t)$  is regular in some half-plane  $\text{Re}(t) > \alpha$ , and under the assumption that  $y(t)$  is regular in a domain of type  $Q$ , it is regular in the whole plane.

Let  $E_j$  be the half-strip  $\operatorname{Re}(t) \geq \alpha$ ,  $|\operatorname{Im}(t - t_0)| < \pi/2(\omega_1 + \varepsilon_0)$  (the quantities  $\omega_1$  and  $\varepsilon_0$  occur in (4)), contained in  $D_j$ . Since for large  $\operatorname{Re}(t)$  the domain  $D_j$  approaches a strip of width  $\pi/\alpha_j$ , the distance from the boundary of  $E_j$  to the boundary of  $D_j$  (in the limit as  $\operatorname{Re}(t) \rightarrow +\infty$ , equal to

$$\frac{\pi}{2\alpha_j} - \frac{\pi}{2(\omega_1 + \varepsilon_0)} > \pi\varepsilon$$

) may be regarded throughout as  $> \pi\omega + \varepsilon$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Taking (7) into account, in  $E_j$  we obtain

$$\Phi_j(t) \equiv M[F_j(e^t)] = M[r_n(e^t)],$$

whence, by virtue of (8), in  $E_j$

$$|\Phi_j(t)| < N(\varepsilon) \max |r_n(e^\xi)|, \quad \xi \in D_j; \quad \operatorname{Re}(t) - \varepsilon \leq \operatorname{Re}(\xi) \leq \operatorname{Re}(t) + \varepsilon.$$

Passing again from  $t$  to  $w$  and putting  $\Phi_j(t) = \psi_j(w)$ , we obtain that in a certain angle  $P_j$  of opening  $\frac{\pi}{\omega_1 + \varepsilon_0}$

$$|\psi_j(w)| < N(\varepsilon) \max |r_n(\eta)|, \quad \eta \in \Delta_j; \quad \frac{|w|}{a} \leq |\eta| \leq a|w|, \quad a = e^\varepsilon.$$

From this point, relying on (4), we can repeat verbatim the arguments on pp. 362-363 of the article <sup>(2)</sup> and become convinced that  $\psi_j(w) \equiv 0$ . Thus,  $M[F_j(e^t)] \equiv 0$ . Hence the function  $F_j(e^t)$  is regular in some half-plane  $\operatorname{Re}(t) > \alpha$  and is represented there by a series in the functions  $e^{\pm(\mu_n+1)t}$ , while the function  $F_j(w)$  is regular for  $|w| > \text{const}$  and is represented by a Laurent series in powers  $w^{\pm(\mu_n+1)}$ . Since  $F_j(w)$  is bounded (this is clear from (6)) in an angle of opening  $> 2\pi\sigma$  (for this angle one may take any angle internal to  $\Delta_j$  with sides parallel to the sides of  $\Delta_j$ ), it follows, by virtue of (3), that the Laurent series for  $F_j(w)$  contains no positive powers and, consequently, the function  $F_j(w)$  is regular at  $\infty$ . As for the function  $F_1(w)$ , since  $F_1(e^t)$  is regular in  $Q$  and, consequently, is an entire function, it is regular everywhere for  $|w| > 0$ . We shall verify that  $F_1(w) = F_2(w) = \dots = F_m(w)$ .

Let  $\mu(z)$  be the angle formed by the tangent to  $L$  at the point  $z$  with the positive direction of the real axis. We have

$$F(w) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{e^{-p(z)} \overline{f(z)} e^{-i\mu(z)} dz}{z - w}.$$

If  $\Gamma$  is a part of  $L$  to one side of which the domain  $G_k$  adjoins, and to the other side the domain  $G_s$ , then, by a known property of the Cauchy-type integral, almost everywhere on  $\Gamma$

$$e^{-p(z)} \overline{f(z)} e^{-i\mu(z)} = \pm(F_k(z) - F_s(z)),$$

whence

$$\overline{f(z)} = \pm(F_k(z) - F_s(z))e^{p(z)}e^{i\mu(z)}. \quad (9)$$

If it were the case that  $F_k(w) - F_s(w) \neq 0$  and, consequently, for large  $|w|$

$$F_k(w) - F_s(w) = \frac{\text{const}}{w^p},$$

then a function  $f(z)$  of the form (9) would not satisfy condition (1). Hence  $F_1(w) = \dots = F_m(w)$  and, by virtue of (4),  $f(z) = 0$  almost everywhere on  $L$ , as was required to prove.

In (2), from completeness in the sense of (2), there is derived as a consequence (under the additional assumption that  $L$  consists of one connected piece) the completeness of polynomials on  $L$  in the sense

$$\inf_{\{Q\}} \max_{z \in L} e^{-p(z)} |f(z) - Q(z)| = 0 \quad (10)$$

in the class  $C[p(z)]$  of functions  $f(z)$  continuous on  $L$  with the property  $e^{-p(z)} f(z) \rightarrow 0$  as  $z \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $z \in L$ . In exactly the same way, under the conditions of our theorem one can derive the completeness of  $\{1, z^{\lambda_k}\}$  on  $L$  in the class  $C[p(z)]$  in the sense of (10), where  $Q(z)$  denotes all possible linear combinations of the functions from  $\{1, z^{\lambda_k}\}$ .

The completeness of the system  $\{1, z^{\lambda_k}\}$  in this sense in the cases when  $L$  is either the whole axis  $(-\infty, \infty)$ , or the half-axis  $(0, +\infty)$  (in the latter case the  $\lambda_k$  are not necessarily integers) was considered by S. Mandelbrojt (5). M. M. Dzhrbashyan (4) considered the same question in the case when  $p(z) = |z|^p$  and  $L$  is topologically equivalent to the axis  $(-\infty, \infty)$  and is situated between two angles of definite openings with vertices at the points 0 and  $\alpha > 0$ .

In conclusion we give an example indicating the essential nature in the theorem of the requirement that the domain  $G_j$  contain an angle of opening precisely  $> 2\pi\sigma$ , and the domain  $G_1$  a curvilinear angle of opening  $> 2\pi\sigma$  with vertex precisely at the origin. Let  $\mu_k = kp + p - 1$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ), where  $p$  is an integer  $\geq 2$ . Then  $\sigma = \frac{1}{p}$ , and  $\lambda_k$  has the form  $mp + j$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, p - 2$ .

It is not difficult to see that if on the rays  $\arg z = \frac{2\pi}{p}s$  ( $s = 0, 1, \dots, p - 1$ ) one takes points  $\alpha_s$  at equal distances from the origin, then the function  $z^{p-1}$

cannot be approximated simultaneously at all the points  $\alpha_s$  ( $s = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$ ), with arbitrary accuracy, by linear combinations of the functions  $z^{\lambda_k}$  with the indicated  $\lambda_k$ . Hence it follows that:

- 1) The theorem is not true if, as  $L$ , one takes a system (connected with one another in some way) of rays  $\arg z = \frac{2\pi}{p}s$ ,  $|z| \geq r_s$ , with arbitrary  $r_s$ . This shows the essential nature of the requirement that the angle  $\Delta_j$  have opening  $> 2\pi\sigma$ , since in our case  $\Delta_j$  has opening  $\frac{2\pi}{p} = 2\pi\sigma$ .
- 2) The theorem is not true if  $L$  consists of the ray  $\arg z = 0$  and an arc of the circle  $|z| = r$ ,  $0 \leq \arg z \leq \frac{2\pi}{p}(p-1)$ . This shows the essential nature of the requirement that  $G_1$  contain an angle  $P$  of opening  $> 2\pi\sigma$ , since in our case the angle  $P$  has opening  $2\pi\sigma$ .

Moscow Power Engineering  
Institute

Received  
7 IV 1958

## CITED LITERATURE

- <sup>1</sup> M. M. Dzhrbashyan, Dokl. AN ArmSSR, 7, No. 2 (1947).
- <sup>2</sup> M. M. Dzhrbashyan, Matem. sborn., 36 (78), 3 (1955).
- <sup>3</sup> A. F. Leont'ev, Tr. Matem. inst. im. V. A. Steklova AN SSSR, 39 (1951).
- <sup>4</sup> M. M. Dzhrbashyan, DAN, 67, No. 1 (1949).
- <sup>5</sup> S. Mandelbrojt, *Contiguous series, regularization of sequences, applications*, 1955.
- <sup>6</sup> M. M. Dzhrbashyan, I. O. Khachatryan, DAN, 110, No. 6 (1956).

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*