



---

Soviet-era science, translated into English

# MATHEMATICS

E. SKLYARENKO

1958

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-195801.64136>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

MATHEMATICS

E. SKLYARENKO

## ON THE EMBEDDING OF NORMAL SPACES IN BICOMPACTA OF THE SAME WEIGHT AND THE SAME DIMENSION

*(Presented by Academician P. S. Aleksandrov, 9 VI 1958)*

In the present paper a positive answer is given to the following question posed by P. S. Aleksandrov: **can every normal space be topologically embedded in a bicom pactum of the same weight and the same dimension?**\* For spaces with a countable base this was proved long ago by Hurewicz <sup>(3)</sup> and Tumarkin. For spaces that are zero-dimensional in the inductive sense, this was proved by N. B. Vedenisov <sup>(4)</sup>. For spaces of positive inductive dimension this is no longer true, as is shown by an example of Yu. M. Smirnov <sup>(5)</sup>.

In application to arbitrary normal spaces, the following theorem, proved by Hurewicz <sup>(3)</sup> for spaces with a countable base, also turns out to be valid: *for any countable system of closed sets  $A_i$  of a space  $R$ , there exists a bicom pact extension  $R^*$  of the same weight such that  $\dim R^*[A_i] = \dim A_i$  for all  $i$ .*

Let  $R$  be a normal  $n$ -dimensional space of weight  $\tau$ . The construction of a bicom pact extension  $R^*$  of the space  $R$  of dimension  $n$  and weight  $\tau$  is based on the theory of proximity spaces and uniform spaces developed by Yu. M. Smirnov. According to this theory <sup>(1)</sup>, there exists, and moreover only one, bicom pact extension  $\lambda R$  of the space  $R$  inducing on it a given proximity  $R_\lambda$ : *two sets are close if and only if their closures in the bicom pact extension  $\lambda R$  intersect.* One of the ways of specifying a proximity on a topological space is the construction of a uniform structure  $\Sigma_\lambda$  from finite open coverings, which turn out, in the proximity  $R_\lambda$  generated by them, to be the so-called  $\delta$ -coverings (<sup>(1)</sup>, p. 559) and extend to open coverings of the bicom pact extension  $\lambda R$ .

In order that the bicom pact extension  $\lambda R$  (corresponding to the proximity thus constructed) have weight  $\tau$  and dimension  $\leq n$ , it is necessary and sufficient that the uniform structure  $\Sigma_\lambda$  satisfy the following conditions:

- A. In  $\Sigma_\lambda$  there is a cofinal part of cardinality not exceeding  $\tau$ .
- B. In  $\Sigma_\lambda$  there is a cofinal part consisting of coverings of multiplicity not exceeding  $n + 1$ .\*\*

**Lemma 1.** *On the space  $R$  there exists a uniform structure\*\*\*  $\Sigma_1$ , possessing the following properties:*

\* By dimension here and throughout is meant the dimension  $\dim$ , defined by means of finite open coverings. By inductive dimension is meant only the small inductive dimension  $\text{ind}$  of Urysohn, in the definition of which induction is carried out over points.

\*\* This means that the proximity space  $R_\lambda$  defined by the structure  $\Sigma_\lambda$  has dimension  $\leq n$  in the sense of Yu. M. Smirnov <sup>(2)</sup>.

\*\*\* Here and below, by a uniform structure is also meant any cofinal part of a uniform structure in the sense of Yu. M. Smirnov <sup>(2)</sup>, p. 563), i.e. one satisfying only conditions C2 and C3 of the system of coverings.

- a) the structure  $\Sigma_1$  has cardinality  $\tau$ ;
- b) for every cover  $\alpha$  from  $\Sigma_1$  there are only finitely many covers  $\beta$  from  $\Sigma_1$  such that  $\beta < \alpha^*$ .

**Proof.** By the well-known theorem of A. N. Tikhonov, the space  $R$  can be topologically embedded in the product  $I^\tau = \prod_\lambda I_\lambda$  of intervals  $I_\lambda$ , taken in number  $\tau$ . In the structure  $\tilde{\Sigma}$  of this bicomcompact  $I^\tau$ , consider the following cofinal part  $\tilde{\Sigma}_1$ : on each interval  $I_\lambda$  fix a countable uniform structure of covers, successively star-refined in one another. **These structures satisfy condition b).** Let  $\{\alpha^i\}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, s$ , be a system of covers  $\alpha^i = \{\Gamma_j^i\}$ , taken one from each of the fixed structures of the intervals  $I_{\lambda_i}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, s$ . By the product\*\*  $\prod \alpha^i$  of the covers  $\alpha^i$  we shall mean the cover  $\tilde{\alpha}$  of the bicomcompact  $I^\tau$  by sets of the form  $\prod_\lambda \Gamma_\lambda$ , where

$$\Gamma_\lambda = \Gamma_j^i, \quad \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_i \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, s, \quad \text{and } \Gamma_\lambda = I_\lambda \text{ in the remaining cases.}$$

The covers  $\tilde{\alpha}$  of the bicomcompact  $I^\tau$  constitute the required cofinal part  $\tilde{\Sigma}_1$  of the uniform structure  $\tilde{\Sigma}$ , satisfying, obviously, conditions a) and b) with the usual ordering by refinement.

Now let  $\Sigma_1$  be the uniform structure of the space  $R$  cut out by the structure  $\tilde{\Sigma}_1$ . For covers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  from  $\Sigma_1$  we shall consider that  $\alpha < \beta$  if and only if, for the covers  $\tilde{\alpha}$  and  $\tilde{\beta}$  cutting them out, the cover  $\tilde{\alpha}$  is refined into  $\tilde{\beta}$ ; moreover, covers cut out by different covers of the bicomcompact will also be considered different. It is not hard to see that the uniform structure  $\Sigma_1$  satisfies conditions a) and b), which was required to prove.

**Lemma 2.** There exist a cofinal part  $\Sigma'$  of the uniform structure  $\Sigma_1$  and a system  $\Sigma$  of covers of the space  $R$  such that between  $\Sigma'$  and  $\Sigma$  there is a one-to-one correspondence satisfying the following conditions:

- 1) the covers from  $\Sigma$  have multiplicity  $\leq n + 1$ ;

- 2) if  $a$  from  $\Sigma$  and  $a'$  from  $\Sigma'$  correspond to each other, then  $a$  is refined into  $a'$ ;
- 3) if  $\alpha' < \beta'$ ,  $\alpha', \beta' \in \Sigma'$ , in the sense of the order of the uniform structure  $\Sigma_1$ , then for the corresponding covers from  $\Sigma$  we have  $\alpha < * \beta$ .

**Proof.** Consider the set  $S$  of systems  $\pi$  of pairs of covers  $(\alpha', \alpha)$ , where  $\alpha' \in \Sigma_1$ ,  $\alpha$  has multiplicity  $\leq n+1$ ;  $\alpha$  is refined into  $\alpha'$ ; if  $(\alpha', \alpha), (\beta', \beta) \in \pi$  and  $\alpha' < \beta'$ , then  $\alpha < * \beta$ . Obviously, the set  $S$  is nonempty. It is partially ordered:  $\pi_1 < \pi_2$  if  $\pi_1 \subset \pi_2$ . Every ordered subset (chain)  $M$  of the set  $S$  is bounded above by the union of the systems belonging to it. Therefore, by the Hausdorff-Zorn lemma, every system of pairs from  $S$  is contained in some maximal system of pairs. Let  $\pi$  be a maximal system of pairs of the set  $S$ . Denote by  $\Sigma'$  the part of the uniform structure  $\Sigma_1$  through which the covers  $\alpha'$  of the system  $\pi$  run, and by  $\Sigma$  the corresponding collection of covers  $\alpha$ . The systems  $\Sigma'$  and  $\Sigma$  of covers are the desired ones. Indeed, conditions 1), 2), 3) are fulfilled, and it remains only to prove that the system  $\Sigma'$  is cofinal in the uniform structure  $\Sigma_1$ . But assuming that this is not so, in the structure  $\Sigma_1$  we would find a cover  $\alpha'_0$  after which there follows none of the covers of the system  $\Sigma'$ . By property b) (see Lemma 1), in the system  $\Sigma'$  there are only finitely many covers  $\alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_k$  preceding the cover  $\alpha'_0$ . Let  $\alpha_0$  be a cover of multiplicity  $\leq n+1$ , star-refined into

---

\* The relation  $\beta < \alpha$  here means that the cover  $\beta$  is not only refined into  $\alpha$ , but also follows  $\alpha$  in the sense that will be clear from the proof. By a cover we shall understand everywhere only finite open covers.

\*\* A cover  $\beta$  is **star-refined** into a cover  $\alpha$  ( $\beta < * \alpha$ ) if for every point  $x$  of the space  $R$ , the union of all elements of the cover  $\beta$  containing the point  $x$  is contained in some element of the cover  $\alpha$ .

into the cover  $\alpha'_0 \wedge \alpha_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_k^*$ , where  $\alpha_i$  are covers of the system  $\Sigma$  corresponding to the covers  $\alpha'_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Then the system of pairs obtained by adjoining the pair  $(\alpha'_0, \alpha_0)$  to the maximal system  $\pi$  must belong to the set  $S$ , contrary to the maximality of the system  $\pi$ . The lemma is proved.

**Lemma 3.** *The system  $\Sigma$  is a uniform structure of the space  $R$  and satisfies conditions A and B.*

**Proof.** By the construction of the system  $\Sigma$ , for every cover  $\alpha$  from  $\Sigma$  there exists a cover  $\beta \in \Sigma$  star-refined in  $\alpha$ . For any covers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  there exists a cover  $\gamma$  such that  $\gamma > \alpha \wedge \beta$  (i.e.  $\gamma > \alpha$  and  $\gamma > \beta$ ). Indeed, take the corresponding covers  $\alpha'$  and  $\beta'$  from  $\Sigma'$ , and choose in the structure  $\Sigma'$  a cover  $\gamma'$  such that  $\gamma' > \alpha' \wedge \beta'$ . We then have  $\gamma > \alpha$  and  $\gamma > \beta$ . Note that the uniform structure  $\Sigma$  is compatible with the topology of the space  $R$ , since for any point  $x \in R$  and any of its neighborhoods  $Ox$  there is a cover  $\alpha \in \Sigma$  such that  $O_\alpha x \subseteq Ox^{**}$ . Lemma 3 is proved. Hence the following theorem is also proved:

**Theorem 1.** *Every normal space has a bicomact extension of the same weight and the same dimension.*

**Remark.** The bicomact extension corresponding to the structure  $\Sigma$  has dimension  $\leq n$ . To obtain an extension of dimension  $n$ , one must take not an arbitrary maximal system  $\pi$  of pairs of covers, but such a maximal system which contains a fixed pair of covers  $(\alpha', \alpha)$ , where  $\alpha$  is a cover of multiplicity  $n + 1$  into which no cover of smaller multiplicity can be inscribed.

**Theorem 2.** *For any countable system of closed sets  $A_k$  of a normal space  $R$ , there exists a bicomact extension  $R^*$  of the space  $R$  of the same weight and such that  $\dim R^*[A_k] = \dim A_k$  for every  $k$ .*

**Proof** of this theorem proceeds analogously to the preceding proof. Therefore here we shall indicate only the main points.

Let  $\{A_k\}$  be a countable system of closed sets of the space  $R$ . We shall say that a cover  $\gamma = \{\Gamma_j\}$  has rank  $N$  (where  $N$  is a natural number or zero) if on each of the sets  $A_k$ , where  $k \leq N$ , it cuts out a cover  $\{\Gamma_j \cap A_k\}$  of multiplicity  $\leq \dim A_k + 1$ .

**Lemma 4.** *For any  $N$ , into every cover of the space  $R$  one can inscribe a cover of rank  $N$ .*

**Proof.** For  $N = 0$  the assertion of the lemma is valid. Suppose that for  $N = k - 1$  the assertion of the lemma is true, and prove it for  $N = k$ . Then it is enough to show that into every cover  $\gamma = \{\Gamma_j\}$  of rank  $k - 1$  one can inscribe a cover of rank  $k$ . For this, into the cover  $\{\Gamma_j \cap A_k\}$  of the set  $A_k$  we inscribe combinatorially a closed cover  $\{\Phi_j\}$  of multiplicity  $\leq \dim A_k + 1$  so that  $\Phi_j \subseteq \Gamma_j \cap A_k$ . For the system of closed sets  $\{\Phi_j\}$  of the space  $R$  there exists a similar system of neighborhoods  $O\Phi_j$  such that  $O\Phi_j \subseteq \Gamma_j$ . Then the system of sets  $O\Phi_j \cup (\Gamma_j \setminus A_k)$  will be the required one. The lemma is proved.

For the proof of Theorem 2 it is enough to construct a uniform structure  $\Sigma$  of the space  $R$  satisfying the following conditions:

A'. In  $\Sigma$  there is a cofinal part of cardinality  $\leq \tau$ .

B'. For every number  $N$ , covers of rank  $N$  constitute a cofinal part of the structure  $\Sigma$ .

According to the preceding proof there exists a uniform structure  $\Sigma_1$  of the space  $R$  such that for each cover  $\alpha$  from  $\Sigma_1$  in it

---

\*  $\alpha \wedge \beta$  is the cover consisting of all possible intersections  $A \cap B$ , where  $A \in \alpha$ ,  $B \in \beta$ .

\*\*  $O_\alpha x$  is the sum of all elements of the cover  $\alpha$  containing the point  $x$ . For this it is enough to find a cover  $\alpha' \in \Sigma'$  such that  $O_{\alpha'} x \subseteq O_\alpha x$ , and take the cover  $\alpha$

corresponding to the cover  $\alpha'$ . Of course, one must also keep in mind that the covers  $\alpha$  are open.

there is only a finite number of preceding (in the same special sense) coverings. We shall call this number the *number* of the covering  $\alpha$ . In any cofinal part of the uniform structure  $\Sigma'_1$ , the coverings with numbers  $\geq n$  obviously form a cofinal part.

**Lemma 2'.** *There exist a cofinal part  $\Sigma'$  of the uniform structure  $\Sigma'_1$  and a system of coverings  $\Sigma$  of the space  $R$  such that there is a one-to-one correspondence between  $\Sigma'$  and  $\Sigma$  satisfying the following conditions:*

1' ) *If a covering  $\alpha'$  from  $\Sigma'$  has number  $N$ , then the corresponding covering  $\alpha$  from  $\Sigma$  has order  $N$ ;*

2' ) *If  $\alpha' \in \Sigma'$ , then the corresponding covering  $\alpha$  from  $\Sigma$  is inscribed in  $\alpha'$ ;*

3' ) *If  $\beta' > \alpha'$  (in the sense of the order of the structure  $\Sigma_1$ ), then  $\beta^* > \alpha$ .*

**Lemma 3'.** *The system  $\Sigma$  is a uniform structure of the space  $R$  and satisfies conditions A' and B'.*

The proofs of these lemmas proceed analogously to the proofs of Lemmas 2 and 3.

**Remark.** The bicomact extension  $R^*$  corresponding to the constructed uniform structure  $\Sigma$  has the property that  $\dim R[A_n] \leq \dim A_n$  for every  $n$ . To construct a uniform structure  $\Sigma$  generating an extension  $R^*$  satisfying the conditions of Theorem 2, instead of an arbitrary maximal system  $\pi$  of pairs of coverings one should take a maximal system containing a sequence of pairs  $(\alpha'_n, \alpha_n)$  of coverings  $\alpha'_n, \alpha_n$ , satisfying conditions 1', 2', and 3', and such that in the covering  $\alpha_n$  one cannot inscribe coverings of the set  $A_n$  of multiplicity less than  $\dim A_n + 1$ ; and such a sequence of pairs can always be constructed. Theorem 2 is proved.

The present work was carried out under the supervision of Yu. M. Smirnov, to whom I express my sincere gratitude.

Moscow State University  
named after M. V. Lomonosov

Received  
4 V 1958

## CITED LITERATURE

1. Yu. M. Smirnov, *Matem. sbornik*, **31** (73), No. 3, 543 (1952).
2. Yu. M. Smirnov, *Matem. sbornik*, **38** (80), No. 3, 283 (1956).

3. W. Hurewicz, *Proc. Akad. Wetensch. Amst.*, **30**, 425 (1927).
4. N. Vedenisov, *Uch. zap. MGU, Matem.*, **30**, book 3, 131 (1939).
5. Yu. M. Smirnov, *DAN*, **117**, No. 6, 939 (1957).

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*