

UNIQUENESS OF THE SYMMETRIC SOLUTION OF THE PROBLEM OF LARGE DEFLECTIONS OF A SYMMETRICALLY LOADED CIRCULAR PLATE

1958

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-195801.48795>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

THEORY OF ELASTICITY

N. F. MOROZOV

UNIQUENESS OF THE SYMMETRIC SOLUTION OF THE PROBLEM OF LARGE DEFLECTIONS OF A SYMMETRICALLY LOADED CIRCULAR PLATE

(Presented by Academician V. I. Smirnov on 30 VI 1958)

In papers ⁽¹⁻³⁾ the existence of solutions was proved for problems on large deflections of shallow shells and thin plates; however, questions of uniqueness remained unresolved. In the present note a proof is proposed of the uniqueness of the symmetric solution in the problem of large deflections of a symmetrically loaded circular plate.

The Kármán system of equations in the case of a circular symmetrically loaded plate reduces to the system of ordinary differential equations ⁽⁴⁾:

$$Av - \frac{\lambda}{2}u^2 = 0; \quad \frac{1}{r}Au + \lambda uv + \int_0^r q\rho d\rho = 0, \quad (1)$$

where

$$A(\cdot) \equiv -r \frac{d}{dr} \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} r(\cdot), \quad (2)$$

and the boundary conditions for a rigidly clamped plate in the absence of membrane stresses on the contour take the form:

$$u|_{r=1} = 0; \quad (3a)$$

$$v|_{r=1} = 0; \quad (3b)$$

$$\left. \frac{u}{r} \right|_{r=0} < \text{const}; \quad \left. \frac{v}{r} \right|_{r=0} < \text{const}. \quad (4)$$

Consider the functional space L_ρ with norm $\left(\int_0^1 \frac{1}{\rho}(u^2 + v^2) d\rho\right)$ and the space W_ρ , formed by the closure of sufficiently smooth functions satisfying conditions (3) and (4), in the norm

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{\rho} [(Au)^2 + (Av)^2] d\rho.$$

The following relations can be established:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{r} Au \cdot u dr &= \int_0^1 -\frac{d}{dr} \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} ru \cdot u dr = -\frac{1}{r} u \cdot \frac{dru}{dr} \Big|_{r=0}^{r=1} + \int_0^1 \frac{1}{r} \frac{dru}{dr} \cdot \frac{du}{dr} dr = \\ &= \int_0^1 \left(\frac{du}{dr}\right)^2 dr + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{u^2}{r^2} dr - \frac{u^2}{2r} \Big|_{r=0}^{r=1} - u \frac{du}{dr} \Big|_{r=0}^{r=1}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Finally, using the boundary conditions (3) and (4), we obtain

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{r} Au \cdot u dr = \int_0^1 \left(\frac{du}{dr}\right)^2 dr + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{u^2}{r^2} dr. \quad (6)$$

We multiply the first equation of system (1) by $2v/r$, and the second by u/r , integrate from 0 to 1, and add; then the equality

$$2 \int_0^1 \frac{1}{r} Av \cdot v dr + \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{r} Au \cdot u dr = - \int_0^1 \frac{u}{r} \int_0^r q\rho d\rho dr \quad (7)$$

holds. Hence, analogously to (3), we obtain the a priori estimates

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{r} (u^2 + v^2) dr \leq \text{const}. \quad (8)$$

The existence of a solution of equation (1) under the boundary conditions (3)–(4), generally speaking, is obtained as a special case in the paper (3), but it is necessary to carry out the proof once more, since one must obtain the existence of precisely a symmetric solution. From the system (1), (3), (4) we pass to the equivalent system of integral equations

$$v + \frac{\lambda}{r} \int_0^r \rho \int_0^\rho \frac{u^2}{2\xi} d\xi d\rho - \lambda r \int_0^1 \rho \int_0^\rho \frac{u^2}{2\xi} d\xi d\rho = 0;$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & u + \frac{\lambda}{r} \int_0^r \rho \int_0^\rho \frac{uv}{\xi} d\xi d\rho - \lambda r \int_0^1 \rho \int_0^\rho \frac{uv}{\xi} d\xi d\rho \\
 & + \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r \rho \int_0^\rho \frac{1}{\xi} \int_0^\xi q\eta d\eta d\xi d\rho - r \int_0^1 \rho \int_0^\rho \frac{1}{\xi} \int_0^\xi q\eta d\eta d\xi d\rho = 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

For the system (9), using the a priori estimates (8) and the equality (6), by the Schauder–Leray method ⁽⁵⁾ it is easy to obtain an existence theorem for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. It can be shown that this solution will also be a solution of system (1) from the space W_ρ .

We pass to the proof of uniqueness of the symmetric solution.

The Hildebrandt–Graves theorem holds:

Let $\Psi(V, \lambda)$ be an operator defined for $V \in E_1$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, and let the values $\Psi(V, \lambda) \in E_2$; E_1 and E_2 are Banach spaces. Suppose $\Psi(V_0, \lambda_0) = 0$, and at the point V_0, λ_0 the operator Ψ is continuous and has, with respect to V in some neighborhood of the point V_0, λ_0 , a continuous partial Fréchet derivative which, at the point V_0, λ_0 , is a bounded linear operator having a bounded inverse operator. Then $\Psi(V, \lambda) = 0$ has a unique solution with respect to V for every λ from a neighborhood of λ_0 ⁽⁶⁾.

We shall show that the conditions of Hildebrandt–Graves are fulfilled in the case of system (1). First we prove a lemma:

Lemma. For every solution of the system (9), $v \geq 0$.

Indeed: a)

$$\left. \frac{v}{r} \right|_{r=1} = 0;$$

b)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{v}{r} \right) &= \frac{2\lambda}{r^3} \int_0^r \rho \int_0^\rho \frac{u^2}{2\xi} d\xi d\rho - \frac{\lambda}{r} \int_0^r \frac{u^2}{2\xi} d\xi = \\
 &= \frac{2\lambda}{r^3} \int_0^r \rho \int_0^\rho \frac{u^2}{2\xi} d\xi d\rho - \frac{2\lambda}{r^3} \int_0^r \rho d\rho \int_0^r \frac{u^2}{2\xi} d\xi \leq 0 \quad \text{for all } r \in [0, 1].
 \end{aligned}$$

From a) and b) we directly obtain that $v \geq 0$.

Let us write system (1) in the following form:

$$P(V, \lambda) = 0. \tag{10}$$

$P(V, \lambda)$ is the left-hand side of system (1); $V \equiv (u, v)$. The Fréchet derivative at the point $V_0 \equiv (u_0, v_0)$ is equal to

$$P'_{V_0}(V, \lambda) \equiv \left(Av - \lambda u_0 u; \frac{1}{\chi} Au + \lambda u_0 v + \lambda v_0 u \right),$$

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{\rho} P'_{V_0}(V, \lambda) V d\rho = \int_0^1 \left(\frac{1}{\rho} Av \cdot v + \frac{1}{\chi \rho} Au \cdot u \right) d\rho + \lambda \int_0^1 \frac{v_0 u^2}{\rho} d\rho.$$

If for u_0, v_0 we take a solution of system (1) (the existence of which was shown above), then, using the inequality $v \geq 0$, we obtain:

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{\rho} P'_{V_0}(V) V d\rho \geq \|V\|_{L^2_\rho}^2,$$

and, consequently,

$$\| [P'_{V_0}]^{-1} \| \leq C.$$

The remaining conditions of the Hildebrandt-Graves theorem are verified directly.

Thus the uniqueness of the symmetric solution for a circular, symmetrically loaded, rigidly clamped plate has been proved.

Remark 1. v corresponds to the radial force, and the lemma proved above has a simple physical meaning: under the given boundary conditions the radial forces in the plate are tensile.

Remark 2. We considered a rigidly clamped plate in the absence of chain forces on the contour. Let us now examine other types of boundary conditions.

- 1) In the case of a simply supported plate only the form of the second equation (9) changes, and all the arguments are carried out analogously.
- 2) In the case when a tensile force $T > 0$ is prescribed on the contour ($v|_{r=1} = T$), system (9) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} v + \frac{\lambda}{r} \int_0^r \rho \int_0^\rho \frac{u^2}{2\xi} d\xi d\rho - \lambda r \int_0^1 \rho \int_0^\rho \frac{u^2}{2\xi} d\xi d\rho - T = 0; \\ u + \frac{\lambda}{r} \int_0^r \rho \int_0^\rho \frac{uv}{\xi} d\xi d\rho - \lambda r \int_0^1 \rho \int_0^\rho \frac{uv}{\xi} d\xi d\rho + \\ + \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r \rho \int_0^\rho \frac{1}{\xi} \int_0^\xi q\eta d\eta d\xi d\rho - r \int_0^1 \rho \int_0^\rho \frac{1}{\xi} \int_0^\xi q\eta d\eta d\xi d\rho = 0. \end{aligned}$$

From this it is clear that $v \geq T$. The further proof is carried out analogously to that set forth above.

- 3) In the case when all three displacements on the contour are equal to zero, boundary condition (3b) takes the form

$$\left. \frac{dv}{dr} - \sigma v \right|_{r=1} = 0 \quad (0 < \sigma \leq k < 1/2)$$

(see, for example, (4)). Under these boundary conditions we still have $v \geq 0$, and the operator $A_\rho v$ is positive definite. The latter is proved analogously to the basic case, using in equality (5) the indicated boundary condition.

Received
27 VI 1958

CITED LITERATURE

1. I. I. Vorovich, *Izv. AN SSSR, ser. matem.*, **19**, No. 4, 173 (1955).
2. I. I. Vorovich, *Prikl. matem. i mekh.*, **20**, issue 4 (1956).
3. N. F. Morozov, *DAN*, **114**, No. 5 (1957).
4. D. Yu. Panov, *Tr. TsAGI*, No. 450 (1939).
5. Yu. Sh. Shauder, J. Leray, *Usp. matem. nauk*, **1**, issue 3 (1946).
6. T. H. Hildebrandt, L. M. Graves, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, **29**, No. 1, 127 (1927).

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.