



---

Soviet-era science, translated into English

# Reports of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR

E. T. SMORKACHEV

1958

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-195801.44460>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

## Abstract

## Full Text

Reports of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR  
1958. Volume 119, No. 5

## MATHEMATICS

E. T. SMORKACHEV

## SOME TYPES OF LOCAL NOMOGRAMS

*(Presented by Academician A. N. Kolmogorov on 30 XI 1957)*

In papers <sup>(1,2)</sup> it was shown that any function  $z = f(x, y)$  sufficiently smooth at the point  $x_0, y_0$ , such that  $f'_x(x_0, y_0) \neq 0$ ,  $f'_y(x_0, y_0) \neq 0$ , is nomographable in a neighborhood of this point with accuracy up to small quantities of the 6th order. In this case the quantities  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_3$ —the coefficients in the rows of the Massau determinant effecting this nomographing—remain arbitrary.

In paper <sup>(3)</sup> it was proved that, for  $f'_x(x_0, y_0) \neq 0$ ,  $f'_y(x_0, y_0) \neq 0$ ,  $P(x_0, y_0) \neq 0$ , where  $P(x, y)$  is the Saint-Robert nomographic invariant, the values of the coefficients  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_3$  can always be chosen so that  $z = f(x, y)$  will be locally nomographed with accuracy up to small quantities of the 5th order by a Cauchy nomogram.

In the present note, by analogy with <sup>(3)</sup>, questions are considered concerning the nomographing of a function  $z = f(x, y)$  with accuracy up to small quantities of the 6th order by a nomogram with one rectilinear scale, and concerning nomographing with accuracy up to small quantities of the 6th order by a Clark nomogram.

**Theorem 1.** *A function  $z = f(x, y)$  sufficiently smooth at the point  $x_0, y_0$ , such that  $f'_x(x_0, y_0) \neq 0$ ,  $f'_y(x_0, y_0) \neq 0$ ,  $P(x_0, y_0) \neq 0$ , is representable in a neighborhood of this point with accuracy up to small quantities of the 6th order by a nomogram with rectilinear scale  $x$  (scale  $y$ ).*

**Proof** will be carried out for the case of the scale  $x$ . Suppose that, by a suitable admissible transformation <sup>(2)</sup>, the function  $z = f(x, y)$  is reduced to the form

$$Z = F(X, Y) = X + Y + XY(X - Y)(q_{00} + q_{10}X + \dots + q_{03}Y^3) + o(\rho^6), \quad (1)$$

where  $\rho = \sqrt{X^2 + Y^2}$ .

Substituting  $Z$  from this formula into the determinant

$$\Delta = \begin{vmatrix} a_1X + a_2X^2 + \dots & 1 + \alpha_1X + \alpha_2X^2 + \dots & 1 \\ b_1X + b_2Y^2 + \dots & -1 + \beta_1Y + \beta_2Y^2 + \dots & 1 \\ \frac{1}{2}Z + c_3Z^3 + \dots & \gamma_2Z^2 + \gamma_3Z^3 + \dots & 1 \end{vmatrix} \quad (2)$$

and equating in the expansion of  $\Delta$  in powers of  $X, Y$  all coefficients of terms of order less than 6 to zero, we obtain the well-known Kreines and Eisenstadt formulas for  $a_1, \dots, \gamma_4$ .

Let us now require that the carrier of the scale  $X$  be a straight line. Suppose the equation of the carrier of the scale  $X$  in the nomogram plane  $\xi O\eta$  has the form

$$\eta = k\xi + d. \quad (3)$$

Obviously, then the following relations must hold between the coefficients of the expansions in the first row of the determinant  $\Delta$ :

$$\alpha_i = ka_i, \quad i \leq 4. \quad (4)$$

Eliminating  $k$  from equations (4), we find

$$\frac{a_1}{a_2} = \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2}, \quad \frac{a_1}{a_3} = \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_3}, \quad \frac{a_1}{a_4} = \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_4}, \quad \frac{a_2}{a_3} = \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_3}. \quad (5)$$

Substituting into (5) the expressions of the required coefficients in terms of  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_3$  from Kreines' formulas<sup>(2)</sup>, one can write a system of 4 equations with 4 unknowns  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_3$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_2 &= \frac{1}{2}\alpha_1^2, \\ -\frac{1}{4}\alpha_1^3 - 4\gamma_3 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_1\beta_2 + \frac{2}{3}q_{00}\alpha_1 + \frac{10}{3}q_{10} - \frac{4}{3}q_{01} &= 0, \\ -\frac{1}{8}\alpha_1^4 - 2\alpha_1\gamma_3 - \frac{1}{4}\alpha_1^2\beta_2 + \frac{1}{3}q_{00}\alpha_1^2 + \frac{5}{3}\alpha_1q_{10} - \frac{2}{3}\alpha_1q_{01} &= 0, \\ -\frac{1}{8}\alpha_1^4 - 2\alpha_1\gamma_3 - \frac{1}{4}\alpha_1^2\beta_2 + \frac{2}{3}q_{00}\alpha_1^2 + \frac{4}{3}q_{00}\beta_2 + \frac{4}{3}\alpha_1q_{10} \\ &+ \frac{1}{6}\alpha_1q_{01} + 4q_{20} + q_{02} - 3q_{11} - 3q_{00}^2 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

If  $q_{00} = -\frac{1}{4}P(x_0, y_0)x'_0y'_0$  <sup>(3)</sup> is different from zero, i.e.  $P(x_0, y_0) \neq 0$ , then system (6) is consistent and has the unique solution:

$$\alpha_1 = 0, \quad \alpha_2 = 0, \quad \beta_2 = \frac{3}{4} \frac{3q_{00}^2 + 3q_{11} - 4q_{20} - q_{02}}{q_{00}}, \quad \gamma_3 = \frac{5}{6}q_{10} - \frac{1}{3}q_{01}.$$

Since  $a_1 = 1$ , it follows that  $k = 0$ , and, moreover,  $d = 1$ . We now substitute the found values of  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_3$  into Kreines' formulas and compute the coefficients  $a_1, \dots, \gamma_4$ , necessary for nomographing up to infinitesimals of the 6th order. The coefficient  $\alpha_5$  is found from formula (4).

The proof for the rectilinear scale  $Y$  is carried out analogously. It is known <sup>(3)</sup> that the  $q_{ik}$  from (1) are expressed in terms of the nomographic invariants  $S(k, m), P, M$ . Expressing the necessary coefficients from determinant (2) in terms of these invariants, we can construct this determinant for the function  $z = f(x, y)$ , bypassing the preliminary reduction of it to the form (1).

For the case of the rectilinear scale  $X$ , these coefficients have the form

$$a_1 = 1, \quad b_1 = 1, \quad \alpha_1 = 0, \quad \beta_1 = 0, \quad a_2 = 0, \quad b_2 = 0,$$

$$\alpha_2 = 0, \quad \beta_2 = \frac{1}{4} \frac{S(2, 0) + S(1, 1) - (P\bar{M})^2}{P\bar{M}} x_0'^2,$$

$$\gamma_2 = -\frac{1}{16} \frac{S(2, 0) + S(1, 1) + 3(P\bar{M})^2}{P\bar{M}} x_0'^2,$$

$$a_3 = \frac{1}{24} \frac{S(2, 0) + S(1, 1) + 5(P\bar{M})^2}{P\bar{M}} x_0'^2,$$

$$b_3 = -\frac{1}{24} \frac{5S(2, 0) + 5S(1, 1) + (P\bar{M})^2}{P\bar{M}} x_0'^2,$$

$$c_3 = -\frac{1}{96} \frac{S(2, 0) + S(1, 1) - (P\bar{M})^2}{P\bar{M}} x_0'^2,$$

$$\alpha_3 = 0, \quad \beta_3 = -\frac{1}{3}[S(1, 0) + S(0, 1)]x_0'^3, \quad \gamma_3 = \frac{1}{12}S(1, 0)x_0'^3,$$

$$a_4 = \frac{1}{84}[6S(1, 0) + S(0, 1)]x_0'^3, \quad b_4 = \frac{1}{84}[22S(1, 0) + 15S(0, 1)]x_0'^3,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_4 &= \frac{1}{168}[S(1, 0) + S(0, 1)]x_0'^3, & \alpha_4 &= 0, \\
 \beta_4 &= \left\{ -\frac{1}{24} \left[ \frac{S(2, 0) + S(1, 1) - (P\overline{M})^2}{P\overline{M}} \right]^2 \right. \\
 &+ \left. \frac{1}{48} [-S(2, 0) + 3S(1, 1) + 4S(0, 2) + 13(P\overline{M})^2] \right\} x_0'^4, \\
 \gamma_4 &= \left\{ -\frac{1}{768} \left[ \frac{S(2, 0) + S(1, 1) - (P\overline{M})^2}{P\overline{M}} \right]^2 \right. \\
 &+ \left. \frac{1}{192} [S(2, 0) - 3S(1, 1) - 5(P\overline{M})^2] \right\} x_0'^4, \\
 a_5 &= \left\{ \frac{1}{480} \left[ \frac{S(2, 0) + S(1, 1) - (P\overline{M})^2}{P\overline{M}} \right]^2 + \right. \\
 &+ \left. \frac{1}{720} [7S(2, 0) + 21S(1, 1) - S(0, 2) + 41(P\overline{M})^2] \right\} x_0'^4, \\
 b_5 &= \left\{ \frac{1}{30} \left[ \frac{S(2, 0) + S(1, 1) - (P\overline{M})^2}{P\overline{M}} \right]^2 + \right. \\
 &+ \left. \frac{1}{720} [52S(2, 0) + 6S(1, 1) - 31S(0, 2) - 124(P\overline{M})^2] \right\} x_0'^4, \\
 c_5 &= \left\{ -\frac{7}{7680} \left[ \frac{S(2, 0) + S(1, 1) - (P\overline{M})^2}{P\overline{M}} \right]^2 - \right. \\
 &- \left. \frac{1}{1440} [8S(2, 0) + 9S(1, 1) + S(0, 2) + 4(P\overline{M})^2] \right\} x_0'^4.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here  $M = -f'_y/f'_x$ ,  $\overline{M} = 1/M$ . We find the value  $x'_0 = 1/f'_x(x_0, y_0)h_1$ , where  $h_1 \neq 0$  is an arbitrary number.

**Theorem 2.** If  $P(x_0, y_0) = 0$ , but  $P(x, y) \neq 0$  in any neighborhood of  $x_0, y_0$ , then nomographing up to quantities of the 6th order with a rectilinear scale  $X$  is possible under the condition

$$S(2, 0) + S(1, 1) + (P\overline{M})^2 = 0. \quad (7)$$

**Proof.** In the exceptional case  $q_{00} = P(x_0, y_0) = 0$ , the system of equations (6) has the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha_2 &= \frac{1}{2}\alpha_1^2, \\
 -\frac{1}{4}\alpha_1^3 - 4\gamma_3 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_1\beta_2 + \frac{10}{3}q_{10} - \frac{4}{3}q_{01} &= 0, \\
 -\frac{1}{8}\alpha_1^4 - 2\alpha_1\gamma_3 + \frac{1}{4}\alpha_1^2\beta_2 + \frac{5}{3}\alpha_1q_{10} - \frac{2}{3}\alpha_1q_{01} &= 0, \\
 -\frac{1}{8}\alpha_1^4 - 2\alpha_1\gamma_3 - \frac{1}{4}\alpha_1^2\beta_2 + \frac{4}{3}\alpha_1q_{10} + \frac{1}{6}\alpha_1q_{01} + 4q_{20} + q_{02} - 3q_{11} &= 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Noting that condition (7) is identically the condition  $4q_{20} + q_{02} - 3q_{11} = 0$ , we conclude that, when condition (7) is fulfilled, system (8) is consistent and has the solution

$$\alpha_1 = 0, \quad \alpha_2 = 0, \quad \gamma_3 = \frac{5}{6}q_{10} - \frac{1}{3}q_{01}, \quad \beta_2 \text{ arbitrary.}$$

The theorem is proved.

This theorem may be proved analogously for the case of a rectilinear scale  $Y$ .

**Theorem 3.** A function  $z = f(x, y)$  sufficiently smooth at the point  $x_0, y_0$ , such that  $f'_x(x_0, y_0) \neq 0$ ,  $f'_y(x_0, y_0) \neq 0$ ,  $P(x_0, y_0) \neq 0$ , and for which at this point

$$[S(2, 0) - S(0, 2)]\overline{MP} + [S^2(1, 0) - S^2(0, 1)] + (4\overline{MP})^3 = 0, \tag{9}$$

is represented in a neighborhood of  $x_0, y_0$ , with accuracy up to quantities of the 6th order, by a Clark nomogram.

**Proof.** We shall seek the equation of the common carrier for the scales  $X$  and  $Y$  in the  $\xi O \eta$  plane in the form

$$A_{11}\xi^2 + 2A_{12}\xi\eta + A_{22}\eta^2 + 2A_{13}\xi + 2A_{23}\eta + 1 = 0. \tag{10}$$

Let  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  take the following values: for the scale  $X$ ,  $\xi = a_1X + a_2X^2 + a_3X^3 + a_4X^4$ ,  $\eta = 1 + \alpha_1X + \alpha_2X^2 + \alpha_3X^3 + \alpha_4X^4$ ; for the scale  $Y$ ,  $\xi = b_1Y + b_2Y^2 + b_3Y^3 + b_4Y^4$ ,  $\eta = -1 + \beta_1Y + \beta_2Y^2 + \beta_3Y^3 + \beta_4Y^4$ . Substituting the values of  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  for the scale  $X$ , and then for the scale  $Y$ , into equation (10) and each time equating all coefficients of terms up to the 4th order inclusive to zero, we obtain 10 equations with 9 unknowns  $A_{11}, A_{12}, A_{22}, A_{13}, A_{23}, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_3$ . This system has the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 & A_{22} + 2A_{23} + 1 = 0, & A_{22} - 2A_{23} + 1 = 0, & A_{12} + A_{13} - \alpha_1 = 0, \\
 & -A_{12} + A_{13} + \alpha_1 = 0, & A_{11} + 2(\alpha_1^2 - \alpha_2) = 0, & A_{11} + 2(\alpha_1^2 + \beta_2) = 0, \\
 & A_{11}\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_1^3 - \alpha_1\alpha_2 + \alpha_1\beta_2 + 8\gamma_3 - \frac{4}{3}q_{00}\alpha_1 - \frac{20}{3}q_{10} + \frac{8}{3}q_{01} = 0, \\
 & -A_{11}\alpha_1 - 2\alpha_1^3 + \alpha_1\alpha_2 - \alpha_1\beta_2 - 8\gamma_3 - \frac{4}{3}q_{00}\alpha_1 - \frac{8}{3}q_{10} + \frac{20}{3}q_{01} = 0, \\
 & -\frac{1}{6}\alpha_1^4 + \frac{7}{2}\alpha_1^2\alpha_2 + \frac{2}{3}\alpha_1^2\beta_2 - \frac{11}{3}\alpha_1^2q_{00} - \frac{1}{12}A_{11}\alpha_1^2 + 4\alpha_1\gamma_3 \\
 & -\frac{7}{3}\alpha_2^2 + \frac{1}{3}\alpha_2\beta_2 - \frac{8}{3}\alpha_1q_{10} - \frac{1}{3}\alpha_1q_{01} + \frac{2}{3}\alpha_2q_{00} - \frac{8}{3}\beta_2q_{00} \\
 & + \frac{5}{3}A_{11}\alpha_2 + \frac{1}{3}A_{11}\beta_2 + 6q_{00}^2 - 2A_{11}q_{00} - 8q_{20} - 2q_{02} + 6q_{11} = 0, \\
 & -\frac{1}{6}\alpha_1^4 - \frac{2}{3}\alpha_1^2\alpha_2 - \frac{7}{2}\alpha_1^2\beta_2 + \frac{11}{3}\alpha_1^2q_{00} - \frac{1}{12}A_{11}\alpha_1^2 + 4\alpha_1\gamma_3 \\
 & -\frac{7}{3}\beta_2^2 + \frac{1}{3}\alpha_2\beta_2 - \frac{1}{3}\alpha_1q_{10} - \frac{8}{3}\alpha_1q_{01} - \frac{8}{3}\alpha_2q_{00} + \frac{2}{3}\beta_2q_{00} \\
 & -\frac{1}{3}A_{11}\alpha_2 - \frac{5}{3}A_{11}\beta_2 + 6q_{00}^2 + 2A_{11}q_{00} + 2q_{20} + 8q_{02} - 6q_{11} = 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Taking into account the identity of condition (9) with the condition

$$\frac{7}{2}(q_{10}^2 - q_{01}^2) + 12q_{00}^2 - 6q_{00}(q_{20} - q_{02}) = 0,$$

we observe that, when condition (9) is fulfilled, system (11) is consistent and has the unique solution

$$A_{11} = 2(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1^2), \quad A_{12} = \alpha_1, \quad A_{22} = -1, \quad A_{13} = 0, \quad A_{23} = 0,$$

$$\alpha_1 = -\frac{7}{2} \frac{q_{10} - q_{01}}{q_{00}},$$

$$\alpha_2 = -\beta_2 = \frac{49}{4} \frac{(q_{10} - q_{01})^2}{q_{00}^2} - \frac{15}{2} \frac{q_{20} + q_{02}}{q_{00}} + 9 \frac{q_{11}}{q_{00}}, \quad \gamma_3 = \frac{1}{4}(q_{10} + q_{01}).$$

The found values  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_3$  are substituted into the Kreines formulas, and the coefficients  $a_1, \dots, \gamma_4$ , necessary for nomographing up to small quantities of the 6th order, are computed.  $\alpha_5$  and  $\beta_5$  are found from the condition that in formula (10), for the new  $\xi$  and  $\eta$ , the coefficients of the terms of the 5th dimension are zero. The theorem is proved.

**Theorem 4.** *If  $P(x_0, y_0) = 0$ , but  $P(x, y) \neq 0$  in any neighborhood of  $x_0, y_0$ , then nomographing up to small quantities of the 6th order according to Clark is possible under the following conditions: 1) the Bittner invariants are equal to zero; 2)  $S(2, 0) + 2S(1, 1) + S(0, 2) + 2(PM)^2 = 0$ ; 3)  $P_x \neq 0, P_y \neq 0$ .*

**Proof.** Consider the system (11'), obtained from (11) for  $q_{00} = 0$ . Fulfillment of the first condition of the theorem means <sup>(3)</sup> that  $q_{10} = q_{01}$ . The second condition is identical to the condition  $5q_{20} + 5q_{02} - 6q_{11} = 0$ . The third condition of the theorem, taking into account the relation between  $P(X, Y)$  and  $P(x, y)$  and their derivatives, as well as lemma 3 from <sup>(3)</sup>, means that  $q_{10} = q_{01} \neq 0$ . Hence it follows that, when the conditions of the theorem are fulfilled, system (11) is consistent and has the following solutions:

$$A_{11} = 2(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1^2), \quad A_{12} = \alpha_1, \quad A_{22} = -1, \quad A_{13} = 0, \quad A_{23} = 0,$$

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{6q_{11} - 8q_{20} - 2q_{02}}{q_{10}} = \frac{2q_{20} + 8q_{02} - 6q_{11}}{q_{01}}, \quad \beta_2 = -\alpha_2,$$

$$\gamma_3 = \frac{1}{2}q_{10} = \frac{1}{2}q_{01}, \quad \alpha_2 \text{ arbitrary.}$$

The theorem is proved.

The problems solved in the present note, as well as in work <sup>(3)</sup>, were posed to the author by S. V. Smirnov and were solved under his scientific guidance. I take this opportunity to express my deep gratitude to S. V. Smirnov.

Khabarovsk State  
Pedagogical Institute

Received  
29 XI 1957

## CITED LITERATURE

- <sup>1</sup> M. A. Kreines, N. D. Aizenshtat, *DAN*, **95**, No. 6, 1137 (1954).
- <sup>2</sup> M. A. Kreines, N. D. Aizenshtat, *Matem. sborn.*, **37** (79), 2, 337 (1955).
- <sup>3</sup> E. T. Smorkachev, *DAN*, **113**, No. 4, 762 (1957).

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*