



Soviet-era science, translated into English

MATHEMATICS

S. A. CHUNIKHIN

1958

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-195801.25065>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

MATHEMATICS

S. A. CHUNIKHIN

ON THE PERMUTABILITY OF FACTORS IN Π -FACTORIZATIONS OF FINITE GROUPS

(Presented by Academician I. M. Vinogradov, 7 XII 1957)

§ 1. In the papers ^(1,2) we introduced the so-called Π -factorization of finite groups, and it was shown that every finite group admits factorizations of this kind in a definite way. In the present paper it is established that among the Π -factorizations of finite groups there always exist Π -factorizations with pairwise permutable factors. Thus Theorem 2 of ^(1,2) receives its natural strengthening.

§ 2. In the present article we shall use the definitions and notation introduced in ^(1,2). In particular, \mathfrak{G} denotes a certain finite group of order g . If n, n_1 , and n/n_1 are natural numbers and $(n_1, n/n_1) = 1$, then n_1 will be called a Sylow divisor of the number n .

Lemma 1. Let h be a certain reduced Π -integral divisor of the order g of the group \mathfrak{G} , and let \mathfrak{A} be a certain subgroup of order a of the group \mathfrak{G} . Then $b = (a, h)$ will be a certain reduced Π -integral divisor of a .

Lemma 2. If $m = h_1 h_2 \dots h_t$ is the greatest Π -divisor of the number g , and h_1, h_2, \dots, h_t are reduced Π -integral divisors of g , then h_1, h_2, \dots, h_t are pairwise relatively prime.

Lemma 3. Let m and h_1, h_2, \dots, h_t be the same as in Lemma 2. If $\alpha_i = (a, h_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$, then $\alpha = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_t$ will be the greatest Π -divisor of the order a of the subgroup \mathfrak{A} , and the numbers $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_t$ will be pairwise relatively prime reduced Π -integral divisors of a .

Lemma 4. If subgroups \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} of the group \mathfrak{G} are permutable and the greatest Π -divisors of their orders divide the Sylow divisor v of the number g , then the greatest Π -divisor of the order of the subgroup $\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{B}$ also divides v .

Lemma 5. Let $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{A}_1 \mathfrak{A}_2 \dots \mathfrak{A}_r$, where $\mathfrak{A}_1, \mathfrak{A}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_r$ are pairwise permutable subgroups of respective orders a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r . Suppose that the greatest Π -divisor a'_ρ of the order of the subgroup \mathfrak{A}_ρ divides the Π -divisor s of the number g , and moreover s is relatively prime to each of the numbers $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{\rho-1}, a_{\rho+1}, \dots, a_r$. Then $a'_\rho = s$.

Lemma 6. Let a normal divisor \mathfrak{S} of the group \mathfrak{G} contain the subgroup \mathfrak{S}_1 , and let all subgroups of \mathfrak{G} conjugate to \mathfrak{S}_1 in \mathfrak{G} already be conjugate to \mathfrak{S}_1 in \mathfrak{S} . Then $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{S}$, where \mathfrak{B} is the normalizer of the subgroup \mathfrak{S}_1 in \mathfrak{G} .

§ 3. **Theorem.** Let m be the greatest Π -divisor of the order g of the group \mathfrak{G} . Then to every representation of the number m in the form of a product

$$m = h_1 h_2 \dots h_t,$$

where each of the factors h_1, h_2, \dots, h_t is a certain reduced Π -integral divisor of the order g of the group \mathfrak{G} , there corresponds a representation of \mathfrak{G} in the form of a product

$$\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{H}_1 \mathfrak{H}_2 \dots \mathfrak{H}_t,$$

where $\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{H}_t$ are certain pairwise permutable subgroups of \mathfrak{G} such that the greatest Π -divisors of their orders are respectively the numbers h_1, h_2, \dots, h_t .

We shall give the main points of the proof of the theorem. Suppose that there exist groups for which the theorem is not fulfilled. Choose among such groups a group \mathfrak{G} having the least order g . Then there exists a nonempty set of prime numbers Π and such a repre-

sentation of the number m in the form $m = h_1 h_2 \dots h_t$ required by the theorem, to which the desired factorization of the group \mathfrak{G} does not correspond. It follows from this that g is a Π -composite number and that $g > 1$ and $t > 1$.

Consider a chief series

$$\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{G}_0 \supset \mathfrak{G}_1 \supset \dots \supset \mathfrak{G}_\lambda = \mathfrak{E} \tag{1}$$

of the group \mathfrak{G} (\mathfrak{E} is the identity subgroup).

Assigning \mathfrak{E} to the special groups, we observe that if all terms of the series (1) were special, then \mathfrak{G} itself would be special. But then \mathfrak{G} , obviously, would admit the required factorization. Therefore among the terms of (1) there exist nonspecial groups. Choose among them such a $\mathfrak{G}_\mu = \mathfrak{M}$ whose index μ is greatest. Since \mathfrak{M} , by assumption, is nonspecial, $\mathfrak{M} \neq \mathfrak{E}$, i.e. $\mu < \lambda$. Thus $\mathfrak{G}_{\mu+1} = \mathfrak{N}$ exists.

Denote the order of \mathfrak{N} by n . Then the order of \mathfrak{M} will have the form wn . Represent n in the form $n = n_1 n_2$, where n_2 is the greatest divisor of n relatively prime to w . Since \mathfrak{N} is a special group, $\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{N}_1 \mathfrak{N}_2$, where \mathfrak{N}_1 and \mathfrak{N}_2 are subgroups of orders n_1 and n_2 , respectively. But $(n_1, n_2) = 1$, and therefore \mathfrak{N}_2 will be invariant in \mathfrak{G} . Since \mathfrak{N}_2 is a special group and $(wn_1, n_2) = 1$, by the Schur-Zassenhaus theorem \mathfrak{M} has a subgroup \mathfrak{M}_1 of order wn_1 , and all subgroups of order wn_1 in \mathfrak{M} are conjugate to \mathfrak{M}_1 in \mathfrak{M} .

- 1) \mathfrak{M}_1 is not invariant in \mathfrak{G} . Applying Lemma 6 to \mathfrak{M} and \mathfrak{M}_1 , we obtain $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{M}$, where \mathfrak{V} of order v is the normalizer of \mathfrak{M}_1 in \mathfrak{G} . But $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M}_1 \mathfrak{N}_2$, whence $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{N}_2$. By Lemma 3, $v = v_1 v_2 \dots v_t$, where $v_i = (v, h_i)$, will be the decomposition required by the theorem of the greatest Π -divisor of v . Since \mathfrak{M}_1 is not invariant in \mathfrak{G} , $v < g$, and the theorem holds for \mathfrak{V} . Consequently, $\mathfrak{V} = \mathfrak{F}_1 \mathfrak{F}_2 \dots \mathfrak{F}_t$, where the subgroups $\mathfrak{F}_1, \mathfrak{F}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{F}_t$

are pairwise permutable, and the greatest Π -divisors of their orders are respectively equal to v_1, v_2, \dots, v_t .

Putting $\nu_i = (n_2, h_i)$, we verify on the basis of Lemma 3 that $\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_t$ will be pairwise relatively prime Sylow divisors of n_2 . But \mathfrak{N}_2 is a special group; hence we conclude that $\mathfrak{N}_2 = \mathfrak{N}'_1 \mathfrak{N}'_2 \dots \mathfrak{N}'_t$, where $\mathfrak{N}'_1, \mathfrak{N}'_2, \dots, \mathfrak{N}'_t$ are invariant subgroups of \mathfrak{G} with pairwise relatively prime orders, and the greatest Π -divisors of their orders are respectively equal to $\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_t$. But then $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{W}\mathfrak{N}_2 = \mathfrak{H}_1 \mathfrak{H}_2 \dots \mathfrak{H}_t$, where $\mathfrak{H}_i = \mathfrak{F}_i \mathfrak{N}'_i$. The subgroups $\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{H}_t$ are, evidently, pairwise permutable, and with the help of Lemmas 2, 4, and 5 it is established that the greatest Π -divisors of their orders are respectively equal to h_1, h_2, \dots, h_t . Thus, for \mathfrak{G} we have obtained a factorization of the desired form. A contradiction is obtained.

- 2) \mathfrak{M}_1 is invariant in \mathfrak{G} . The subgroup $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M}_1 \mathfrak{N}_2$ is a nonspecial normal divisor of \mathfrak{G} ; the orders of \mathfrak{M}_1 and \mathfrak{N}_2 are relatively prime; \mathfrak{N}_2 is special and invariant in \mathfrak{G} . Therefore among the Sylow subgroups of \mathfrak{M}_1 there exists a subgroup \mathfrak{R} not invariant in \mathfrak{G} . Denoting now by \mathfrak{W} the normalizer of \mathfrak{R} in \mathfrak{G} , we obtain, by Lemma 6, that $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{W}\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{W}\mathfrak{M}_1 \mathfrak{N}_2$.

Using the fact that $\mathfrak{M}_1/\mathfrak{R}$ is an elementary group, and that n_2 is the greatest divisor of n relatively prime to w , one can further show that the greatest Π -divisor of the order of \mathfrak{M}_1 will be a divisor of some number h_ρ from the series h_1, h_2, \dots, h_t . Since \mathfrak{R} is not invariant in \mathfrak{G} , $\mathfrak{W} \neq \mathfrak{G}$, and the theorem holds for \mathfrak{W} . Hence, as in (1), we obtain the factorization

$$\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{F}_1 \mathfrak{F}_2 \dots \mathfrak{F}_t \mathfrak{M}_1 \mathfrak{N}'_2 \mathfrak{N}'_2 \dots \mathfrak{N}'_t = \mathfrak{H}_1 \mathfrak{H}_2 \dots \mathfrak{H}_t,$$

where

$$\mathfrak{H}_1 = \mathfrak{F}_1 \mathfrak{N}'_1, \dots, \mathfrak{H}_{\rho-1} = \mathfrak{F}_{\rho-1} \mathfrak{N}'_{\rho-1}, \mathfrak{H}_\rho = \mathfrak{F}_\rho \mathfrak{N}'_\rho \mathfrak{M}_1, \mathfrak{H}_{\rho+1} = \mathfrak{F}_{\rho+1} \mathfrak{N}'_{\rho+1}, \dots, \mathfrak{H}_t = \mathfrak{F}_t \mathfrak{N}'_t.$$

Applying again Lemmas 2, 4, and 5, we establish that the greatest Π -divisors of the orders of $\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{H}_t$ are respectively equal to h_1, h_2, \dots, h_t . We have obtained a contradiction.

The contradictions obtained prove the theorem.

Received
2 XII 1957

CITED LITERATURE

1. S. A. Chunikhin, *DAN*, **108**, No. 3, 397 (1956).
2. S. A. Chunikhin. *Matem. sborn.*, **43** (85), No. 1 (1957).

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.