

**THEOREMS ON THE  
COMPLETENESS OF  
THE SYSTEM OF  
EIGEN- AND  
ASSOCIATED  
ELEMENTS OF  
OPERATORS WITH  
DISCRETE SPECTRUM**

1958

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-195801.11843>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

**MATHEMATICS**

**V. B. LIDSKII**

**THEOREMS ON THE COMPLETENESS OF THE SYSTEM OF EIGEN- AND ASSOCIATED ELEMENTS OF OPERATORS WITH DISCRETE SPECTRUM**

*(Presented by Academician M. V. Keldysh on 30 XII 1957)*

Let  $T$  be a completely continuous operator acting in a separable Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}$ . The operator  $T$  is called an **operator of Hilbert-Schmidt type** (of H.-S. type) if

$$\sum_s (T\varphi_s, T\varphi_s) < \infty, \tag{1}$$

where  $\varphi_s$  ( $s = 1, 2, \dots$ ) is an orthonormal basis in  $\mathfrak{H}$ . In the case of the integral operator

$$Kf = \int_0^1 k(x, t)f(t) dt,$$

which acts in  $\mathcal{L}_2(0, 1)$  (in the Hilbert space of functions whose square is Lebesgue integrable on the interval  $(0, 1)$ ), condition (1) is equivalent to the condition

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 |k(x, t)|^2 dx dt < \infty. \tag{2}$$

**Theorem 1.** *Let an operator  $T$  of H.-S. type, acting in a separable Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}$ , be written in the form*

$$T = A + iB, \tag{3}$$

*and let the self-adjoint operators  $A$  and  $B$  be sign-definite (the quadratic forms  $(Af, f)$  and  $(Bf, f)$  preserve their sign). Then the eigen- and associated elements of the operator  $T$ , corresponding to the nonzero points of the spectrum, form a system complete in the range of values of the operator  $T$ . If to the*

indicated system one adds a basis in the subspace of solutions of the equation  $Tf = 0$ , then one obtains a system complete in  $\mathfrak{H}$ .

For the proof, denote by  $Q_1$  the closed subspace spanned by all eigen- and associated elements of the operator  $T$  corresponding to nonzero points of the spectrum. Let  $Q_2$  be the orthogonal complement to  $Q_1$ . It is easy to show that the operator  $T^*$  adjoint to  $T$  is invariant on  $Q_2$ . Denote by  $T_{q_2}^*$  the operator induced by the operator  $T^*$  on  $Q_2$ . One can show that zero is the only point of the spectrum of the operator  $T_{q_2}^*$  (cf. (3)).

After these remarks, let us map  $Q_2$ , preserving the scalar product, onto  $\mathcal{L}_2(0, 1)$  (or onto some subspace of it, if  $Q_2$  is finite-dimensional) and consider in  $\mathcal{L}_2(0, 1)$  an integral operator  $K$ , unitarily equivalent to the operator  $T_{q_2}^*$ . Such an operator is easy to construct. Let

$D^*(\lambda)$  is the Fredholm determinant of the integral operator  $K$  ((1), p. 196):

$$D^*(\lambda) = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-\lambda)^n}{n!} \delta_n, \quad (4)$$

where

$$\delta_n = \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1 \begin{vmatrix} 0 & k(x_1, x_2) & \dots & k(x_1, x_n) \\ k(x_2, x_1) & 0 & \dots & k(x_2, x_n) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ k(x_n, x_1) & \dots & \dots & 0 \end{vmatrix} dx_1 \dots dx_n. \quad (5)$$

As Carleman showed ((1), p. 217),  $D^*(\lambda)$  is an entire function for which the representation

$$D^*(\lambda) = 1 \cdot \prod_{s=1}^{\infty} (1 - \lambda \lambda_s) e^{\lambda \lambda_s} \quad (6)$$

is valid.

Here  $\lambda_s$  denote the eigenvalues of the operator. Since in our case the operator  $K$  has no eigenvalues different from zero, on the basis of (6) we conclude that  $D^*(\lambda) \equiv 1$ .

From formulas (4) and (5), then, in particular, it follows that

$$\delta_2 = - \int_0^1 \int_0^1 k(x_1, x_2) k(x_2, x_1) dx_1 dx_2 = 0. \quad (7)$$

On the other hand,  $-\text{Im } \delta_2$  is the trace of the imaginary part\* of the operator  $K^2$ . Thus,  $\text{Sp}(\text{Im } K^2) = 0$ . Hence, by unitary equivalence, we conclude that also

$$\text{Sp}(\text{Im } T_{q_2}^{*2}) = 0. \quad (8)$$

Represent the operator  $T_{q_2}^*$  in the form  $T_{q_2}^* = A_{q_2} - iB_{q_2}$ , where  $A_{q_2}$  and  $B_{q_2}$  are self-adjoint operators defined in  $Q_2$ , and compute the left-hand side of (8) in an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors of the operator  $B_{q_2}$ . After simple transformations we obtain

$$\text{Sp}(\text{Im } T_{q_2}^{*2}) = - \sum_s 2\mu_s (A_{q_2} \psi_s, \psi_s) = 0, \quad (9)$$

where  $\mu_s$  ( $s = 1, 2, \dots$ ) are the eigenvalues of  $B_{q_2}$ .

The operators  $A_{q_2}$  and  $B_{q_2}$  are sign-definite together with  $A$  and  $B$  in (3). Therefore from equality (9) we conclude that

$$\mu_s (A_{q_2} \psi_s, \psi_s) = 0 \quad (10)$$

for all  $s = 1, 2, \dots$ . We shall show that all eigenvalues of the operator  $B_{q_2}$  are equal to zero.

Suppose the contrary. Let  $\mu_s^0 \neq 0$ . Then from (10) it follows that  $(A_{q_2} \psi_s^0, \psi_s^0) = 0$ , where  $\psi_s^0$  is the eigen-element of  $B_{q_2}$  corresponding to  $\mu_s^0$ . In view of the sign-definiteness of  $A_{q_2}$ , this means that  $A_{q_2} \psi_s^0 = 0$ . Applying further the operator  $T_{q_2}^*$  to  $\psi_s^0$ , we shall have

$$T_{q_2}^* \psi_s^0 = A_{q_2} \psi_s^0 - iB_{q_2} \psi_s^0 = -i\mu_s^0 \psi_s^0. \quad (11)$$

\* By the imaginary part of an operator  $C$  is meant the operator  $\frac{1}{2i}(C - C^*)$ , denoted by  $\text{Im } C$ .

Equality (11) contains a contradiction, since, by hypothesis,  $T_{q_2}^*$  has only the zero point of the spectrum, while  $\mu_s^0 \neq 0$ . Thus all  $\mu_s = 0$  ( $s = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Consequently,  $B_{q_2} = 0$ . Similarly one can show that  $A_{q_2} = 0$ . As a result, the operator  $T_{q_2}^* = 0$ , and this already means completeness in the range of values of the operator  $T$ . Indeed, if  $g \in Q_2$ , then  $(Th, g) = (h, T^*g) = (h, T_{q_2}^*g) = 0$ ; consequently, for any  $h \in \mathfrak{H}$  the element  $Th$  is orthogonal to  $Q_2$ , and hence  $Th \in Q_1$ .

The validity of the remark at the end of the theorem follows from the fact that the operator  $T$ , just as  $T^*$ , maps  $Q_2$ —the orthogonal complement to  $Q_1$ —into zero.\* The theorem is proved.

It should be noted that examples can be given which demonstrate the essential nature of the definiteness conditions put forward in Theorem 1.

From Theorem 1 the following theorem can be obtained:

**Theorem 2.** Let the operators  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  be symmetric on some dense manifold  $\mathfrak{D}$  in  $\mathfrak{H}$ , and suppose that for some  $\lambda$  the manifold  $(L_1 + iL_2 - \lambda E)\mathfrak{D}$  is dense in  $\mathfrak{H}$ .

Suppose, further, that one of the following two conditions is satisfied:

- a) The operators  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are semibounded on  $\mathfrak{D}$  (both, for definiteness, from below) and, in addition, one of the three self-adjoint operators  $\tilde{L}_1$ ,  $\tilde{L}_2$ , or  $L_1 + L_2$  has a resolvent of type  $\Gamma$ .—III.\*\*

- b) For all  $f \in \mathfrak{D}$

$$(L_1 f, f) - |(L_2 f, f)| \geq -\gamma^2(f, f)$$

and, in addition, the operator  $\tilde{L}_1$  has a resolvent of type  $\Gamma$ .—III.

Then the non-self-adjoint operator  $L = L_1 + iL_2$  admits a closure  $\tilde{L}$ ; the operator  $\tilde{L}$  has a resolvent of type  $\Gamma$ .—III., and the system of its eigen and associated elements is complete in  $\mathfrak{H}$ .

Theorems 1 and 2 can be used in the study of various integral and differential operators. We give two examples.

**Example 1** (cf. (2<sup>-4</sup>)). Consider a strongly elliptic operator (5):

$$Lu = \sum_{[i,j]} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial x_{i_1} \cdots \partial x_{i_m}} C^{[i,j]}(x) \frac{\partial^m u}{\partial x_{j_1} \cdots \partial x_{j_m}} + \\ + \sum_{[i,j]} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial x_{i_1} \cdots \partial x_{i_m}} K^{[i,j]}(x) \frac{\partial^m u}{\partial x_{j_1} \cdots \partial x_{j_m}} + Pu,$$

which acts on the manifold  $\mathfrak{D}$  of vector-functions  $u(x)$  satisfying, on the boundary  $\Gamma$  of a certain bounded domain  $G$  of  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space, the boundary conditions

$$u(x)|_{\Gamma} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \Big|_{\Gamma} = \cdots = \frac{\partial^{m-1} u}{\partial \nu^{m-1}} \Big|_{\Gamma} = 0,$$

where  $\nu$  is the normal to  $\Gamma$ . For brevity we write the operator  $L$  in the form:

$$Lu = Cu + Ku + Pu,$$

where by  $C$  and  $K$  we have denoted, respectively, the semibounded symmetric and skew-symmetric operators of order  $2m$ , and by  $P$  an arbitrary operator of order  $< 2m$ .

\* Indeed, if  $g \in Q_2$ , then  $T^*g = Ag - iBg = 0$ , and hence, by the definiteness of  $A$  and  $B$ :  $Ag = 0$  and  $Bg = 0$ , whence  $Tg = 0$ .

\*\* A wavy line denotes a self-adjoint extension of the corresponding operator.

Let  $4m - n > 0$ , and suppose that for all  $u \in \mathfrak{D}$

$$(Cu, u) - |(Ku, u)| \geq -\gamma^2(u, u). \quad (12)$$

Then the system of eigen- and associated elements of the operator  $L$  is complete in  $\mathcal{L}_2(G)$ . If the operator  $iK$  is semibounded, then condition (12) may be omitted.

**Example 2** (cf. (6--8)). Consider the operator

$$Ly = -y'' + (q(x) + ir(x))y, \quad (13)$$

defined on some set dense in  $\mathcal{L}_2(-\infty, +\infty)$ . Let the function  $q(x)$  be bounded below, and let  $r(x)$  be semibounded (i.e., bounded either above or below). Then, for completeness of the system of eigen- and associated elements of the operator  $L$  in  $\mathcal{L}_2(-\infty, +\infty)$ , it is sufficient that, for some  $\alpha > 2/3$ ,

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{q(x) + |r(x)|}{|x|^\alpha} \geq C > 0.$$

We emphasize that this thereby establishes completeness, in the case of operators of the form (13), also when the "imaginary potential"  $r(x)$  tends to infinity.\*

I express my gratitude to Corresponding Member of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR I. M. Gel' f and to Prof. M. A. Naimark for their attention to the present work.

Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology

Received  
28 XII 1957

## REFERENCES

1. T. Carleman, *Math. Zs.*, **9**, 196 (1921).
2. M. V. Keldysh, *DAN*, **77**, 11 (1951).
3. F. E. Browder, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA*, **39**, 433 (1953).
4. M. Naimark, *DAN*, **112**, 198 (1957).

5. M. L. Vishik, Mat. sborn., **29** (71), 3, 615 (1951).
  6. M. A. Naimark, DAN, **98**, 727 (1954).
  7. J. Schwartz, Pacific J. Math., **4**, 2, 415 (1954).
  8. V. B. Lidskii, DAN, **110**, 172 (1956).
- \* The corresponding problem was posed by I. M. Gel' f and.
- Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*
- Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*